UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION **WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

☑ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 ☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from _____to_ Commission file number 001-36452 Servis 1st SERVISFIRST BANCSHARES, INC. (Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter) 26-0734029 Delaware (State or Other Jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer Incorporation or Organization) Identification No.) 850 Shades Creek Parkway, Birmingham, Alabama 35209 (Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code) (205) 949-0302 (Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code) Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: Title of each class Name of exchange on which registered Common stock, par value \$.001 per share The NASDAO Stock Market LLC Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None (Titles of Class) Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes □ No 🗵 Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes □ No 🗵 Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ⊠ No □ Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ⊠ No □ Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendments to this Form 10-K. Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer", and small reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one): Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☒ Non-accelerated filer ☐ Smaller reporting company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes□ No ⊠

As of June 30, 2014, the aggregate market value of the voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based on a stock price of \$28.81 per share of Common Stock, was \$647,999,850.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class

Outstanding as of February 27, 2015 24,846,518

Common stock, \$.001 par value

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with its 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this annual report on Form 10-K.

SERVISFIRST BANCSHARES, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FORM 10-K

DECEMBER 31, 2014

CAUTIONARY NOT	E REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS	3
PART I.		4
ITEM 1.	<u>BUSINESS</u>	4
ITEM 1A.	RISK FACTORS	27
ITEM 1B.	UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS	39
ITEM 2.	PROPERTIES	39
ITEM 3.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	40
ITEM 4.	MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES	40
PART II.		40
ITEM 5	MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES	
	OF EQUITY SECURITIES	40
ITEM 6.	SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	41
ITEM 7.	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	45
ITEM 7A.	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	64
ITEM 8.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	66
ITEM 9.	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES	108
ITEM 9A.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	108
ITEM 9B.	OTHER INFORMATION	109
112.11 / 2.		10)
PART III.		109
ITEM 10.	<u>DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	109
ITEM 11.	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	109
ITEM 12.	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER	107
	MATTERS	109
ITEM 13.	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE	109
ITEM 14.	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES	109
PART IV.		110
TOTAL 1.5	ENTER AND EDUNIAL OF THE OTHER STATES OF THE O	110
ITEM 15.	EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES	110
<u>SIGNATURES</u>		112
EXHIBIT INDEX		113

2

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This annual report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act. These "forward-looking statements" reflect our current views with respect to, among other things, future events and our financial performance. The words "may," "plan," "contemplate," "anticipate," "believe," "intend," "continue," "expect," "project," "predict," "estimate," "could," "should," "would," "will," and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements, but other statements not based on historical information may also be considered forward-looking. All forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from any results expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. These statements should be considered subject to various risks and uncertainties, and are made based upon management's belief as well as assumptions made by, and information currently available to, management pursuant to "safe harbor" provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such risks include, without limitation:

- the effects of the continued slow economic recovery and high unemployment;
- the effects of continued deleveraging of United States citizens and businesses;
- the effects of potential federal spending cuts due to the United States financial budgetary "sequester";
- the effects of continued depression of residential housing values and the slow market for sales and resales;
- · credit risks, including credit risks resulting from the devaluation of collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) and/or structured investment vehicles to which we currently have no direct exposure;
- the effects of governmental monetary and fiscal policies and legislative and regulatory changes;
- the effects of hazardous weather such as the tornados that struck the state of Alabama in April 2011 and January 2012;
- the effects of competition from other commercial banks, thrifts, mortgage banking firms, consumer finance companies, credit unions, securities brokerage firms, insurance companies, money market and other mutual funds and other financial institutions operating in our market area and elsewhere, including institutions operating regionally, nationally and internationally, together with competitors offering banking products and services by mail, telephone and the internet;
- the effect of any merger, acquisition or other transaction to which we or any of our subsidiaries may from time to time be a party, including our ability to successfully integrate any business that we acquire;
- deterioration in the financial condition of borrowers resulting in significant increases in loan losses and provisions for those losses;
- the effect of changes in interest rates on the level and composition of deposits, loan demand and the values of loan collateral, securities and interest sensitive assets and liabilities;
- · the effects of terrorism and efforts to combat it;
- · the results of regulatory examinations;
- changes in state and federal legislation, regulations or policies applicable to banks and other financial service providers, including regulatory or legislative developments arising out of current unsettled conditions in the economy, including implementation of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act");
- · the effect of inaccuracies in our assumptions underlying the establishment of our loan loss reserves; and
- other factors that are discussed in the section titled "Risk Factors" in Item 1A.

The foregoing factors should not be construed as exhaustive and should be read together with the other cautionary statements included in this annual report on Form 10-K. If one or more events related to these or other risks or uncertainties materialize, or if our underlying assumptions prove to be incorrect, actual results may differ materially from what we anticipate. Accordingly, you should not place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements. Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which it is made, and we do not undertake any obligation to publicly update or review any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise. New factors emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for us to predict which will arise. In addition, we cannot assess the impact of each factor on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements.

PART I

Unless this Form 10-K indicates otherwise, the terms "we," "our," "us," "the Company," "ServisFirst Bancshares" or "ServisFirst" as used herein refer to ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc., and its subsidiaries, including ServisFirst Bank, which sometimes is referred to as "our bank subsidiary" or "the Bank," and its other subsidiaries. References herein to the fiscal years 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014 mean our fiscal years ended December 31, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Overview

We are a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 and are headquartered in Birmingham, Alabama. Through our wholly-owned subsidiary bank, we operate 13 full-service banking offices located in Jefferson, Shelby, Madison, Montgomery, Houston and Mobile Counties of Alabama and in Escambia County Florida in the metropolitan statistical areas ("MSAs") of Birmingham-Hoover, Huntsville, Montgomery, Dothan and Mobile, Alabama, and Pensacola-Ferry Pass-Brent, Florida. Additionally, we operate a loan production office in Davidson County of Tennessee in the Nashville MSA. Through our bank, we originate commercial, consumer and other loans and accept deposits, provide electronic banking services, such as online and mobile banking, including remote deposit capture, deliver treasury and cash management services and provide correspondent banking services to other financial institutions. As of December 31, 2014, we had total assets of approximately \$4.1 billion, total loans of approximately \$3.4 billion, total deposits of approximately \$3.4 billion, total deposits of approximately \$3.4 billion.

We operate our bank using a simple business model based on organic loan and deposit growth, generated through high quality customer service, delivered by a team of experienced bankers focused on developing and maintaining long-term banking relationships with our target customers. We utilize a uniform, centralized back office risk and credit platform to support a decentralized decision-making process executed locally by our regional chief executive officers. This decentralized decision-making process allows individual lending officers varying levels of lending authority, based on the experience of the individual officer. When the total amount of loans to a borrower exceeds on officer's lending authority, further approval must be obtained by the applicable regional chief executive officer (G. Carlton Barker – Montgomery, Andrew N. Kattos – Huntsville, B. Harrison Morris, III – Dothan, Rex D. McKinney – Pensacola or W. Bibb Lamar, Jr. – Mobile) and/or our senior management team. Rather than relying on a more typical traditional, retail bank strategy of operating a broad base of multiple brick and mortar branch locations in each market, our strategy focuses on operating a limited and efficient branch network with sizable aggregate balances of total loans and deposits housed in each branch office. We believe that this approach more appropriately addresses our customers' banking needs and reflects a best-of-class delivery strategy for commercial banking services.

Our principal business is to accept deposits from the public and to make loans and other investments. Our principal sources of funds for loans and investments are demand, time, savings and other deposits and the amortization and prepayment of loans and borrowings. Our principal sources of income are interest and fees collected on loans, interest and dividends collected on other investments, and service charges. Our principal expenses are interest paid on savings and other deposits, interest paid on our other borrowings, employee compensation, office expenses and other overhead expenses.

In January 2012, we formed SF Holding 1, Inc., an Alabama corporation ("SF Holding"), and its subsidiary, SF Realty 1, Inc., an Alabama corporation ("SF Realty 1"). In September 2013, we formed SF FLA Realty, Inc., an Alabama corporation ("SF FLA Realty"), as a subsidiary of SF Holding. "). In May 2014, we formed SF GA Realty, Inc., an Alabama corporation ("SF GA Realty"), as a subsidiary of SF Holding. Each of SF Realty 1, SF FLA Realty and SF GA Realty elected to be treated as a real estate investment trust ("REIT") for U.S. income tax purposes. SF Realty 1, SF FLA Realty and SF GA Realty hold and manage participations in residential mortgages and commercial real estate loans originated by our bank in Alabama, Florida and Georgia, respectively. SF Holding, SF Realty 1, SF FLA Realty and SF GA Realty are all consolidated into the Company.

As a bank holding company, we are subject to regulation by the Federal Reserve. We are required to file reports with the Federal Reserve and are subject to regular examinations by that agency.

Recent Developments - Metro Bank Acquisition

On January 31, 2015, we completed the merger with Metro Bancshares, Inc. ("Metro"), which resulted in the acquisition of 100% of all the outstanding shares of Metro, including all outstanding options and warrants, for an aggregate of 636,720 shares of ServisFirst common stock and approximately \$20.9 million in cash, representing aggregate consideration value of approximately \$40.3 million (based on the closing price of ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc. on January 30, 2015). The acquisition of Metro represents our first strategic acquisition and our entry into the Atlanta metropolitan market. At December 31, 2014, Metro had total assets of approximately \$211 million, total loans of approximately \$154 million, total deposits of approximately \$182 million and total stockholders' equity of approximately \$28 million. The cash portion of the merger consideration was paid from the Company's cash on hand. Because the acquisition closed on January 31, 2015, after the end of the fiscal period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the Company's financial information does not include any of the results of operations from Metro or its subsidiary, Metro Bank.

History

Our bank was founded by our President and Chief Executive Officer, Thomas A. Broughton, III, and commenced banking operations in May 2005 following an initial capital raise of \$35 million, the largest capital raise by a *de novo* bank in the history of Alabama. We were incorporated as a Delaware corporation in August 2007 for the purpose of acquiring all of the common stock of our bank, and in November 2007 our holding company became the sole shareholder of the bank by virtue of a plan of reorganization and agreement of merger. In May 2008, following our filing of a registration statement on Form 10 with the SEC, we became a reporting company within the meaning of the Exchange Act and have been filing annual, quarterly, and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC since 2008. On May 19, 2014, we completed our initial public offering (the "Offering') of common stock. Since the completion of the Offering, our common stock has traded on The NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol "SFBS".

Business Strategy

We are a full service commercial bank focused on providing competitive products, state of the art technology and quality service. Our business philosophy is to operate as a metropolitan community bank emphasizing prompt, personalized customer service to the individuals and businesses located in our primary markets. We aggressively market to our target customers, which include privately held businesses with \$2 million to \$250 million in annual sales, professionals and affluent consumers whom we believe are underserved by the larger regional banks operating in our markets. We also seek to capitalize on the extensive relationships that our management, directors, advisory directors and stockholders have with the businesses and professionals in our markets.

Focus on Core Banking Business. We deliver a broad array of core banking products to our customers. While many large regional competitors and national banks have chosen to develop non-traditional business lines to supplement their net interest income, we believe our focus on traditional commercial banking products driven by a high margin delivery system is a superior method to deliver returns to our stockholders. We emphasize an internal culture of keeping our operating costs as low as practical, which in turn leads to greater operational efficiency. Additionally, our centralized technology and process infrastructure contribute to our low operating costs. We believe this combination of products, operating efficiency and technology make us attractive to customers in our markets. In addition, in 2011 we began providing correspondent banking services to various smaller community banks in our markets, and currently act as a correspondent bank to approximately 200 community banks located throughout the southeastern United States. We provide a source of clearing and liquidity to our correspondent bank customers, as well as a wide array of account, credit, settlement and international services.

Commercial Bank Emphasis. We have historically focused on people as opposed to places. This strategy translates into a smaller number of brick and mortar branch locations relative to our size, but larger overall branch sizes in terms of total deposits. As a result, as of December 31, 2014 our branches averaged approximately \$261.4 million in total deposits, and our branches that had been open at least three years at that time averaged approximately \$330.7 million in total deposits. In the more typical retail banking model, branch banks continue to lose traffic to other banking channels which may prove to be an impediment to earnings growth for those banks that have invested in large branch networks. In addition, unlike many traditional community banks, we place a strong emphasis on originating commercial and industrial loans, which comprised approximately 44.5% of our total loan portfolio as of December 31, 2014.

Scalable, Decentralized Business Model. We emphasize local decision-making by experienced bankers supported by centralized risk and credit oversight. We believe that the delivery by our bankers of in-market customer decisions, coupled with risk and credit support from our corporate headquarters, allows us to serve our borrowers and depositors directly and in person, while managing risk centrally and on a uniform basis. We intend to continue our growth by repeating this scalable model in each market in which we are able to identify a strong banking team. Our goal in each market is to employ the highest quality bankers in that market. We then empower those bankers to implement our operating strategy, grow our customer base and provide the highest level of customer service possible. We focus on a geographic model of organizational structure as opposed to a line of business model employed by most regional banks. This structure assigns significant responsibility and accountability to our regional chief executive officers, who we believe will drive our growth and success. We have developed a business culture whereby our management team, from the top down, is actively involved in sales, which we believe is a key differentiator from our competition.

Identify Opportunities in Vibrant Markets. Since opening our original banking facility in Birmingham in 2005, as of December 31, 2014, we had expanded into six additional markets. Our focus has been to expand opportunistically when we identify a strong banking team in a market with attractive economic characteristics and market demographics where we believe we can achieve a minimum of \$300 million in deposits within five years of market entry. There are two primary factors we consider when determining whether to enter a new market:

- the availability of successful, experienced bankers with strong reputations in the market; and
- the economic attributes of the market necessary to drive quality lending opportunities coupled with deposit-related characteristics of the potential market.

Prior to entering a new market, historically we have identified and built a team of experienced, successful bankers with market-specific knowledge to lead the bank's operations in that market, including a regional chief executive officer. Generally, we or members of our senior management team are familiar with these individuals based on prior work experience and reputation, and strongly believe in the ability of such individuals to successfully execute our business model. We also have assembled a non-voting advisory board of directors in each market, comprised of directors representing a broad spectrum of business experience and community involvement in the market. We currently have advisory boards in each of the Huntsville, Montgomery, Dothan, Mobile and Pensacola markets.

We announced the hiring of Tom Trouche as Executive Vice President and Regional CEO of Charleston, South Carolina on January 20, 2015. Mr. Trouche will be establishing a banking presence for us in Charleston by hiring a staff of experienced bankers and locating office space.

In connection with opening a full-service banking office in a new market, historically we have raised capital through private placements to investors in the local market, many of whom are also customers of our bank in such market. We believe that having many of our customers who are also stockholders provides us with a strong source of core deposits, aligns our and our customers' interests, and fosters a platform for developing and maintaining the long-term banking relationships we seek. In addition to organic expansion, we may seek to expand through targeted acquisitions, as evidenced by our recent merger with Metro Bank. For more information regarding our acquisition of Metro Bank, see the heading entitled "Recent Developments" in this Item 1. "Business."

Markets and Competition

Our primary markets are broadly defined as the metropolitan statistical areas ("MSAs") of Birmingham-Hoover, Huntsville, Montgomery, Dothan and Mobile, Alabama, Pensacola-Ferry Pass-Brent, Florida, and Nashville, Tennessee. We draw most of our deposits from, and conduct most of our lending transactions in, these markets.

According to FDIC reports, total deposits in each of our primary market areas have expanded from 2004 to 2014 (deposit data reflects totals as reported by financial institutions as of June 30th of each year) as follows:

			Compound Annual	
	 2014	2004	Growth Rate	
		(Dollars in Billions)		
Jefferson/Shelby County, Alabama	\$ 30.2	\$ 17.7	5.45%	
Madison County, Alabama	6.0	3.9	4.56%	
Montgomery County, Alabama	6.1	4.0	4.23%	
Houston County, Alabama	2.2	1.4	4.87%	
Mobile County, Alabama	6.3	4.8	2.69%	
Escambia County, Florida	3.6	3.1	1.57%	

Our bank is subject to intense competition from various financial institutions and other financial service providers. Our bank competes for deposits with other local and regional commercial banks, savings and loan associations, credit unions and issuers of commercial paper and other securities, such as money-market and mutual funds. In making loans, our bank competes with other commercial banks, savings and loan associations, consumer finance companies, credit unions, leasing companies and other lenders.

The following table illustrates our market share, by insured deposits, in our primary service areas at June 30, 2014, as reported by the FDIC:

						Market	
	Number of	Our	Market	Total Market		Share	
Market (1)	Branches	De	eposits	Deposits	Ranking	Percentage	
	(Dollars in Millions)						
Alabama:							
Birmingham-Hoover MSA	3	\$	1,523.7(2) \$	32,907.2	5	4.63%	
Huntsville MSA	2		574.2	6,760.9	3	8.49%	
Montgomery MSA	2		398.5	7,487.6	6	5.32%	
Dothan MSA	2		379.3	2,854.9	2	13.28%	
Mobile MSA	2		290.2	6,266.7	10	1.44%	
Florida:							
Pensacola-Ferry Pass-Brent MSA	2		246.3	4,794.9	7	5.14%	

- (1) Represents metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs).
- (2) Includes approximately \$26.5 million in deposits attributable to our loan production office in Nashville, Tennessee.

Together, deposits for all institutions in Jefferson, Shelby, Madison, Montgomery, Houston and Mobile Counties represented approximately 57.15% of all the deposits in the State of Alabama at June 30, 2014. Deposits for all institutions in Escambia County represent approximately 0.79% of all the deposits in the state of Florida at June 30, 2014.

Each of our markets are described following:

Birmingham. Birmingham, the largest city in Alabama, is located in central Alabama 146 miles west of Atlanta, Georgia and 148 miles southwest of Chattanooga, Tennessee. The Birmingham-Hoover MSA's economy consists of a diverse mixture of traditional and emerging employment sectors. While metals manufacturing is an important historical sector, finance, insurance and healthcare services and distribution are the region's core economic sectors, and biological and medical technology, entertainment and diverse manufacturing have been identified as the region's emerging economic sectors. Large corporations headquartered or with a major presence in the region include Protective Life, HealthSouth Corporation, Vulcan Materials Company and AT&T. Additionally, The University of Alabama at Birmingham (UAB) is Alabama's largest single-site employer, and Birmingham is home to the largest nonprofit independent research laboratory in the southeastern United States, the Southern Research Institute.

Huntsville. We believe that Huntsville, located in northern Alabama mid-way between Birmingham and Nashville, Tennessee, offers substantial growth as one of the strongest technology economies in the nation, with over 300 companies performing sophisticated government, commercial and university research. Huntsville has one of the highest concentrations of engineers and Ph.D.'s in the United States and has a number of major government programs, including NASA and the U.S. Army. Huntsville also has one of the highest concentrations of *Inc.* 5000 companies in the United States and a number of offices of Fortune 500 companies. Major employers in Huntsville include the U.S. Army/Redstone Arsenal, the Boeing Company, NASA/Marshall Space Flight Center, Intergraph Corporation, ADTRAN, Inc., Northrop Grumman, Cinram, SAIC, DirecTV, Lockheed Martin and Toyota Motor Manufacturing of Alabama.

Montgomery. Montgomery, which is Alabama's capital, is located in south central Alabama between Birmingham and Mobile, Alabama. In addition to housing many Alabama government agencies, Montgomery is also home to Maxwell Gunter Air Force Base, which employs more than 12,500 people and includes Air University, the Air Force's center for leadership and education. In 2005, Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama opened its Montgomery manufacturing plant, which was built with an initial capital investment of over \$1.4 billion, and has experienced subsequent expansions. The area has also benefited from Hyundai suppliers that have invested over \$550 million, creating 6,000 additional jobs.

Dothan. We believe that the Dothan MSA, which is located in the southeastern corner of Alabama near the Florida panhandle and Georgia state line, continues to hold great potential due to its position as a central agricultural trade hub, its accessibility to large distribution centers, it being home to several large corporations, and what we believe to be a low level of personalized banking services provided by other financial institutions in the area. The Dothan area is home to facilities of several large corporations, including Michelin, Pemco World Aviation, International Paper, Globe Motors and AAA Cooper Transportation. Additionally, the agriculture and agribusiness industries are thriving in the Dothan MSA, and the area is home to many successful farmers and related businesses. In addition, the nearby agricultural communities in northwest Florida and southwest Georgia often use Dothan as their agricultural trade hub. We believe the existence of these industries and the continuing growth in the area allows an opportunity for the bank to increase its presence and penetration in this market.

Pensacola. In April 2011, we opened our first office outside of Alabama in Pensacola, Florida, which is located in the Florida panhandle approximately 50 miles east of Mobile, Alabama, and 40 miles west of Fort Walton, Florida. The Pensacola and northwest Florida economies are driven by the tourism, military, health services, and medical technology industries. Six major military bases are located in northwest Florida: Eglin Air Force Base, Hurlburt Field, Pensacola Whiting Field, Naval Air Station Pensacola, Naval Air Station Panama City and Tyndall Air Force Base. Other major employers in the area include Sacred Heart Health Systems, Baptist Healthcare, West Florida Regional Medical Center, Gulf Power Company (Southern Company), the University of West Florida, International Paper, Ascend Performance Materials (Solutia), GE Wind Energy, Armstrong World Industries and Wayne Dalton Corporation. The Pensacola Bay area is also home to the Andrews Institute for Orthopaedics and Sports Medicine, a world-leading surgical and research center for human performance enhancement. Although this market was negatively impacted by the recent economic downturn, we believe this area has significant long-term growth potential.

Mobile. In July 2012, we opened a loan production office in Mobile, Alabama and, in May 2013, converted the location to a full-service banking office. The Mobile MSA is located in southwest Alabama approximately 31 miles from the Gulf of Mexico and is the largest metropolitan area along the Gulf between New Orleans, Louisiana and Tampa, Florida. The Mobile Bay region has over 23,000 businesses and is a center for finance, healthcare, education, manufacturing, transportation, construction, distribution, retail, trade and technology. With its strategic location, the Port of Mobile serves as a gateway between the southeastern United States and global destinations, and is served by 12 shipping lines offering service throughout the world. Virtually every service for the maritime industry can be found in this 310-plus-year old port city. The aviation/aerospace industry is another of the area's strong, growing industry sectors. A former U.S. Air Force base located on Mobile Bay near downtown Mobile, Brookley Aeroplex has been transformed into a leading 1,700-acre industrial and trade aeroplex with deepwater port access and the capability of landing the Space Shuttle on one of its runways. The Mobile area is also served by five national Class I railroads.

Nashville. In April 2013, we opened a loan production office in Nashville, Tennessee, the state's capital. The Nashville MSA is located in central Tennessee and is home to over 1.8 million people and 40,000 businesses. Nashville, known as the "Music City" for its country music heritage, is also home to a diverse healthcare industry and is a center for manufacturing, transportation and technology in the area. Nashville has more than 250 healthcare companies headquartered in the region, including 16 publicly traded healthcare companies with combined employment of nearly 400,000 and \$70 billion in global revenue. The Nashville area is also considered a transportation hub, as it is one of only 12 U.S. cities with three major intersecting interstate highways. Notable companies with corporate headquarters in Nashville include HCA Holdings, Nissan North America, Dollar General Corporation, Asurion and Community Health Systems. Although we only recently opened our loan production facility in Nashville, we believe the market has great potential.

Our retail and commercial divisions operate in highly competitive markets. We compete directly in retail and commercial banking markets with other commercial banks, savings and loan associations, credit unions, mortgage brokers and mortgage companies, mutual funds, securities brokers, consumer finance companies, other lenders and insurance companies, locally, regionally and nationally. Many of our competitors compete by using offerings by mail, telephone, computer and/or the Internet. Interest rates, both on loans and deposits, and prices of services are significant competitive factors among financial institutions generally. Providing convenient locations, desired financial products and services, convenient office hours, quality customer service, quick local decision making, a strong community reputation and long-term personal relationships are all important competitive factors that we emphasize.

In our primary service areas, our five largest competitors are Regions Bank, Wells Fargo Bank, BBVA Compass Bank, BB&T and Synovus Bank. These institutions, as well as other competitors of ours, have greater resources, serve broader geographic markets, have higher lending limits, offer various services that we do not offer and can better afford, and make broader use of, media advertising, support services, and electronic technology than we can. To offset these competitive disadvantages, we depend on our reputation for greater personal service, consistency, and flexibility and the ability to make credit and other business decisions quickly.

Lending Services

Lending Policy

Our lending policies are established to support the credit needs of our primary market areas. Consequently, we aggressively seek high-quality borrowers within a limited geographic area and in competition with other well-established financial institutions in our primary service areas that have greater resources and lending limits than we have.

Loan Approval and Review

Our loan approval policies set various levels of officer lending authority. When the total amount of loans to a single borrower exceeds an individual officer's lending authority, further approval, up to \$3.0 million secured, must be obtained from the Regional CEO and/or our senior management team, based on our loan policies.

Commercial Loans

Our commercial lending activity is directed principally toward businesses and professional service firms whose demand for funds falls within our legal lending limits. We make loans to small- and medium-sized businesses in our primary service areas for the purpose of upgrading plant and equipment, buying inventory and for general working capital. Typically, targeted business borrowers have annual sales between \$2 million and \$250 million. This category of loans includes loans made to individual, partnership or corporate borrowers, and such loans are obtained for a variety of business purposes. We offer a variety of commercial lending products to meet the needs of business and professional service firms in our service areas. These commercial lending products include seasonal loans, bridge loans and term loans for working capital, expansion of the business, or acquisition of property, plant and equipment. We also offer commercial lines of credit. The repayment terms of our commercial loans will vary according to the needs of each customer.

Our commercial loans usually will be collateralized. Generally, collateral consists of business assets, including accounts receivable, inventory, equipment, or real estate. Collateral is subject to the risk that we may have difficulty converting it to a liquid asset if necessary, as well as risks associated with degree of specialization, mobility and general collectability in a default situation. To mitigate this risk, we underwrite collateral to strict standards, including valuations and general acceptability based on our ability to monitor its ongoing condition and value.

We underwrite our commercial loans primarily on the basis of the borrower's cash flow, ability to service debt, and degree of management expertise. As a general practice, we take as collateral a security interest in any available real estate, equipment or personal property. Under limited circumstances, we may make commercial loans on an unsecured basis. This type loan may be subject to many different types of risks, including fraud, bankruptcy, economic downturn, deteriorated or non-existent collateral, and changes in interest rates such as have occurred in the recent economic recession and credit market crisis. Perceived risks may differ depending on the particular industry in which a borrower operates. General risks to an industry, such as the recent economic recession and credit market crisis, or to a particular segment of an industry are monitored by senior management on an ongoing basis. When warranted, loans to individual borrowers who may be at risk due to an industry condition may be more closely analyzed and reviewed by the credit review committee or board of directors. Commercial and industrial borrowers are required to submit financial statements to us on a regular basis. We analyze these statements, looking for weaknesses and trends, and will assign the loan a risk grade accordingly. Based on this risk grade, the loan may receive an increased degree of scrutiny by management, up to and including additional loss reserves being required.

Real Estate Loans

We make commercial real estate loans, construction and development loans and residential real estate loans.

Commercial Real Estate. Commercial real estate loans are generally limited to terms of five years or less, although payments are usually structured on the basis of a longer amortization. Interest rates may be fixed or adjustable, although rates generally will not be fixed for a period exceeding five years. In addition, we generally will require personal guarantees from the principal owners of the property supported by a review by our management of the principal owners' personal financial statements.

Commercial real estate lending presents risks not found in traditional residential real estate lending. Repayment is dependent upon successful management and marketing of properties and on the level of expense necessary to maintain the property. Repayment of these loans may be adversely affected by conditions in the real estate market or the general economy. Also, commercial real estate loans typically involve relatively large loan balances to a single borrower. To mitigate these risks, we closely monitor our borrower concentration. These loans generally have shorter maturities than other loans, giving us an opportunity to reprice, restructure or decline renewal. As with other loans, all commercial real estate loans are graded depending upon strength of credit and performance. A higher risk grade will bring increased scrutiny by our management, the credit review committee and the board of directors.

Construction and Development Loans. We make construction and development loans both on a pre-sold and speculative basis. If the borrower has entered into an agreement to sell the property prior to beginning construction, then the loan is considered to be on a pre-sold basis. If the borrower has not entered into an agreement to sell the property prior to beginning construction, then the loan is considered to be on a speculative basis. Construction and development loans are generally made with a term of 12 to 24 months, and interest is paid monthly. The ratio of the loan principal to the value of the collateral as established by independent appraisal typically will not exceed 80% of residential construction loans. Speculative construction loans will be based on the borrower's financial strength and cash flow position. Development loans are generally limited to 75% of appraised value. Loan proceeds will be disbursed based on the percentage of completion and only after the project has been inspected by an experienced construction lender or third-party inspector. During times of economic stress, this type loan has typically had a greater degree of risk than other loan types, as has been evident in the recent credit crisis.

Beginning in 2008, there have been numerous construction loan defaults among many commercial bank loan portfolios, including a number of Alabama-based banks. To mitigate the risk of such defaults in our portfolio, the board of directors and management tracks and monitors these loans closely. Total construction loans increased \$6.9 million in 2014. Our allocation of loan loss reserve for these loans increased \$0.3 million to \$6.4 million at December 31, 2014 compared to \$6.1 million at the end 2013. Charge-offs for construction loans decreased from \$4.8 million for 2013 to \$1.3 million for 2014, and the overall quality of the construction loan portfolio has improved with \$5.7 million rated as substandard at December 31, 2014 compared to \$9.2 million at December 31, 2013.

Residential Real Estate Loans. Our residential real estate loans consist primarily of residential second mortgage loans, residential construction loans and traditional mortgage lending for one-to-four family residences. We will originate fixed-rate mortgages with long-term maturities. The majority of our fixed-rate loans are sold in the secondary mortgage market. All loans are made in accordance with our appraisal policy, with the ratio of the loan principal to the value of collateral as established by independent appraisal generally not exceeding 80%. Risks associated with these loans are generally less significant than those of other loans and involve fluctuations in the value of real estate, bankruptcies, economic downturn and customer financial problems. Real estate has recently experienced a period of declining prices which negatively affects real estate collateralized loans, but this negative effect has to date been more prevalent in regions of the United States other than our primary service areas; however, homes in our primary service areas may experience significant price declines in the future. We have not made and do not expect to make any "Alt-A" or subprime loans.

Consumer Loans

We offer a variety of loans to retail customers in the communities we serve. Consumer loans in general carry a moderate degree of risk compared to other loans. They are generally more risky than traditional residential real estate loans but less risky than commercial loans. Risk of default is usually determined by the well-being of the local economies. During times of economic stress, there is usually some level of job loss both nationally and locally, which directly affects the ability of the consumer to repay debt. Risk on consumer-type loans is generally managed though policy limitations on debt levels consumer borrowers may carry and limitations on loan terms and amounts depending upon collateral type.

Our consumer loans include home equity loans (open- and closed-end), vehicle financing, loans secured by deposits, and secured and unsecured personal loans. These various types of consumer loans all carry varying degrees of risk.

Commitments and Contingencies

As of December 31, 2014, we had commitments to extend credit beyond current fundings of approximately \$1.2 billion, had issued standby letters of credit in the amount of approximately \$33.3 million, and had commitments for credit card arrangements of approximately \$45.2 million.

Policy for Determining the Loan Loss Allowance

The allowance for loan losses represents our management's assessment of the risk associated with extending credit and its evaluation of the quality of the loan portfolio. In calculating the adequacy of the loan loss allowance, our management evaluates the following factors:

- the asset quality of individual loans;
- changes in the national and local economy and business conditions/development, including underwriting standards, collections, and charge-off and recovery practices;
- · changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio;
- · changes in the experience, ability and depth of our lending staff and management;

- changes in the trend of the volume and severity of past-due loans and classified loans, and trends in the volume of non-accrual loans, troubled debt restructurings and other modifications, as has occurred in the residential mortgage markets and particularly for residential construction and development loans;
- · possible deterioration in collateral segments or other portfolio concentrations;
- · historical loss experience (when available) used for pools of loans (i.e. collateral types, borrowers, purposes, etc.);
- · changes in the quality of our loan review system and the degree of oversight by our board of directors; and
- the effect of external factors such as competition and the legal and regulatory requirement on the level of estimated credit losses in our current loan portfolio.

These factors are evaluated quarterly, and changes in the asset quality of individual loans are evaluated as needed.

We assign all of our loans individual risk grades when they are underwritten. We have established minimum general reserves based on the risk grade of the loan. We also apply general reserve factors based on historical losses, management's experience and common industry and regulatory guidelines.

After a loan is underwritten and booked, it is monitored by the account officer, management, internal loan review, and representatives of our independent external loan review firm over the life of the loan. Payment performance is monitored monthly for the entire loan portfolio; account officers contact customers during the regular course of business and may be able to ascertain whether weaknesses are developing with the borrower; independent loan consultants perform a review annually; and federal and state banking regulators perform annual reviews of the loan portfolio. If we detect weaknesses that have developed in an individual loan relationship, we downgrade the loan and assign higher reserves based upon management's assessment of the weaknesses in the loan that may affect full collection of the debt. We have established a policy to discontinue accrual of interest (non-accrual status) after any loan has become 90 days delinquent as to payment of principal or interest unless the loan is considered to be well collateralized and is actively in process of collection. In addition, a loan will be placed on non-accrual status before it becomes 90 days delinquent if management believes that the borrower's financial condition is such that the collection of interest or principal is doubtful. Interest previously accrued but uncollected on such loans is reversed and charged against current income when the receivable is determined to be uncollectible. Interest income on non-accrual loans is recognized only as received. If a loan will not be collected in full, we increase the allowance for loan losses to reflect our management's estimate of any potential exposure or loss.

Our net loan losses to average total loans decreased to 0.17% for the year ended December 31, 2014 from 0.33% for the year ended December 31, 2013, which was up from 0.24% for the year ended December 31, 2012. Historical performance, however, is not an indicator of future performance, and our future results could differ materially. As of December 31, 2014, we had \$9.1 million of non-accrual loans, of which 91% are secured real estate loans. We have allocated approximately \$6.4 million of our allowance for loan losses to real estate construction, acquisition and development, and lot loans, \$16.1 million to commercial and industrial loans, \$12.1 million to real estate mortgage loans and \$1.0 million to consumer loans and have a total loan loss reserve as of December 31, 2014 of \$35.6 million. The loan loss reserve methodology incorporates qualitative factors which are based on management's judgment regarding various external and internal factors including macroeconomic trends, management's assessment of the Company's loan growth prospects and evaluations of internal risk controls. Our management believes, based upon historical performance, known factors, overall judgment, and regulatory methodologies, that the current methodology used to determine the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is reasonable, including after considering the effect of the current residential housing market defaults and business failures (particularly of real estate developers) plaguing financial institutions in general.

Our allowance for loan losses is also subject to regulatory examinations and determinations as to adequacy, which may take into account such factors as the methodology used to calculate the allowance for loan losses and the size of the allowance for loan losses in comparison to a group of peer banks identified by the regulators. During their routine examinations of banks, regulatory agencies may require a bank to make additional provisions to its allowance for loan losses when, in the opinion of the regulators, credit evaluations and allowance for loan loss methodology differ materially from those of management.

While it is our policy to charge off in the current period loans for which a loss is considered probable, there are additional risks of future losses that cannot be quantified precisely or attributed to particular loans or classes of loans. Because these risks include the state of the economy, our management's judgment as to the adequacy of the allowance is necessarily approximate and imprecise.

Investments

In addition to loans, we purchase investments in securities, primarily in mortgage-backed securities and state and municipal securities. No investment in any of those instruments will exceed any applicable limitation imposed by law or regulation. Our board of directors reviews the investment portfolio on an ongoing basis in order to ensure that the investments conform to the policy as set by the board of directors. Our investment policy provides that no more than 60% of our total investment portfolio may be composed of municipal securities. All securities held are traded in liquid markets, and we have no auction-rate securities. We had no investments in any one security, restricted or liquid, in excess of 10% of our stockholders' equity at December 31, 2014.

Deposit Services

We seek to establish solid core deposits, including checking accounts, money market accounts, savings accounts and a variety of certificates of deposit and IRA accounts. We currently have no brokered deposits. To attract deposits, we employ an aggressive marketing plan throughout our service areas that features a broad product line and competitive services. The primary sources of core deposits are residents of, and businesses, and their employees located in, our market areas. We have obtained deposits primarily through personal solicitation by our officers and directors, through reinvestment in the community, and through our stockholders, who have been a substantial source of deposits and referrals. We make deposit services accessible to customers by offering direct deposit, wire transfer, night depository, banking-by-mail and remote capture for non-cash items. The Bank is a member of the FDIC, and thus our deposits are FDIC-insured.

Other Banking Services

Given client demand for increased convenience and account access, we offer a range of products and services, including 24-hour telephone banking, direct deposit, Internet banking, mobile banking, traveler's checks, safe deposit boxes, attorney trust accounts and automatic account transfers. We also participate in a shared network of automated teller machines and a debit card system that our customers are able to use throughout Alabama and in other states and, in certain accounts subject to certain conditions, we rebate to the customer the ATM fees automatically after each business day. Additionally, we offer Visa® credit cards.

Asset, Liability and Risk Management

We manage our assets and liabilities with the aim of providing an optimum and stable net interest margin, a profitable after-tax return on assets and return on equity, and adequate liquidity. These management functions are conducted within the framework of written loan and investment policies. To monitor and manage the interest rate margin and related interest rate risk, we have established policies and procedures to monitor and report on interest rate risk, devise strategies to manage interest rate risk, monitor loan originations and deposit activity and approve all pricing strategies. We attempt to maintain a balanced position between rate-sensitive assets and rate-sensitive liabilities. Specifically, we chart assets and liabilities on a matrix by maturity, effective duration, and interest adjustment period, and endeavor to manage any gaps in maturity ranges.

Seasonality and Cycles

We do not consider our commercial banking business to be seasonal.

Employees

We had 298 full-time equivalent employees as of December 31, 2014. We consider our employee relations to be good, and we have no collective bargaining agreements with any employees.

Supervision and Regulation

Both we and our bank are subject to extensive state and federal banking laws and regulations that impose restrictions on and provide for general regulatory oversight of our operations. These laws and regulations require compliance with various consumer protection provisions applicable to lending, deposits, brokerage and fiduciary activities. They also impose capital adequacy requirements and restrict our ability to repurchase our stock and receive dividends from our bank. These laws and regulations generally are intended to protect customers, rather than stockholders. The following discussion describes material elements of the regulatory framework that applies to us. However, the description below is not intended to summarize all laws and regulations applicable to us.

Bank Holding Company Regulation

Since we own all of the capital stock of the bank, we are a bank holding company under the federal Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (the "BHC Act"). As a result, we are primarily subject to the supervision, examination and reporting requirements of the BHC Act and the regulations of the Federal Reserve.

Acquisition of Banks

The BHC Act requires every bank holding company to obtain the Federal Reserve's prior approval before:

- acquiring direct or indirect ownership or control of any voting shares of any bank if, after the acquisition, the bank holding company will, directly or indirectly, own or control more than 5% of the bank's voting shares;
- · acquiring all or substantially all of the assets of any bank; or
- · merging or consolidating with any other bank holding company.

Additionally, the BHC Act provides that the Federal Reserve may not approve any of these transactions if such transaction would result in or tend to create a monopoly or substantially lessen competition or otherwise function as a restraint of trade, unless the anti-competitive effects of the proposed transaction are clearly outweighed by the public interest in meeting the convenience and needs of the community to be served. The Federal Reserve is also required to consider the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the bank holding companies and banks concerned and the convenience and needs of the community to be served. The Federal Reserve's consideration of financial resources generally focuses on capital adequacy, which is discussed in the section titled "—Bank Regulation and Supervision — Capital Adequacy."

Under the BHC Act, if adequately capitalized and adequately managed, we or any other bank holding company located in Alabama may purchase a bank located outside of Alabama. Conversely, an adequately capitalized and adequately managed bank holding company located outside of Alabama may purchase a bank located inside Alabama. In each case, however, restrictions may be placed on the acquisition of a bank that has only been in existence for a limited amount of time or will result in specified concentrations of deposits.

Change in Bank Control

Subject to various exceptions, the BHC Act and the Change in Bank Control Act, together with related regulations, require Federal Reserve approval prior to any person's or company's acquiring "control" of a bank holding company. Under a rebuttable presumption established by the Federal Reserve, the acquisition of 10% or more of a class of voting stock of a bank holding company would, under the circumstances set forth in the presumption, constitute acquisition of control of the bank holding company. In addition, any person or group of persons must obtain the approval of the Federal Reserve under the BHC Act before acquiring 25% (5% in the case of an acquirer that is already a bank holding company) or more of the outstanding common stock of a bank holding company, or otherwise obtaining control or a "controlling influence" over the bank holding company.

Permitted Activities

Under the BHC Act, a bank holding company is generally permitted to engage in or acquire direct or indirect control of more than 5% of the voting shares of any company engaged in the following activities:

- · banking or managing or controlling banks; and
- · any activity that the Federal Reserve determines to be so closely related to banking as to be a proper incident to the business of banking.

Activities that the Federal Reserve has found to be so closely related to banking as to be a proper incident to the business of banking include:

- factoring accounts receivable;
- · making, acquiring, brokering or servicing loans and usual related activities;
- · leasing personal or real property;
- · operating a non-bank depository institution, such as a savings association;
- · trust company functions;
- · financial and investment advisory activities;
- · discount securities brokerage activities;
- · underwriting and dealing in government obligations and money market instruments;
- · providing specified management consulting and counseling activities;
- · performing selected data processing services and support services;
- · acting as an agent or broker in selling credit life insurance and other types of insurance in connection with credit transactions; and
- · performing selected insurance underwriting activities.

Despite prior approval, the Federal Reserve may order a bank holding company or its subsidiaries to terminate any of these activities or to terminate its ownership or control of any subsidiary when it has reasonable cause to believe that the bank holding company's continued ownership, activity or control constitutes a serious risk to the financial safety, soundness, or stability of it or any of its bank subsidiaries.

In addition to the permissible bank holding company activities listed above, a bank holding company may qualify and elect to become a financial holding company, permitting the bank holding company to engage in activities that are financial in nature or incidental or complementary to financial activity. The BHC Act expressly lists the following activities as financial in nature:

- · lending, trust and other banking activities;
- · insuring, guaranteeing, or indemnifying against loss or harm, or providing and issuing annuities, and acting as principal, agent, or broker for these purposes, in any state;
- · providing financial, investment, or advisory services;
- · issuing or selling instruments representing interests in pools of assets permissible for a bank to hold directly;

- · underwriting, dealing in or making a market in securities;
- other activities that the Federal Reserve may determine to be so closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks as to be a proper incident to managing or controlling banks;
- foreign activities permitted outside of the United States if the Federal Reserve has determined them to be usual in connection with banking operations abroad;
- · merchant banking through securities or insurance affiliates; and
- · insurance company portfolio investments.

For us to qualify to become a financial holding company, the bank and any other depository institution subsidiary of ours must be well-capitalized and well-managed and must have a Community Reinvestment Act rating of at least "satisfactory". Additionally, we must file an election with the Federal Reserve to become a financial holding company and must provide the Federal Reserve with 30 days written notice prior to engaging in a permitted financial activity. We have not elected to become a financial holding company at this time.

Support of Subsidiary Institutions

The Federal Deposit Insurance Act and Federal Reserve policy require a bank holding company to act as a source of financial and managerial strength to its bank subsidiaries and to take measures to preserve and protect its bank subsidiaries in situations where additional investments in a troubled bank may not otherwise be warranted. In addition, where a bank holding company has more than one bank or thrift subsidiary, each of the bank holding company's subsidiary depository institutions is responsible for any losses to the FDIC as a result of an affiliated depository institution's failure. As a result, a bank holding company may be required to loan money to a bank subsidiary in the form of subordinate capital notes or other instruments which qualify as capital under bank regulatory rules. However, any loans from the holding company to such subsidiary banks likely will be unsecured and subordinated to such bank's depositors and perhaps to other creditors of the bank.

Repurchase or Redemption of Securities

A bank holding company is generally required to give the Federal Reserve prior written notice of any purchase or redemption of its own then-outstanding equity securities if the gross consideration for the purchase or redemption, when combined with the net consideration paid for all such purchases or redemptions during the preceding 12 months, is equal to 10% or more of the company's consolidated net worth. The Federal Reserve may disapprove such a purchase or redemption if it determines that the proposal would constitute an unsafe and unsound practice, or would violate any law, regulation, Federal Reserve order or directive, or any condition imposed by, or written agreement with, the Federal Reserve. The Federal Reserve has adopted an exception to this approval requirement for well-capitalized bank holding companies that meet certain conditions.

Bank Regulation and Supervision

The bank is subject to extensive state and federal banking laws and regulations that impose restrictions on and provide for general regulatory oversight of our operations. These laws and regulations are generally intended to protect the bank's customers, rather than our stockholders. The following discussion describes the material elements of the regulatory framework that applies to the bank.

Since the bank is a commercial bank chartered under the laws of the State of Alabama and is not a member of the Federal Reserve System, it is primarily subject to the supervision, examination and reporting requirements of the FDIC and the Alabama Banking Department. The FDIC and the Alabama Banking Department regularly examine the bank's operations and have the authority to approve or disapprove mergers, the establishment of branches and similar corporate actions. Both regulatory agencies have the power to prevent the development or continuance of unsafe or unsound banking practices or other violations of law. Additionally, the bank's deposits are insured by the FDIC to the maximum extent provided by law. The bank is also subject to numerous state and federal statutes and regulations that affect its business, activities and operations.

Branching

Under current Alabama law, the bank may open branch offices throughout Alabama with the prior approval of the Alabama Banking Department. In addition, with prior regulatory approval, the bank may acquire branches of existing banks located in Alabama. While prior law imposed various limits on the ability of banks to establish new branches in states other than their home state, the Dodd-Frank Act allows a bank to branch into a new state by acquiring a branch of an existing institution or by setting up a new branch, without merging with an existing institution in the target state, if, under the laws of the state in which the branch is to be located, a state bank chartered by that state would be permitted to establish the branch. This makes it much simpler for banks to open *de novo* branches in other states. We opened our Pensacola, Florida branch using this mechanism.

FDIC Insurance Assessments

The bank's deposits are insured by the FDIC to the full extent provided in the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, and the bank pays assessments to the FDIC for that coverage. Under the FDIC's risk-based deposit insurance assessment system, an insured institution's deposit insurance premium is computed by multiplying the institution's assessment base by the institution's assessment rate:

- · Assessment Base. An institution's assessment base equals the institution's average consolidated total assets during a particular assessment period, minus the institution's average tangible equity capital (that is, Tier 1 capital) during such period.
- Assessment Rate. An institution's assessment rate is assigned by the FDIC on a quarterly basis. To assign an assessment rate, the FDIC designates an institution as falling into one of four risk categories, or as being a large and highly complex financial institution. The FDIC determines an institution's risk category based on the level of the institution's capitalization and on supervisory evaluations provided to the FDIC by the institution's primary federal regulator. Each risk category designation contains upward and downward adjustment factors based on long-term unsecured debt and brokered deposits. Assessment rates currently range from 0.025% per annum for an institution in the lowest risk category with the maximum downward adjustment, to 0.45% per annum for an institution in the highest risk category with the maximum upward adjustment. For the fourth quarter of 2014, the bank's assessment rate was set at \$0.0125, or \$0.05 annually, per \$100 of assessment base.

In addition to its risk-based insurance assessments, the FDIC also imposes Financing Corporation ("FICO") assessments to help pay the \$780 million in annual interest payments on the \$8 billion of bonds issued in the late 1980s as part of the government rescue of the savings and loan industry. For the fourth quarter of 2014, the FICO assessment was equal to \$0.0015, or \$0.0060 annually, per \$100 of assessment base. These assessments will continue until the bonds mature in 2019.

The FDIC is responsible for maintaining the adequacy of the Deposit Insurance Fund, and the amount the bank pays for deposit insurance is affected not only by the risk the bank poses to the Deposit Insurance Fund, but also by the adequacy of the fund to cover the risk posed by all insured institutions. In recent years, systemic economic problems and changes in law have put pressure on the Deposit Insurance Fund. In this regard, from 2009 to 2012, the United States experienced an unusually high number of bank failures, resulting in significant losses to the Deposit Insurance Fund. Moreover, the Dodd-Frank Act permanently increased the standard maximum deposit insurance amount from \$100,000 to \$250,000, and raised the minimum required Deposit Insurance Fund reserve ratio (i.e., the ratio of the amount on reserve in the Deposit Insurance Fund to the total estimated insured deposits) from 1.15% to 1.35%. To support the Deposit Insurance Fund in light of these types of pressures, the FDIC took several actions in 2009 to supplement the revenues received from its annual deposit insurance premium assessments. Such actions included imposing a one-time special assessment on insured institutions and requiring that insured institutions prepay their regular quarterly assessments for the fourth quarter of 2009 through 2012. The FDIC's possible need to increase assessment rates, charge additional one-time assessment fees, and take other extraordinary actions to support the Deposit Insurance Fund is generally considered to be greater in the current economic climate. If the FDIC were to take these types of actions in the future, they could have a negative impact on the bank's earnings.

Termination of Deposit Insurance

The FDIC may terminate its insurance of deposits of a bank if it finds that the bank has engaged in unsafe or unsound practices, is in an unsafe or unsound condition to continue operations, or has violated any applicable law, regulation, rule, order or condition imposed by the FDIC.

Liability of Commonly Controlled Depository Institutions

Under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, an FDIC-insured depository institution can be held liable for any loss incurred by, or reasonably expected, to be incurred by, the FDIC in connection with (i) the default of a commonly controlled FDIC-insured depository institution or (ii) any assistance provided by the FDIC to any commonly controlled FDIC-insured depository institution in danger of default. "Default" is defined generally as the appointment of a conservator or receiver, and "in danger of default" is defined generally as the existence of certain conditions indicating that a default is likely to occur in the absence of regulatory assistance. The FDIC's claim for damage is superior to claims of stockholders of the insured depository institution but is subordinate to claims of depositors, secured creditors, other general and senior creditors, and holders of subordinated debt (other than affiliates) of the institution.

Community Reinvestment Act

The Community Reinvestment Act ("CRA") requires that, in connection with examinations of financial institutions within their respective jurisdictions, the Federal Reserve or the FDIC will evaluate the record of each financial institution in meeting the needs of its local community, including low and moderate-income neighborhoods. These factors are also considered in evaluating mergers, acquisitions, and applications to open an office or facility. Failure to adequately meet these criteria could impose additional requirements and limitations on the bank. Additionally, we must publicly disclose the terms of various CRA-related agreements.

Interest Rate Limitations

Interest and other charges collected or contracted for by the bank are subject to state usury laws and federal laws concerning interest rates.

Federal Laws Applicable to Consumer Credit and Deposit Transactions

The bank's loan and deposit operations are subject to a number of federal consumer protection laws, including:

- · the Federal Truth-In-Lending Act, governing disclosures of credit terms to consumer borrowers;
- the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, requiring financial institutions to provide information to enable the public and public officials to determine whether a financial institution is fulfilling its obligation to help meet the housing needs of the community it serves;
- the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, marital status or certain other prohibited factors in all aspects of credit transactions;
- · the Fair Credit Reporting Act, governing the use and provision of information to credit reporting agencies;
- the Fair Debt Collection Act, governing the manner in which consumer debts may be collected by debt collectors;
- the Servicemembers' Civil Relief Act, governing the repayment terms of, and property rights underlying, secured obligations of persons in military service;
- rules and regulations of the various federal agencies charged with the responsibility of implementing these federal laws;
- · the Right to Financial Privacy Act, which imposes a duty to maintain confidentiality of consumer financial records and prescribes procedures for complying with administrative subpoenas of financial records; and

• the Electronic Funds Transfer Act and Regulation E issued by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau to implement that act, which govern automatic deposits to and withdrawals from deposit accounts and customers' rights and liabilities arising from the use of automated teller machines and other electronic banking services.

Capital Adequacy

The federal banking regulators view capital levels as important indicators of an institution's financial soundness. In this regard, we and the bank are required to comply with the capital adequacy standards established by the Federal Reserve (in the case of ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc.) and the FDIC and the Alabama Banking Department (in the case of the bank). The Federal Reserve has established a risk-based and a leverage measure of capital adequacy for bank holding companies. The FDIC has established substantially similar measures for banks.

The risk-based capital standards are designed to make regulatory capital requirements more sensitive to differences in risk profiles among banks and bank holding companies, to account for off-balance-sheet exposure, and to minimize disincentives for holding liquid assets. Assets and off-balance-sheet items, such as letters of credit and unfunded loan commitments, are assigned to broad risk categories, each with appropriate risk weights. The resulting capital ratios represent capital as a percentage of total risk-weighted assets and off-balance-sheet items.

Failure to meet capital guidelines could subject a bank or bank holding company to a variety of enforcement remedies, including issuance of a capital directive, the termination of deposit insurance by the FDIC, a prohibition on accepting brokered deposits, and certain other restrictions on its business. Significant additional restrictions can be imposed on FDIC-insured depository institutions that fail to meet applicable capital requirements.

The current risk-based capital guidelines, commonly referred to as Basel I, are based upon the 1988 capital accord of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision ("Basel Committee"), an international committee of central banks and bank supervisors, as implemented by the U.S. federal banking agencies. As discussed further below, the federal banking agencies have adopted separate risk-based capital guidelines for so-called "core banks" based upon the Revised Framework for the International Convergence of Capital Measurement and Capital Standards ("Basel II") issued by the Basel Committee in November 2005, and recently adopted rules implementing the revised standards referred to as Basel III.

Basel I

Under Federal Reserve regulations implementing the Basel I standards, the minimum guideline for the ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets is 8%. Total capital consists of two components, Tier 1 capital and Tier 2 capital. Tier 1 capital generally consists of common stock, minority interests in the equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries, noncumulative perpetual preferred stock, and a limited amount of qualifying cumulative perpetual preferred stock, less goodwill and other specified intangible assets. Tier 1 capital must equal at least 4% of risk-weighted assets. Tier 2 capital generally consists of subordinated debt, other preferred stock, and a limited amount of loan loss reserves. The total amount of Tier 2 capital is limited to 100% of Tier 1 capital. At December 31, 2014, our consolidated ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets was 13.38%, and our ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets was 11.75%.

In addition, the Federal Reserve has established minimum leverage ratio guidelines for bank holding companies. These guidelines provide for a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to average assets, less goodwill and other specified intangible assets, of 3% for bank holding companies that meet specified criteria, including having the highest regulatory rating and implementing the Federal Reserve's risk-based capital measure for market risk. All other bank holding companies generally are required to maintain a leverage ratio of at least 4%. At December 31, 2014, our leverage ratio was 9.91%. The guidelines also provide that bank holding companies experiencing internal growth or making acquisitions will be expected to maintain strong capital positions substantially above the minimum supervisory levels without reliance on intangible assets. The Federal Reserve considers the leverage ratio and other indicators of capital strength in evaluating proposals for expansion or new activities.

As of December 31, 2014, the bank's most recent notification from the FDIC categorized the bank as well-capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To remain categorized as well-capitalized, the bank must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based, and Tier 1 leverage ratios of 10%, 6% and 5%, respectively. Our bank was well-capitalized under the prompt corrective action provisions as of December 31, 2014.

In addition to the foregoing federal requirements, the bank is subject to a requirement of the Alabama Banking Department that the bank maintain a leverage ratio of 8%. At December 31, 2014, the bank's leverage ratio was 8.92%.

Basel II

Under the final U.S. Basel II rules issued by the federal banking agencies, there are a small number of "core" banking organizations that have been required to use the advanced approaches under Basel II for calculating risk-based capital related to credit risk and operational risk, instead of the methodology reflected in the regulations effective prior to adoption of Basel II. The rules also require core banking organizations to have rigorous processes for assessing overall capital adequacy in relation to their total risk profiles, and to publicly disclose certain information about their risk profiles and capital adequacy. Neither we nor the bank are among the core banking organizations required to use Basel II advanced approaches.

Basel III

On December 16, 2010, the Basel Committee released its final framework for strengthening international capital and liquidity regulation, known as Basel III. The Basel III calibration and phase-in arrangements were previously endorsed by the Seoul G20 Leaders Summit in November 2010. Under these standards, when fully phased-in on January 1, 2019, banking institutions would be required to satisfy three risk-based capital ratios:

- A new common equity tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of at least 7.0%, inclusive of a 4.5% minimum common equity tier 1 capital ratio, net of regulatory deductions, and a new 2.5% "capital conservation buffer" of common equity to risk-weighted assets;
- A tier 1 capital ratio of at least 8.5%, inclusive of the 2.5% capital conservation buffer; and
- · A total capital ratio of at least 10.5%, inclusive of the 2.5% capital conservation buffer.

Basel III places more emphasis than current capital adequacy requirements on common equity tier 1 capital, or "CET1", which is predominately made up of retained earnings and common stock instruments. Basel III also introduces a capital conservation buffer, which is designed to absorb losses during periods of economic stress. Banking institutions with a CET1 ratio above the minimum but below the capital conservation buffer may face constraints on dividends, equity repurchases, and compensation based on the amount of such shortfall. The Basel Committee also announced that a "countercyclical buffer" of 0% to 2.5% of CET1 or other loss-absorbing capital "will be implemented according to national circumstances" as an "extension" of the conservation buffer during periods of excess credit growth.

Basel III also introduced a non-risk adjusted tier 1 leverage ratio of 3%, based on a measure of total exposure rather than total assets. The Basel Committee had initially planned for member nations to begin implementing the Basel III requirements by January 1, 2013, with full implementation by January 1, 2019. On November 9, 2012, U.S. regulators announced that implementation of Basel III's first requirements would be delayed.

United States Implementation of Basel III

In July 2013, the federal banking agencies published final rules (the "Basel III Capital Rules") that revised their risk-based and leverage capital requirements and their method for calculating risk-weighted assets to implement, in part, agreements reached by the Basel Committee and certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. The Basel III Capital Rules will apply to banking organizations, including us and the bank.

Among other things, the Basel III Capital Rules: (i) introduce CET1; (ii) specify that tier 1 capital consists of CET1 and additional financial instruments satisfying specified requirements that permit inclusion in tier 1 capital; (iii) define CET1 narrowly by requiring that most deductions or adjustments to regulatory capital measures be made to CET1 and not to the other components of capital; and (iv) expand the scope of the deductions or adjustments from capital as compared to the existing regulations. The Basel III Capital Rules also provide a permanent exemption from the proposed phase out of existing trust preferred securities and cumulative perpetual preferred stock from regulatory capital for banking organizations with less than \$15 billion in total consolidated assets as of December 31, 2009.

The Basel III Capital Rules provide for the following minimum capital to risk-weighted assets ratios:

- 4.5% based upon CET1;
- · 6.0% based upon tier 1 capital;
- · 8.0% based upon total regulatory capital.

A minimum leverage ratio (tier 1 capital as a percentage of total assets) of 4.0% is also required under the Basel III Capital Rules (even for highly rated institutions). The Basel III Capital Rules additionally require institutions to retain a capital conservation buffer of 2.5% above these required minimum capital ratio levels. Banking organizations that fail to maintain the minimum 2.5% capital conservation buffer could face restrictions on capital distributions or discretionary bonus payments to executive officers.

As a result of the enactment of the Basel III Capital Rules, we and the bank could be subject to increased required capital levels. The Basel III Capital Rules become effective as applied to us and the bank on January 1, 2015, with a phase in period that generally extends from January 1, 2015, through January 1, 2019.

The ultimate impact of the new capital standards on us and the bank is currently being reviewed and will depend on a number of factors, including the implementation of the new Basel III Capital Rules and any additional related rulemaking by the U.S. banking agencies.

Prompt Corrective Action

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 establishes a system of "prompt corrective action" to resolve the problems of undercapitalized financial institutions. Under this system, the federal banking regulators have established five capital categories (well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized) into which all institutions are placed. The federal banking agencies have also specified by regulation the relevant capital thresholds for each of those categories. When effective, the Basel III Capital Rules will amend those thresholds to reflect both (i) the generally heightened requirements for regulatory capital ratios, and (ii) the introduction of the CET1 capital measure. At December 31, 2014, the bank qualified for the well-capitalized category.

Federal banking regulators are required to take various mandatory supervisory actions and are authorized to take other discretionary actions with respect to institutions in the three undercapitalized categories. The severity of the action depends upon the capital category in which the institution is placed. Generally, subject to a narrow exception, the banking regulator must appoint a receiver or conservator for an institution that is critically undercapitalized.

An institution that is categorized as undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized, or critically undercapitalized is required to submit an acceptable capital restoration plan to its appropriate federal banking agency. A bank holding company must guarantee that a subsidiary depository institution meets its capital restoration plan, subject to various limitations. The controlling holding company's obligation to fund a capital restoration plan is limited to the lesser of (i) 5% of an undercapitalized subsidiary's assets at the time it became undercapitalized and (ii) the amount required to meet regulatory capital requirements. An undercapitalized institution is also generally prohibited from increasing its average total assets, making acquisitions, establishing any branches or engaging in any new line of business, except under an accepted capital restoration plan or with FDIC approval. The regulations also establish procedures for downgrading an institution to a lower capital category based on supervisory factors other than capital.

Liquidity

Financial institutions are subject to significant regulatory scrutiny regarding their liquidity positions. This scrutiny has increased during recent years, as the economic downturn that began in the late 2000s negatively affected the liquidity of many financial institutions. Various bank regulatory publications, including FDIC Financial Institution Letter FIL-13-2010 (Funding and Liquidity Risk Management) and FDIC Financial Institution Letter FIL-84-2008 (Liquidity Risk Management), address the identification, measurement, monitoring and control of funding and liquidity risk by financial institutions.

Basel III also addresses liquidity management by proposing two new liquidity metrics for financial institutions. The first metric is the "Liquidity Coverage Ratio", and it aims to require a financial institution to maintain sufficient high quality liquid resources to survive an acute stress scenario that lasts for one month. The second metric is the "Net Stable Funding Ratio", and its objective is to require a financial institution to maintain a minimum amount of stable sources relative to the liquidity profiles of the institution's assets, as well as the potential for contingent liquidity needs arising from off-balance sheet commitments, over a one-year horizon.

In the Basel III Capital Rules, the federal banking regulators did not address either the Liquidity Coverage Ratio or the Net Stable Funding Ratio. However, on November 29, 2013, the Federal Reserve, FDIC and Office of the Comptroller of the Currency jointly issued a proposed rule implementing a Liquidity Coverage Ratio requirement in the United States for larger banking organizations. Neither we nor the bank would be subject to such requirement as proposed.

The Liquidity Coverage Ratio and the Net Stable Funding Ratio continue to be monitored for implementation, and we cannot yet provide concrete estimates as to how those requirements, or any other regulatory positions regarding liquidity and funding, might affect us or our bank. However, we note that increased liquidity requirements generally would be expected to cause the bank to invest its assets more conservatively—and therefore at lower yields—than it otherwise might invest. Such lower-yield investments likely would reduce the bank's revenue stream, and in turn its earnings potential.

Payment of Dividends

We are a legal entity separate and distinct from the bank. Our principal source of cash flow, including cash flow to pay dividends to our stockholders, is dividends the bank pays to us as the bank's sole stockholder. Statutory and regulatory limitations apply to the bank's payment of dividends to us as well as to our payment of dividends to our stockholders. The requirement that a bank holding company must serve as a source of strength to its subsidiary banks also results in the position of the Federal Reserve that a bank holding company should not maintain a level of cash dividends to its stockholders that places undue pressure on the capital of its bank subsidiaries or that can be funded only through additional borrowings or other arrangements that may undermine the bank holding company's ability to serve as such a source of strength. Our ability to pay dividends is also subject to the provisions of Delaware corporate law.

The Alabama Banking Department also regulates the bank's dividend payments. Under Alabama law, a state-chartered bank may not pay a dividend in excess of 90% of its net earnings until the bank's surplus is equal to at least 20% of its capital (our bank's surplus currently exceeds 20% of its capital). Moreover, our bank is also required by Alabama law to obtain the prior approval of the Superintendent for its payment of dividends if the total of all dividends declared by the bank in any calendar year will exceed the total of (i) the bank's net earnings (as defined by statute) for that year, plus (ii) its retained net earnings for the preceding two years, less any required transfers to surplus. Based on this, our bank would be limited to paying \$129.1 million in dividends as of December 31, 2014. In addition, no dividends, withdrawals or transfers may be made from the bank's surplus without the prior written approval of the Superintendent.

The bank's payment of dividends may also be affected or limited by other factors, such as the requirement to maintain adequate capital above regulatory guidelines. The federal banking agencies have indicated that paying dividends that deplete a depository institution's capital base to an inadequate level would be an unsafe and unsound banking practice. Under the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991, a depository institution may not pay any dividends if payment would cause it to become undercapitalized or if it already is undercapitalized. Moreover, the federal agencies have issued policy statements that provide that bank holding companies and insured banks should generally only pay dividends out of current operating earnings. If, in the opinion of the federal banking regulators, the bank were engaged in or about to engage in an unsafe or unsound practice, the federal banking regulators could require, after notice and a hearing, that the bank stop or refrain from engaging in the questioned practice.

Restrictions on Transactions with Affiliates and Insiders

We are subject to Section 23A of the Federal Reserve Act, which places limits on the amount of:

- · a bank's loans or extensions of credit to affiliates;
- a bank's investment in affiliates;
- assets a bank may purchase from affiliates, except for real and personal property exempted by the Federal Reserve;
- loans or extensions of credit made by a bank to third parties collateralized by the securities or obligations of affiliates;
- · a bank's guarantee, acceptance or letter of credit issued on behalf of an affiliate;

- · a bank's transactions with an affiliate involving the borrowing or lending of securities to the extent they create credit exposure to the affiliate; and
- a bank's derivative transactions with an affiliate to the extent they create credit exposure to the affiliate.

The total amount of the above transactions is limited in amount, as to any one affiliate, to 10% of a bank's capital and surplus and, as to all affiliates combined, to 20% of a bank's capital and surplus. In addition to the limitation on the amount of these transactions, certain of the above transactions must also meet specified collateral requirements. The bank must also comply with other provisions designed to avoid the taking of low-quality assets.

We are also subject to Section 23B of the Federal Reserve Act, which, among other things, prohibits an institution from engaging in the above transactions with affiliates unless the transactions are on terms substantially the same, or at least as favorable to the institution or its subsidiaries, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with nonaffiliated companies.

The bank is also subject to restrictions on extensions of credit to its executive officers, directors, principal shareholders and their related interests. These extensions of credit (i) must be made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with third parties and (ii) must not involve more than the normal risk of repayment or present other unfavorable features. There is also an aggregate limitation on all loans to insiders and their related interests. These loans cannot exceed the institution's total unimpaired capital and surplus, and the FDIC may determine that a lesser amount is appropriate. Insiders are subject to enforcement actions for knowingly accepting loans in violation of applicable restrictions. Alabama state banking laws also have similar provisions.

Lending Limits

Under Alabama law, the amount of loans which may be made by a bank in the aggregate to one person is limited. Alabama law provides that unsecured loans by a bank to one person may not exceed an amount equal to 10% of the capital and unimpaired surplus of the bank or 20% in the case of secured loans. For purposes of calculating these limits, loans to various business interests of the borrower, including companies in which a substantial portion of the stock is owned or partnerships in which a person is a partner, must be aggregated with those made to the borrower individually. Loans secured by certain readily marketable collateral are exempt from these limitations, as are loans secured by deposits and certain government securities.

Commercial Real Estate Concentration Limits

In December 2006, the U.S. bank regulatory agencies issued guidance entitled "Concentrations in Commercial Real Estate Lending, Sound Risk Management Practices" to address increased concentrations in commercial real estate ("CRE") loans. The guidance describes the criteria the agencies will use as indicators to indentify institutions potentially exposed to CRE concentration risk. An institution that has (i) experienced rapid growth in CRE lending, (ii) notable exposure to a specific type of CRE, (iii) total reported loans for construction, land development, and other land representing 100% or more of the institution's capital, or (iv) total CRE loans representing 300% or more of the institution's capital, and the outstanding balance of the institutions CRE portfolio has increased by 50% or more in the prior 36 months, may be identified for further supervisory analysis of the level and nature of its CRE concentration risk.

Privacy

Financial institutions are required to disclose their policies for collecting and protecting non-public personal information of their consumer customers. Consumer customers generally may prevent financial institutions from sharing nonpublic personal information with nonaffiliated third parties except under certain circumstances, such as the processing of transactions requested by the consumer or when the financial institution is jointly offering a product or service with a nonaffiliated financial institution. Additionally, financial institutions generally may not disclose consumer account numbers to any nonaffiliated third party for use in telemarketing, direct mail marketing or other marketing to consumers.

Consumer Credit Reporting

The Fair Credit Reporting Act (the "FCRA") imposes, among other things:

- requirements for financial institutions to develop policies and procedures to identify potential identity theft and, upon the request of a consumer, place a fraud alert in the consumer's credit file stating that the consumer may be the victim of identity theft or other fraud;
- requirements for entities that furnish information to consumer reporting agencies (which would include our bank) to implement procedures and policies regarding the accuracy and integrity of the furnished information and regarding the correction of previously furnished information that is later determined to be inaccurate;
- · requirements for mortgage lenders to disclose credit scores to consumers; and
- · limitations on the ability of a business that receives consumer information from an affiliate to use that information for marketing purposes.

Anti-Terrorism and Money Laundering Legislation

Our bank is subject to the USA Patriot Act, the Bank Secrecy Act, and the requirements of OFAC. These statutes and related rules and regulations impose requirements and limitations on specified financial transactions and account and other relationships intended to guard against money laundering and terrorism financing. Our bank has established a customer identification program pursuant to Section 326 of the USA Patriot Act and maintains records of cash purchases of negotiable instruments, files reports of certain cash transactions exceeding \$10,000 (daily aggregate amount), and reports suspicious activity that might signify money laundering, tax evasion, or other criminal activities pursuant to the Bank Secrecy Act. Our bank otherwise has implemented policies and procedures to comply with the foregoing requirements.

Effect of Governmental Monetary Policies

Our bank's earnings are affected by domestic economic conditions and the monetary and fiscal policies of the United States government and its agencies. The Federal Reserve's monetary policies have had, and are likely to continue to have, an important impact on the operating results of commercial banks through its power to implement national monetary policy in order, among other things, to curb inflation or combat a recession. The monetary policies of the Federal Reserve affect the levels of bank loans, investments and deposits through its control over the issuance of United States government securities, its regulation of the discount rate applicable to member banks and its influence over reserve requirements to which member banks are subject. We cannot predict, and have no control over, the nature or impact of future changes in monetary and fiscal policies.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act represents a comprehensive revision of laws affecting corporate governance, accounting obligations and corporate reporting. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act is applicable to all companies with equity securities registered, or that file reports, under the Exchange Act. In particular, the act established (i) requirements for audit committees, including independence, expertise and responsibilities; (ii) responsibilities regarding financial statements for the chief executive officer and chief financial officer of the reporting company and new requirements for them to certify the accuracy of periodic reports; (iii) standards for auditors and regulation of audits; (iv) disclosure and reporting obligations for the reporting company and its directors and executive officers; and (v) civil and criminal penalties for violations of the federal securities laws. The legislation also established a new accounting oversight board to enforce auditing standards and restrict the scope of services that accounting firms may provide to their public company audit clients.

Overdraft Fees

The Federal Reserve has adopted amendments under its Regulation E that impose restrictions on banks' abilities to charge overdraft fees. The rule prohibits financial institutions from charging fees for paying overdrafts on ATM and one-time debit card transactions, unless a consumer consents, or opts in, to the overdraft service for those types of transactions.

Interchange Fees

The Dodd-Frank Act, through a provision known as the Durbin Amendment, required the Federal Reserve to establish standards for interchange fees that are "reasonable and proportional" to the cost of processing the debit card transaction and imposes other requirements on card networks. Institutions like the bank with less than \$10 billion in assets are exempt. However, while we are under the \$10 billion level that caps income per transaction, we have been affected by federal regulations that prohibit network exclusivity arrangements and routing restrictions. Essentially, issuers and networks must allow transaction processing through a minimum of two unaffiliated networks.

The Volcker Rule

On December 10, 2013, five U.S. financial regulators, including the Federal Reserve and the FDIC, adopted a final rule implementing the so-called "Volcker Rule." The Volcker Rule was created by Section 619 of the Dodd-Frank Act and prohibits "banking entities" from engaging in "proprietary trading" and making investments and conducting certain other activities with "private equity funds and hedge funds." Although the final rule provides some tiering of compliance and reporting obligations based on size, the fundamental prohibitions of the Volcker Rule apply to banking entities of any size, including us and the bank. The final rule became effective April 1, 2014, but the Federal Reserve has extended the conformance period for all banking entities until July 21, 2015.

While the final rule and its accompanying materials comprise approximately 1,000 pages, banking entities that do not engage in any of the activities covered by the Volcker Rule (other than with respect to certain U.S. government obligations) are not required to adopt any formal compliance program specific to the Volcker Rule. We have reviewed the scope of the final rule and have concluded that it will not impact our operations.

The Dodd-Frank Act

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act was signed into law. As final rules and regulations implementing the Dodd-Frank Act are adopted, this new law is significantly changing the bank regulatory structure and affecting the lending, deposit, investment, trading and operating activities of financial institutions and their holding companies. The Dodd-Frank Act requires various federal agencies to adopt a broad range of new implementing rules and regulations and to prepare numerous studies and reports for Congress. The federal agencies are given significant discretion in drafting the implementing rules and regulations, and consequently, many of the details and much of the impact of the Dodd-Frank Act may not be known for many years.

A number of the effects of the Dodd-Frank Act are described or otherwise accounted for in various parts of this Supervision and Regulation section. The following items provide a brief description of certain other provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act that may be relevant to us and the bank.

- The Dodd-Frank Act created a new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau with broad powers to supervise and enforce consumer protection laws. The Bureau now has broad rule-making authority for a wide range of consumer protection laws that apply to all banks, including the authority to prohibit "unfair, deceptive or abusive" acts and practices. The Bureau has examination and enforcement authority over all banks with more than \$10 billion in assets. Institutions with less than \$10 billion in assets will continue to be examined for compliance with consumer laws by their primary bank regulator.
- The Dodd-Frank Act imposed new requirements regarding the origination and servicing of residential mortgage loans. The law created a variety of new consumer protections, including limitations on the manner by which loan originators may be compensated and an obligation on the part of lenders to verify a borrower's "ability to repay" a residential mortgage loan. Final rules implementing these latter statutory requirements were effective in 2014.
- · The Dodd-Frank Act eliminated the federal prohibitions on paying interest on demand deposits effective one year after the date of its enactment, thus allowing businesses to have interest bearing checking accounts. Depending on competitive responses, this significant change to existing law could have an adverse impact on our interest expense.

- The Dodd-Frank Act addresses many investor protection, corporate governance and executive compensation matters that will affect most U.S. publicly traded companies. The Dodd-Frank Act (i) requires publicly traded companies to give stockholders a non-binding vote on executive compensation and golden parachute payments; (ii) enhances independence requirements for compensation committee members; (iii) requires companies listed on national securities exchanges to adopt incentive-based compensation clawback policies for executive officers; (iv) authorizes the SEC to promulgate rules that would allow stockholders to nominate their own candidates using a company's proxy materials; and (v) directs the federal banking regulators to issue rules prohibiting incentive compensation that encourages inappropriate risks.
- While insured depository institutions have long been subject to the FDIC's resolution process, the Dodd-Frank Act creates a new mechanism for the FDIC to conduct the orderly liquidation of certain "covered financial companies," including bank holding companies and systemically significant non-bank financial companies. Upon certain findings being made, the FDIC may be appointed receiver for a covered financial company, and would conduct an orderly liquidation of the entity. The FDIC liquidation process is modeled on the existing Federal Deposit Insurance Act bank resolution process, and generally gives the FDIC more discretion than in the traditional bankruptcy context. The FDIC has issued final rules implementing the orderly liquidation authority.

As noted above, many aspects of the Dodd-Frank Act are subject to rulemaking and will take effect over several years, making it difficult to anticipate the overall financial impact on us. However, compliance with this new law and its implementing regulations clearly will result in additional operating and compliance costs that could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Other Legislation and Regulatory Action relating to Financial Institutions

Recent government efforts to strengthen the U.S. financial system, including the implementation of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act ("ARRA"), the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act ("EESA"), the Dodd-Frank Act, and special assessments imposed by the FDIC, subject us, to the extent applicable, to additional regulatory fees, corporate governance requirements, restrictions on executive compensation, restrictions on declaring or paying dividends, restrictions on stock repurchases, limits on tax deductions for executive compensation and prohibitions against golden parachute payments. These fees, requirements and restrictions, as well as any others that may be imposed in the future, may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

New regulations and statutes are regularly proposed that contain wide-ranging proposals for altering the structures, regulations and competitive relationships of financial institutions operating or doing business in the United States and the states in which we do business. We cannot predict whether or in what form any proposed regulation or statute will be adopted or the extent to which our business may be affected by any new regulation or statute.

Available Information

Our corporate website is www.servisfirstbank.com. We have direct links on this website to our Code of Ethics and the charters for our Audit, Compensation and Corporate Governance and Nominations Committees by clicking on the "Investor Relations" tab. We also have direct links to our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), including, but not limited to, our annual reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, proxy statements and any amendments to these filings. You may also obtain a copy of any such report from us free of charge by requesting such copy in writing to 850 Shades Creek Parkway, Suite 200, Birmingham, Alabama 35209, Attention: Chief Financial Officer.

Executive Officers of the Registrant

A brief description of the background of each of our named executive officers is set forth below.

Thomas A. Broughton, III (59) — Mr. Broughton has served as our President and Chief Executive Officer and a director since 2007 and as President, Chief Executive Officer and a director of the Bank since its inception in May 2005. Mr. Broughton has spent the entirety of his 30-year banking career in the Birmingham area. In 1985, Mr. Broughton was named President of the de novo First Commercial Bank. When First Commercial Bank was acquired by Synovus Financial Corp. in 1992, Mr. Broughton continued as President and was named Chief Executive Officer of First Commercial Bank. In 1998, he became Regional Chief Executive Officer for the markets of Alabama, Tennessee and parts of Georgia. He continued his work in this position until his retirement from Synovus in August 2004. Mr. Broughton's experience in banking has afforded him opportunities to work in many areas of banking and has given him exposure to all bank functions. Mr. Broughton served on the Board of Directors of Cavalier Homes, Inc. from 1986 until 2009, when the company was sold to a subsidiary of Berkshire Hathaway.

Clarence C. Pouncey, III (58) – Mr. Pouncey has served as our Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer since 2007 and Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of the Bank since November 2006. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Pouncey was employed by SouthTrust Bank (subsequently, Wachovia Bank and now Wells Fargo Bank) at its corporate headquarters in Birmingham, in various capacities from 1978 to 2006, most recently as the Senior Vice President and Regional Manager of Real Estate Financial Services. During his employment with SouthTrust, Mr. Pouncey oversaw various operational and production functions in its nine-state footprint of Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas and Virginia, and while employed by Wachovia, Mr. Pouncey oversaw various operational and production functions in Alabama, Arizona, Tennessee and Texas.

William M. Foshee (60) – Mr. Foshee has served as our Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Secretary since 2007 and as Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Secretary of the Bank since 2005. Mr. Foshee served as the Chief Financial Officer of Heritage Financial Holding Corporation, a publicly traded bank holding company headquartered in the Huntsville MSA, from 2002 until it was acquired in 2005. Mr. Foshee is a Certified Public Accountant.

Rodney E. Rushing (57) – Mr. Rushing has served as the Executive Vice President and Executive for Correspondent Banking for us and the bank since 2011. Prior to joining us, Mr. Rushing was employed at BBVA Compass from 1982 to 2011, most recently serving as Executive Vice President of Correspondent Banking. At the time of his departure in March 2011, the correspondent banking division of BBVA Compass provided correspondent banking services to over 600 financial institutions with total fundings in excess of \$2 billion.

Don G. Owens (63) – Mr. Owens has served as the Senior Vice President and Chief Credit Officer for us and the bank since 2012. Prior to joining us, Mr. Owens served as a retail branch manager of First Alabama Bank from 1973 to 1978, worked for C&I Bank (now Bank of America) from 1978 to 1982, including as a branch manager and commercial lender, worked for Republic Bank (now Bank of America) from 1982 to 1988, including as a commercial lender and credit administrator, and served as a Senior Vice President and Senior Loan Administrator for BBVA Compass from 1988 to 2012.

A brief description of the background of each of our regional chief executive officers is set forth below.

G. Carlton Barker (66) – Mr. Barker has served as Executive Vice President and Montgomery President and Chief Executive Officer of the Bank since February 1, 2007. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Barker was employed by Regions Bank for 19 years in various capacities, most recently as the Regional President for the Southeast Alabama Region. Mr. Barker serves on the Huntingdon College Board of Trustees.

B. Harrison Morris, III (38) – Mr. Morris has served as Dothan Regional Chief Executive Officer since February 2015 when the outgoing CEO, Ronald DeVane, retired from the Company. Prior to his promotion, Mr. Morris served as Executive Vice President and Dothan President since June 2010, following his promotion from Senior Lending Officer of the Dothan Region. Mr. Morris joined the Company in September 2008. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Morris held various positions with Wachovia Bank and SouthTrust Bank since 1998. Mr. Morris is a trustee of the Wallace Community College Foundation Board, a member of the Dothan Area Chamber of Commerce Board, a member of the Wiregrass United Way Board and a member of the Wiregrass Chapter of the American Red Cross.

Andrew N. Kattos (45) – Mr. Kattos has served as Executive Vice President and Huntsville President and Chief Executive Officer of the Bank since April 2006. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Kattos was employed by First Commercial Bank for 14 years, most recently as an Executive Vice President and Senior Lender in the Commercial Lending Department. Mr. Kattos also serves as a Board Member and Finance Chairperson for the Huntsville Hospital Foundation.

William Bibb Lamar, Jr. (71) – Mr. Lamar serves as the Mobile Regional Chief Executive Officer of ServisFirst Bank. Mr. Lamar is a seasoned Mobile banker with over 40 years of leadership responsibilities. Mr. Lamar graduated from University of Mobile. Mr. Lamar began his banking career with Merchants National, now Regions Bank where he spent more than 20 years in various leadership roles. Most recently, Mr. Lamar was the CEO of BankTrust for over 20 years. Mr. Lamar has served on the State Banking Board for 15 years and was formally President of Alabama Banker's Association.

Rex D. McKinney (52) – Mr. McKinney has served as Executive Vice President and Pensacola President and Chief Executive Officer of the Bank since January 2011. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. McKinney held several leadership positions, including the senior lender position, at First American Bank/Coastal Bank and Trust (owned by Synovus Financial Corporation) starting in 1997. Mr. McKinney is a Past Board Member of the Rotary Club of Pensacola. He is Past President of the Pensacola Sports Association, a Member of the Irish Politicians Club, a Member of the Pensacola Sports Association Foundation and a member of the Board of Trustees of the St. Christopher's Episcopal Church Endowment Trust Fund.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

Our business, financial condition and results of operation could be harmed by any of the following risks or by other risks identified in this annual report, as well as by other risks we may not have anticipated or viewed as material. The risks discussed below also include forward-looking statements, and our actual results may differ substantially from those discussed in these forward-looking statements. See also "Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements".

Risks Related To Our Business

As a business operating in the financial services industry, our business and operations may be adversely affected in numerous and complex ways by weak economic conditions.

Our businesses and operations, which primarily consist of lending money to customers in the form of loans, borrowing money from customers in the form of deposits and investing in securities, are sensitive to general business and economic conditions in the United States. If the U.S. economy weakens, our growth and profitability from our lending, deposit and investment operations could be constrained. Uncertainty about the federal fiscal policymaking process, the medium and long-term fiscal outlook of the federal government, and future tax rates is a concern for businesses, consumers and investors in the United States. In addition, economic conditions in foreign countries, including uncertainty over the stability of the euro and other currencies, could affect the stability of global financial markets, which could hinder U.S. economic growth. Weak economic conditions are characterized by deflation, fluctuations in debt and equity capital markets, a lack of liquidity and/or depressed prices in the secondary market for mortgage loans, increased delinquencies on mortgage, consumer and commercial loans, residential and commercial real estate price declines and lower home sales and commercial activity. The current economic environment is also characterized by interest rates at historically low levels, which impacts our ability to attract deposits and to generate attractive earnings through our investment portfolio. All of these factors can individually or in the aggregate be detrimental to our business, and the interplay between these factors can be complex and unpredictable. Our business is also significantly affected by monetary and related policies of the U.S. federal government and its agencies. Changes in any of these policies are influenced by macroeconomic conditions and other factors that are beyond our control. Adverse economic conditions and government policy responses to such conditions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We are dependent on the services of our management team and board of directors, and the unexpected loss of key officers or directors may adversely affect our business and operations.

We are led by an experienced core management team with substantial experience in the markets that we serve, and our operating strategy focuses on providing products and services through long-term relationship managers. Accordingly, our success depends in large part on the performance of our key personnel, as well as on our ability to attract, motivate and retain highly qualified senior and middle management. Competition for employees is intense, and the process of locating key personnel with the combination of skills and attributes required to execute our business plan may be lengthy. If any of our or the bank's executive officers, other key personnel, or directors leaves us or the bank, our operations may be adversely affected. In particular, we believe that Thomas A. Broughton, III, Clarence C. Pouncey, III, and William M. Foshee are extremely important to our success and the success of our bank. Mr. Broughton has extensive executive-level banking experience and is the President and Chief Executive Officer of us and the bank. Mr. Pouncey has extensive operating banking experience and is an Executive Vice President and the Chief Operating Officer of us and the bank. Mr. Foshee has extensive financial, banking and accounting experience and is an Executive Vice President and the Chief Financial Officer of us and the bank. If any of Mr. Broughton, Mr. Pouncey or Mr. Foshee leaves his position for any reason, our financial condition and results of operations may suffer. The bank is the beneficiary of a key man life insurance policy on the life of Mr. Broughton in the amount of \$5 million. Also, we have hired key officers to run our banking offices in each of the Huntsville, Montgomery, Mobile and Dothan, Alabama markets, the Atlanta, Georgia market and the Pensacola, Florida market, who are extremely important to our success in such markets. If any of them leaves for any reason, our results of operations could suffer in such markets. With the exception of the key officers in charge of our Atlanta, Huntsville and Montgomery banking offices, we do not have employment agreements or non-competition agreements with any of our executive officers, including Messrs. Broughton, Pouncey, Foshee, Rushing and Owens. In the absence of these types of agreements, our executive officers are free to resign their employment at any time and accept an offer of employment from another company, including a competitor. Additionally, our directors' and advisory board members' community involvement and diverse and extensive local business relationships are important to our success. Any material change in the composition of our board of directors or the respective advisory boards of the bank could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We may not be able to successfully expand into new markets.

We have opened new offices and operations in four primary markets (Pensacola, Florida, Mobile, Alabama, Atlanta, Georgia and Nashville, Tennessee) in the past four years. We may not be able to successfully manage this growth with sufficient human resources, training and operational, financial and technological resources. Any such failure could limit our ability to be successful in these new markets and may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

A prolonged downturn in the real estate market could result in losses and adversely affect our profitability.

As of December 31, 2014, 47.6% of our loan portfolio was composed of commercial and consumer real estate loans, of which 70.5% was owner occupied commercial or 1-4 family mortgage loans. The real estate collateral in each case provides an alternate source of repayment in the event of default by the borrower and may deteriorate in value during the time the credit is extended. The recent recession has adversely affected real estate market values across the country and values may continue to decline. A further decline in real estate values could further impair the value of our collateral and our ability to sell the collateral upon any foreclosure, which would likely require us to increase our provision for loan losses. In the event of a default with respect to any of these loans, the amounts we receive upon sale of the collateral may be insufficient to recover the outstanding principal and interest on the loan. If we are required to re-value the collateral securing a loan to satisfy the debt during a period of reduced real estate values or to increase our allowance for loan losses, our profitability could be adversely affected, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Lack of seasoning of our loan portfolio could increase risk of credit defaults in the future.

In general, loans do not begin to show signs of credit deterioration or default until they have been outstanding for some period of time, a process referred to as "seasoning." As a result, a portfolio of older loans will usually behave more predictably than a newer portfolio. Because a large portion of our portfolio is relatively new, the current level of delinquencies and defaults may not represent the level that may prevail as the portfolio becomes more seasoned. If delinquencies and defaults increase, we may be required to increase our provision for loan losses, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Our high concentration of large loans to certain borrowers may increase our credit risk.

Our growth over the last several years has been partially attributable to our ability to originate and retain large loans. Many of these loans have been made to a small number of borrowers, resulting in a high concentration of large loans to certain borrowers. As of December 31, 2014, our 10 largest borrowing relationships ranged from approximately \$18.1 million (including unfunded commitments) and averaged approximately \$20.6 million in total commitments. Along with other risks inherent in these loans, such as the deterioration of the underlying businesses or property securing these loans, this high concentration of borrowers presents a risk to our lending operations. If any one of these borrowers becomes unable to repay its loan obligations as a result of economic or market conditions, or personal circumstances, such as divorce or death, our non-performing loans and our provision for loan losses could increase significantly, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Our decisions regarding credit risk could be inaccurate and our allowance for loan losses may be inadequate, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and future prospects.

Our earnings are affected by our ability to make loans, and thus we could sustain significant loan losses and consequently significant net losses if we incorrectly assess either the creditworthiness of our borrowers resulting in loans to borrowers who fail to repay their loans in accordance with the loan terms or the value of the collateral securing the repayment of their loans, or we fail to detect or respond to a deterioration in our loan quality in a timely manner. Management makes various assumptions and judgments about the collectability of our loan portfolio, including the creditworthiness of our borrowers and the value of the real estate and other assets serving as collateral for the repayment of many of our loans. We maintain an allowance for loan losses that we consider adequate to absorb losses inherent in the loan portfolio based on our assessment of the information available. In determining the size of our allowance for loan losses, we rely on an analysis of our loan portfolio based on historical loss experience, volume and types of loans, trends in classification, volume and trends in delinquencies and non-accruals, national and local economic conditions and other pertinent information. We target small and medium-sized businesses as loan customers. Because of their size, these borrowers may be less able to withstand competitive or economic pressures than larger borrowers in periods of economic weakness. Also, as we expand into new markets, our determination of the size of the allowance could be understated due to our lack of familiarity with market-specific factors. Despite the effects of sustained economic weakness, we believe our allowance for loan losses as of December 31, 2014 was \$35.6 million, or 1.06% of total gross loans.

If our assumptions are inaccurate, we may incur loan losses in excess of our current allowance for loan losses and be required to make material additions to our allowance for loan losses, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

However, even if our assumptions are accurate, federal and state regulators periodically review our allowance for loan losses and could require us to materially increase our allowance for loan losses or recognize further loan charge-offs based on judgments different than those of our management. Any material increase in our allowance for loan losses or loan charge-offs as required by these regulatory agencies could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

If we fail to design, implement and maintain effective internal control over financial reporting or remediate any future material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting, we may be unable to accurately report our financial results or prevent fraud, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of the financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Effective internal control over financial reporting is necessary for us to provide reliable reports and prevent fraud.

We believe that a control system, no matter how well designed and managed, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within a company have been detected. We may not be able to identify all significant deficiencies and/or material weaknesses in our internal control in the future, and our failure to maintain effective internal control over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the "Sarbanes-Oxley Act") could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Our corporate structure provides for decision-making authority by our regional chief executive officers and banking teams. Our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects could be negatively affected if our employees do not follow our internal policies or are negligent in their decision-making.

We attract and retain our management talent by empowering them to make certain business decisions on a local level. Lending authorities are assigned to regional chief executive officers and their banking teams based on their experience. Additionally, all loans in excess of \$1.0 million are reviewed by our centralized credit administration department in Birmingham. Moreover, for decisions that fall outside of the assigned authorities, our regional chief executive officers are required to obtain approval from our senior management team. Our local bankers may not follow our internal procedures or otherwise act in our best interests with respect to their decision-making. A failure of our employees to follow our internal policies, or actions taken by our employees that are negligent could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Our business strategy includes the continuation of our growth plans, and our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects could be negatively affected if we fail to grow or fail to manage our growth effectively.

We intend to continue pursuing our growth strategy for our business through organic growth of our loan portfolio. Our prospects must be considered in light of the risks, expenses and difficulties that can be encountered by financial service companies in rapid growth stages, which include the risks associated with the following:

- · maintaining loan quality;
- · maintaining adequate management personnel and information systems to oversee such growth;
- Sufficiently growing deposit base to provide funds for lending;
- · maintaining adequate control and compliance functions; and
- · securing capital and liquidity needed to support anticipated growth.

We may not be able to expand our presence in our existing markets or successfully enter new markets, and any expansion could adversely affect our results of operations. Our ability to grow successfully will depend on a variety of factors, including the continued availability of desirable business opportunities, the competitive responses from other financial institutions in our market areas and our ability to manage our growth. Failure to manage our growth effectively could adversely affect our ability to successfully implement our business strategy, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Our continued pace of growth may require us to raise additional capital in the future to fund such growth, and the unavailability of additional capital on terms acceptable to us could adversely affect our growth and/or our financial condition and results of operations.

We are required by federal and state regulatory authorities to maintain adequate levels of capital to support our operations. To support our recent and ongoing growth, we have completed a series of capital transactions during the past three years, including:

- the sale of \$20,000,000 in 5.5% subordinated notes due November 9, 2022 to accredited investor purchasers in November 2012, the proceeds of which were used to pay off \$15,000,000 in our 8.5% subordinated debentures;
- the sale of an aggregate of 750,000 shares of our common stock at \$13.833 per share, or \$10,375,000, in a private placement completed on December 2, 2013; and
- the sale of an aggregate of 1,875,000 shares of our common stock at \$30.333 per share, or \$56,874,000, exclusive of underwriting discounts, in our initial public offering completed May 19, 2014.

After giving effect to these transactions, we believe that we will have sufficient capital to meet our capital needs for our immediate growth plans. However, we will continue to need capital to support our longer-term growth plans. If capital is not available on favorable terms when we need it, we will have to either issue common stock or other securities on less than desirable terms or reduce our rate of growth until market conditions become more favorable. Either of such events could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Competition from financial institutions and other financial service providers may adversely affect our profitability.

The banking business is highly competitive, and we experience competition in our markets from many other financial institutions. We compete with commercial banks, credit unions, savings and loan associations, mortgage banking firms, consumer finance companies, securities brokerage firms, insurance companies, money market funds, and other mutual funds, as well as other community banks and super-regional and national financial institutions that operate offices in our service areas.

We compete with these other financial institutions both in attracting deposits and in making loans. In addition, we must attract our customer base from other existing financial institutions and from new residents. We expect competition to increase in the future as a result of legislative, regulatory and technological changes and the continuing trend of consolidation in the financial services industry. Our profitability depends upon our continued ability to successfully compete with an array of financial institutions in our service areas.

Our ability to compete successfully will depend on a number of factors, including, among other things:

- · our ability to build and maintain long-term customer relationships while ensuring high ethical standards and safe and sound banking practices;
- · the scope, relevance and pricing of products and services that we offer;
- · customer satisfaction with our products and services;
- · industry and general economic trends; and
- our ability to keep pace with technological advances and to invest in new technology.

Increased competition could require us to increase the rates that we pay on deposits or lower the rates that we offer on loans, which could reduce our profitability. Our failure to compete effectively in our market could restrain our growth or cause us to lose market share, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Unpredictable economic conditions or a natural disaster in the state of Alabama, the panhandle of the state of Florida, the Atlanta, Georgia metropolitan area or the Nashville, Tennessee metropolitan area may have a material adverse effect on our financial performance.

Substantially all of our borrowers and depositors are individuals and businesses located and doing business in our markets within the state of Alabama, the panhandle of the state of Florida, the Atlanta, Georgia metropolitan area and the Nashville, Tennessee MSA. Therefore, our success will depend on the general economic conditions in these areas, and more particularly in Birmingham, Huntsville, Dothan, Montgomery and Mobile, Alabama, Pensacola, Florida, Atlanta, Georgia and Nashville, Tennessee, which we cannot predict with certainty. Unlike with many of our larger competitors, the majority of our borrowers are commercial firms, professionals and affluent consumers located and doing business in such local markets. As a result, our operations and profitability may be more adversely affected by a local economic downturn or natural disaster in Alabama, Florida, Georgia or Tennessee, particularly in such markets, than those of larger, more geographically diverse competitors. For example, a downturn in the economy of any of our MSAs could make it more difficult for our borrowers in those markets to repay their loans and may lead to loan losses that we cannot offset through operations in other markets until we can expand our markets further. Our entry into the Pensacola, Florida and Mobile, Alabama markets increased our exposure to potential losses associated with hurricanes and similar natural disasters that are more common on the Gulf Coast than in our other markets. Accordingly, any regional or local economic downturn, or natural or man-made disaster, that affects Alabama, the panhandle of Florida, the Atlanta, Georgia metropolitan area or the Nashville, Tennessee metropolitan area may affect us and our profitability more significantly and more adversely than our more geographically diversified competitors, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We encounter technological change continually and have fewer resources than many of our competitors to invest in technological improvements.

The financial services industry is undergoing rapid technological changes, with frequent introductions of new technology-driven products and services. In addition to serving customers better, the effective use of technology increases efficiency and enables financial institutions to reduce costs. Our success will depend in part on our ability to address our customers' needs by using technology to provide products and services that will satisfy customer demands for convenience, as well as to create additional efficiencies in our operations. Many of our competitors have substantially greater resources to invest in technological improvements than we have. We may not be able to implement new technology-driven products and services effectively or be successful in marketing these products and services to our customers. As these technologies are improved in the future, we may, in order to remain competitive, be required to make significant capital expenditures, which may increase our overall expenses and have a material adverse effect on our net income.

We depend on our information technology and telecommunications systems and third-party servicers, and any systems failures or interruptions could adversely affect our operations and financial condition.

Our business depends on the successful and uninterrupted functioning of our information technology and telecommunications systems and third-party servicers. We outsource many of our major systems, such as data processing, loan servicing and deposit processing systems. For example, Jack Henry & Associates, Inc. provides our entire core banking system through a service bureau arrangement. The failure of these systems, or the termination of a third-party software license or service agreement on which any of these systems is based, could interrupt our operations. Because our information technology and telecommunications systems interface with and depend on third-party systems, we could experience service denials if demand for such services exceeds capacity or such third-party systems fail or experience interruptions. If significant, sustained or repeated, a system failure or service denial could compromise our ability to operate effectively, damage our reputation, result in a loss of customer business, and subject us to additional regulatory scrutiny and possible financial liability, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We may bear costs associated with the proliferation of computer theft and cybercrime.

We necessarily collect, use and hold data concerning individuals and businesses with whom we have a banking relationship. Threats to data security, including unauthorized access and cyber attacks, rapidly emerge and change, exposing us to additional costs for protection or remediation and competing time constraints to secure our data in accordance with customer expectations and statutory and regulatory requirements. It is difficult and near impossible to defend against every risk being posed by changing technologies as well as criminals intent on committing cyber-crime. Increasing sophistication of cyber-criminals and terrorists make keeping up with new threats difficult and could result in a breach of our data security. Patching and other measures to protect existing systems and servers could be inadequate, especially on systems that are being retired. Controls employed by our information technology department and third-party vendors could prove inadequate. We could also experience a breach by intentional or negligent conduct on the part of our employees or other internal sources. Our systems and those of our third-party vendors may become vulnerable to damage or disruption due to circumstances beyond our or their control, such as from catastrophic events, power anomalies or outages, natural disasters, network failures, and viruses and malware.

A breach of our security that results in unauthorized access to our data could expose us to a disruption or challenges relating to our daily operations as well as to data loss, litigation, damages, fines and penalties, significant increases in compliance costs, and reputational damage, any of which could individually or in the aggregate have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects.

Our recent results may not be indicative of our future results, and may not provide guidance to assess the risk of an investment in our common stock.

We may not be able to sustain our historical rate of growth and may not even be able to expand our business at all. In addition, our recent growth may distort some of our historical financial ratios and statistics. In the future, we may not have the benefit of several factors that were favorable until late 2008, such as a rising interest rate environment, a strong residential housing market or the ability to find suitable expansion opportunities. Various factors, such as economic conditions, regulatory and legislative considerations and competition, may also impede or prohibit our ability to expand our market presence. As a small commercial bank, we have different lending risks than larger banks. We provide services to our local communities; thus, our ability to diversify our economic risks is limited by our own local markets and economies. We lend primarily to small to medium-sized businesses, which may expose us to greater lending risks than those faced by banks lending to larger, better-capitalized businesses with longer operating histories. We manage our credit exposure through careful monitoring of loan applicants and loan concentrations in particular industries, and through our loan approval and review procedures. Our use of historical and objective information in determining and managing credit exposure may not be accurate in assessing our risk. Our failure to sustain our historical rate of growth or adequately manage the factors that have contributed to our growth could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We engage in lending secured by real estate and may be forced to foreclose on the collateral and own the underlying real estate, subjecting us to the costs associated with the ownership of the real property.

Since we originate loans secured by real estate, we may have to foreclose on the collateral property to protect our investment and may thereafter own and operate such property, in which case we are exposed to the risks inherent in the ownership of real estate. As of December 31, 2014, we held \$6.8 million in other real estate owned. The amount that we, as a mortgagee, may realize after a default is dependent upon factors outside of our control, including, but not limited to:

- · general or local economic conditions;
- environmental cleanup liability;
- · neighborhood assessments;
- interest rates;
- real estate tax rates;
- operating expenses of the mortgaged properties;
- supply of and demand for rental units or properties;
- · ability to obtain and maintain adequate occupancy of the properties;
- zoning laws;
- governmental and regulatory rules;
- fiscal policies; and
- natural disasters.

Our inability to manage the amount of costs or size of the risks associated with the ownership of real estate could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Regulatory requirements affecting our loans secured by commercial real estate could limit our ability to leverage our capital and adversely affect our growth and profitability.

The federal bank regulatory agencies have indicated their view that banks with high concentrations of loans secured by commercial real estate are subject to increased risk and should hold higher capital than regulatory minimums to maintain an appropriate cushion against loss that is commensurate with the perceived risk. Because a significant portion of our loan portfolio is dependent on commercial real estate, a change in the regulatory capital requirements applicable to us as a result of these policies could limit our ability to leverage our capital, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

The dividend rate on our Series A Preferred Stock fluctuates based on the changes in our "qualified small business lending" and other factors and may increase, which could adversely affect income to common stockholders.

We issued \$40.0 million in Series A Preferred Stock to the Treasury on June 21, 2011 in connection with the Treasury's Small Business Lending Fund program. Dividends on each share of our Series A Preferred Stock are payable on the liquidation amount at an annual rate calculated based upon the "percentage change in qualified lending" of the bank between each dividend period and the "baseline" level of "qualified small business lending" of the bank. Such dividend rate may vary from 1% per annum to 7% per annum for the eleventh through the eighteenth dividend periods and that portion of the nineteenth dividend period ending on the four and one-half year anniversary of the date of issuance of the Series A Preferred Stock (or, the dividend periods from October 1, 2013 through and including December 20, 2015). The dividend rate increases to a fixed rate of 9% after 4.5 years from the issuance of our Series A Preferred Stock (or, on December 21, 2015), regardless of the previous rate, until all of the preferred shares are redeemed. If we are unable to maintain our "qualified small business lending" at certain levels, if we fail to comply with certain other terms of our Series A Preferred Stock, or if we are unable to redeem our Series A Preferred Stock within 4.5 years following issuance, the dividend rate on our Series A Preferred Stock could result in materially greater dividend payments, which in turn could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We are subject to interest rate risk, which could adversely affect our profitability.

Our profitability, like that of most financial institutions, depends to a large extent on our net interest income, which is the difference between our interest income on interest-earning assets, such as loans and investment securities, and our interest expense on interest bearing liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings. We have positioned our asset portfolio to benefit in a higher or lower interest rate environment, but this may not remain true in the future. Our interest sensitivity profile was somewhat liability sensitive as of December 31, 2014, meaning that our net interest income and economic value of equity would decrease more from rising interest rates than from falling interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond our control, including general economic conditions and policies of various governmental and regulatory agencies and, in particular, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (or, the "Federal Reserve"). Changes in monetary policy, including changes in interest rates, could influence not only the interest we receive on loans and securities and the interest we pay on deposits and borrowings, but such changes could also affect our ability to originate loans and obtain deposits, the fair value of our financial assets and liabilities, and the average duration of our assets. If the interest rates paid on deposits and other borrowings increase at a faster rate than the interest rates received on loans and other investments, our net interest income, and therefore earnings, could be adversely affected. Earnings could also be adversely affected if the interest rates received on loans and other investments fall more quickly than the interest rates paid on deposits and other borrowings. Any substantial, unexpected, prolonged change in market interest rates could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

In addition, an increase in interest rates could also have a negative impact on our results of operations by reducing the ability of borrowers to repay their current loan obligations. These circumstances could not only result in increased loan defaults, foreclosures and charge-offs, but also necessitate further increases to the allowance for loan losses which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects.

Liquidity risk could impair our ability to fund operations and meet our obligations as they become due.

Liquidity is essential to our business. Liquidity risk is the potential that we will be unable to meet our obligations as they come due because of an inability to liquidate assets or obtain adequate funding. An inability to raise funds through deposits, borrowings, the sale of loans and other sources could have a substantial negative effect on our liquidity. In particular, approximately 73.2% of the bank's liabilities as of December 31, 2014 were checking accounts and other liquid deposits, which are payable on demand or upon several days' notice, while by comparison, 82.0% of the assets of the bank were loans, which cannot be called or sold in the same time frame. Our access to funding sources in amounts adequate to finance our activities or on terms that are acceptable to us could be impaired by factors that affect us specifically or the financial services industry or economy in general. Market conditions or other events could also negatively affect the level or cost of funding, affecting our ongoing ability to accommodate liability maturities and deposit withdrawals, meet contractual obligations and fund asset growth and new business transactions at a reasonable cost, in a timely manner and without adverse consequences. Any substantial, unexpected or prolonged change in the level or cost of liquidity could have a material adverse effect on our ability to meet deposit withdrawals and other customer needs, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

The fair value of our investment securities can fluctuate due to factors outside of our control.

As of December 31, 2014, the fair value of our investment securities portfolio was approximately \$328.3 million. Factors beyond our control can significantly influence the fair value of securities in our portfolio and can cause potential adverse changes to the fair value of these securities. These factors include, but are not limited to, rating agency actions in respect of the securities, defaults by the issuer or with respect to the underlying securities, and changes in market interest rates and continued instability in the capital markets. Any of these factors, among others, could cause other-than-temporary impairments and realized and/or unrealized losses in future periods and declines in other comprehensive income, which could materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects. The process for determining whether impairment of a security is other-than-temporary usually requires complex, subjective judgments about the future financial performance and liquidity of the issuer and any collateral underlying the security in order to assess the probability of receiving all contractual principal and interest payments on the security our failure to assess any currency impairments or losses with respect to our securities could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Deterioration in the fiscal position of the U.S. federal government and downgrades in Treasury and federal agency securities could adversely affect us and our banking operations.

The long-term outlook for the fiscal position of the U.S. federal government is uncertain, as illustrated by the 2011 downgrade by certain rating agencies of the credit rating of the U.S. government and federal agencies. However, in addition to causing economic and financial market disruptions, any future downgrade, failure to raise the U.S. statutory debt limit, or deterioration in the fiscal outlook of the U.S. federal government, could, among other things, materially adversely affect the market value of the U.S. and other government and governmental agency securities that we hold, the availability of those securities as collateral for borrowing, and our ability to access capital markets on favorable terms. In particular, it could increase interest rates and disrupt payment systems, money markets, and long-term or short-term fixed income markets, adversely affecting the cost and availability of funding, which could negatively affect our profitability. Also, the adverse consequences of any downgrade could extend to those to whom we extend credit and could adversely affect their ability to repay their loans. Any of these developments could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We may be adversely affected by the soundness of other financial institutions.

Our ability to engage in routine funding transactions could be adversely affected by the actions and commercial soundness of other financial institutions. Financial services companies are interrelated as a result of trading, clearing, counterparty, and other relationships. We have exposure to different industries and counterparties, and through transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, including brokers and dealers, commercial banks, investment banks, and other institutional clients. As a result, defaults by, or even rumors or questions about, one or more financial services companies, or the financial services industry generally, have led to market-wide liquidity problems and could lead to losses or defaults by us or by other institutions. These losses or defaults could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We are subject to environmental liability risk associated with our lending activities.

In the course of our business, we may purchase real estate, or we may foreclose on and take title to real estate. As a result, we could be subject to environmental liabilities with respect to these properties. We may be held liable to a governmental entity or to third parties for property damage, personal injury, investigation and clean-up costs incurred by these parties in connection with environmental contamination or may be required to investigate or clean up hazardous or toxic substances or chemical releases at a property. The costs associated with investigation or remediation activities could be substantial. In addition, if we are the owner or former owner of a contaminated site, we may be subject to common law claims by third parties based on damages and costs resulting from environmental contamination emanating from the property. Any significant environmental liabilities could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Risks Related to Our Industry

We are subject to extensive regulation that could limit or restrict our activities and impose financial requirements or limitations on the conduct of our business, which limitations or restrictions could have a material adverse effect on our profitability.

We operate in a highly regulated industry and are subject to examination, supervision and comprehensive regulation by various federal and state agencies including the Federal Reserve, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and the Alabama State Banking Department (the "Alabama Banking Department"). Regulatory compliance is costly and restricts certain of our activities, including payment of dividends, mergers and acquisitions, investments, loans and interest rates charged, and interest rates paid on deposits. We are also subject to capitalization guidelines established by our regulators, which require us to maintain adequate capital to support our growth. Violations of various laws, even if unintentional, may result in significant fines or other penalties, including restrictions on branching or bank acquisitions. Recently, banks generally have faced increased regulatory sanctions and scrutiny particularly with respect to the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act ("USA Patriot Act") and other statutes relating to anti-money laundering compliance and customer privacy. The recent recession had major adverse effects on the banking and financial industry, during which time many institutions saw a significant amount of their market capitalization erode as they charged off loans and wrote down the value of other assets. As described above, recent legislation has substantially changed, and increased, federal regulation of financial institutions, and there may be significant future legislation (and regulations under existing legislation) that could have a further material effect on banks and bank holding companies like us.

In July 2013, the U.S. federal banking authorities approved the implementation of the Basel III regulatory capital reforms and issued rules effecting certain changes required by the Dodd-Frank Act (the "Basel III Rules"). The Basel III Rules are applicable to all U.S. banks that are subject to minimum capital requirements as well as to bank and saving and loan holding companies, other than "small bank holding companies" (generally bank holding companies with consolidated assets of less than \$500 million). The Basel III Rules not only increase most of the required minimum regulatory capital ratios, they introduce a new common equity Tier 1 capital ratio and the concept of a capital conservation buffer. The Basel III Rules also expand the current definition of capital by establishing additional criteria that capital instruments must meet to be considered additional Tier 1 capital (that is, Tier 1 capital in addition to common equity) and Tier 2 capital. A number of instruments that now generally qualify as Tier 1 capital will not qualify or their qualifications will change when the Basel III Rules are fully implemented. However, the Basel III Rules permit banking organizations with less than \$15 billion in assets to retain, through a one-time election, the existing treatment for accumulated other comprehensive income, which currently does not affect regulatory capital. The Basel III Rules have maintained the general structure of the current prompt corrective action thresholds while incorporating the increased requirements, including the common equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 8% or more; a total capital ratio of 10% or more; and a leverage ratio of 5% or more. Institutions must also maintain a capital conservation buffer consisting of common equity Tier 1 capital. Generally, financial institutions became subject to the Basel III Rules on January 1, 2015 with a phase-in period through 2019 for many of the changes.

The laws and regulations applicable to the banking industry could change at any time, and we cannot predict the effects of these changes on our business and profitability. Because government regulation greatly affects the business and financial results of all commercial banks and bank holding companies, our cost of compliance could adversely affect our ability to operate profitably. We are subject to the reporting requirements of the Exchange Act, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, and the related rules and regulations promulgated by the SEC. These laws and regulations increase the scope, complexity and cost of corporate governance, reporting and disclosure practices over those of non-public or non-reporting companies. Despite our conducting business in a highly regulated environment, these laws and regulations have different requirements for compliance than we experienced prior to becoming a reporting company. Our expenses related to services rendered by our accountants, legal counsel and consultants have increased in order to ensure compliance with these laws and regulations that we became subject to as a reporting company and may increase further as we become a public company and grow in size. These provisions, as well as any other aspects of current or proposed regulatory or legislative changes to laws applicable to us may impact the profitability of our business activities and may change certain of our business practices, including our ability to offer new products, obtain financing, attract deposits, make loans and achieve satisfactory interest spreads and could expose us to additional costs, including increased compliance costs, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Federal and state regulators periodically examine our business and we may be required to remediate adverse examination findings.

The Federal Reserve, the FDIC and the Alabama Banking Department periodically examine our business, including our compliance with laws and regulations. If, as a result of an examination, a federal or state banking agency were to determine that our financial condition, capital resources, asset quality, earnings prospects, management, liquidity or other aspects of any of our operations had become unsatisfactory, or that we were in violation of any law or regulation, it may take a number of different remedial actions as it deems appropriate. These actions include the power to enjoin "unsafe or unsound" practices, to require affirmative action to correct any conditions resulting from any violation or practice, to issue an administrative order that can be judicially enforced, to direct an increase in our capital, to restrict our growth, to assess civil monetary penalties against our officers or directors, to remove officers and directors and, if it is concluded that such conditions cannot be corrected or there is an imminent risk of loss to depositors, to terminate our deposit insurance and place us into receivership or conservatorship. Any regulatory action against us could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects.

Our FDIC deposit insurance premiums and assessments may increase.

The deposits of the bank are insured by the FDIC up to legal limits and, accordingly, subject it to the payment of FDIC deposit insurance assessments. The bank's regular assessments are determined by its risk classification, which is based on its regulatory capital levels and the level of supervisory concern that it poses. High levels of bank failures since the beginning of the financial crisis and increases in the statutory deposit insurance limits have increased resolution costs to the FDIC and put significant pressure on the Deposit Insurance Fund. In order to maintain a strong funding position and restore the reserve ratios of the Deposit Insurance Fund, the FDIC increased deposit insurance assessment rates and charged a special assessment to all FDIC-insured financial institutions. Further increases in assessment rates or special assessments may occur in the future, especially if there are significant additional financial institution failures. Any future special assessments, increases in assessment rates or required prepayments in FDIC insurance premiums could reduce our profitability or limit our ability to pursue certain business opportunities, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We are subject to numerous laws designed to protect consumers, including the Community Reinvestment Act and fair lending laws, and failure to comply with these laws could lead to a wide variety of sanctions.

The Community Reinvestment Act, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Fair Housing Act and other fair lending laws and regulations impose nondiscriminatory lending requirements on financial institutions. The U.S. Department of Justice and other federal agencies are responsible for enforcing these laws and regulations. A successful regulatory challenge to an institution's performance under the Community Reinvestment Act or fair lending laws and regulations could result in a wide variety of sanctions, including damages and civil money penalties, injunctive relief, restrictions on mergers and acquisitions activity, restrictions on expansion, and restrictions on entering new business lines. Private parties may also have the ability to challenge an institution's performance under fair lending laws in private class action litigation. Such actions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We face a risk of noncompliance and enforcement action with the Bank Secrecy Act and other anti-money laundering statutes and regulations.

The Bank Secrecy Act, the USA Patriot, and other laws and regulations require financial institutions, among other duties, to institute and maintain an effective anti-money laundering program and file suspicious activity and currency transaction reports as appropriate. The Federal Financial Crimes Enforcement Network is authorized to impose significant civil money penalties for violations of those requirements and has recently engaged in coordinated enforcement efforts with the individual federal banking regulators, as well as the U.S. Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration, and Internal Revenue Service. We are also subject to increased scrutiny of compliance with the rules enforced by the Office of Foreign Assets Control ("OFAC"). If our policies, procedures and systems are deemed deficient, we would be subject to liability, including fines and regulatory actions, which may include restrictions on our ability to pay dividends and the necessity to obtain regulatory approvals to proceed with certain aspects of our business plan, including our acquisition plans. Failure to maintain and implement adequate programs to combat money laundering and terrorist financing could also have serious reputational consequences for us. Any of these results could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Financial reform legislation will, among other things, tighten capital standards, create a new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau and result in new regulations that are likely to increase our costs of operations.

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act") was signed into law. As final rules and regulations implementing the Dodd-Frank Act are adopted, this law is significantly changing the current bank regulatory structure and affecting the lending, deposit, investment, trading and operating activities of financial institutions and their holding companies. The Dodd-Frank Act requires various federal agencies to adopt a broad range of new implementing rules and regulations and to prepare numerous studies and reports for Congress. The federal agencies are given significant discretion in drafting the implementing rules and regulations, and consequently, many of the details and much of the impact of the Dodd-Frank Act may not be known for many years.

The Dodd-Frank Act eliminated the federal prohibitions on paying interest on demand deposits effective one year after the date of its enactment, thus allowing businesses to have interest bearing checking accounts. Depending on competitive responses, this significant change to existing law could have an adverse impact on our interest expense.

The Dodd-Frank Act also broadens the base for FDIC insurance assessments. Assessments are now based on the average consolidated total assets less tangible equity capital of a financial institution. The Dodd-Frank Act permanently increases the maximum amount of deposit insurance for banks, savings institutions and credit unions to \$250,000 per depositor. Non-interest bearing transaction accounts and certain attorney's trust accounts had unlimited deposit insurance through December 31, 2012.

The Dodd-Frank Act requires publicly traded companies to give stockholders a non-binding vote on executive compensation and golden parachute payments. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act authorizes the SEC to promulgate rules that would allow stockholders to nominate their own candidates using a company's proxy materials and directs the federal banking regulators to issue rules prohibiting incentive compensation that encourages inappropriate risks.

The Dodd-Frank Act created a new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau with broad powers to supervise and enforce consumer protection laws. The Bureau now has broad rule-making authority for a wide range of consumer protection laws that apply to all banks, including the authority to prohibit "unfair, deceptive or abusive" acts and practices. The Bureau has examination and enforcement authority over all banks with more than \$10 billion in assets. Institutions with less than \$10 billion in assets will continue to be examined for compliance with consumer laws by their primary bank regulator.

As noted above, many aspects of the Dodd-Frank Act are subject to rulemaking and will take effect over several years, making it difficult to anticipate the overall financial impact on us. However, compliance with this new law and its implementing regulations will result in additional operating and compliance costs that could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Additional regulatory requirements especially those imposed under ARRA, EESA or other legislation intended to strengthen the U.S. financial system, could adversely affect us.

Recent government efforts to strengthen the U.S. financial system, including the implementation of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act ("ARRA"), the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act ("EESA"), the Dodd-Frank Act, and special assessments imposed by the FDIC, subject us, to the extent applicable, to additional regulatory fees, corporate governance requirements, restrictions on executive compensation, restrictions on declaring or paying dividends, restrictions on stock repurchases, limits on tax deductions for executive compensation and prohibitions against golden parachute payments. These fees, requirements and restrictions, as well as any others that may be imposed in the future, may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Recent market conditions have adversely affected, and may continue to adversely affect, us, our customers and our industry.

Because our business is focused exclusively in the southeastern United States, we are particularly exposed to downturns in the U.S. economy in general and in the southeastern economy in particular. Beginning with the economic recession in 2008 and continuing through 2010, falling home prices, increasing foreclosures, unemployment and underemployment, have negatively impacted the credit performance of mortgage loans and resulted in significant write-downs of asset values by financial institutions, including government-sponsored entities as well as major commercial and investment banks. These write-downs, initially of mortgage-backed securities but spreading to credit default swaps and other derivative and cash securities, in turn, have caused many financial institutions to seek additional capital, to merge with larger and stronger institutions and, in some cases, to fail. Reflecting concern about the stability of the financial markets generally and the strength of counterparties, many lenders and institutional investors have reduced or ceased providing funding to borrowers, including to other financial institutions. This market turnoil and tightening of credit has led to an increased level of commercial and consumer delinquencies, lack of consumer confidence, increased market volatility and widespread reduction of business activity generally. The resulting economic pressure on consumers and businesses and lack of confidence in the financial markets may adversely affect our customers and thus our business, financial condition, and results of operations. A return of these conditions in the near future would likely exacerbate the adverse effects of these difficult market conditions on us and others in the financial institutions industry and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Current market volatility and industry developments may adversely affect our business and financial results.

The volatility in the capital and credit markets, along with the housing declines over the past years, has resulted in significant pressure on the financial services industry. We have experienced a higher level of foreclosures and higher losses upon foreclosure than we have historically. If current volatility and market conditions continue or worsen, we may have further increases in loan losses, deterioration of capital or limitations on our access to funding or capital, if needed, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospect.

Further, if other, particularly larger, financial institutions continue to fail to be adequately capitalized or funded, it may negatively impact our business and financial results. We routinely interact with numerous financial institutions in the ordinary course of business and are therefore exposed to operational and credit risk to those institutions. Failures of such institutions may significantly adversely impact our operations and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Our profitability is vulnerable to interest rate fluctuations.

As a financial institution, our earnings can be significantly affected by changes in interest rates, particularly our net interest income, the rate of loan prepayments, the volume and type of loans originated or produced, the sales of loans on the secondary market and the value of our mortgage servicing rights. Our profitability is dependent to a large extent on our net interest income, which is the difference between our income on interest-earning assets and our expense on interest bearing liabilities. We are affected by changes in general interest rate levels and by other economic factors beyond our control.

Changes in interest rates also affect the average life of loans and mortgage-backed securities. The relatively lower interest rates in recent periods have resulted in increased prepayments of loans and mortgage-backed securities as borrowers have refinanced their mortgages to reduce their borrowing costs. Under these circumstances, we are subject to reinvestment risk to the extent that we are not able to reinvest such prepayments at rates which are comparable to the rates on the prepaid loans or securities. Our inability to manage interest rate risk and fluctuations could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Changes in monetary policies may have a material adverse effect on our business.

Like all regulated financial institutions, we are affected by monetary policies implemented by the Federal Reserve and other federal instrumentalities. A primary instrument of monetary policy employed by the Federal Reserve is the restriction or expansion of the money supply through open market operations. This instrument of monetary policy frequently causes volatile fluctuations in interest rates, and it can have a direct, material adverse effect on the operating results of financial institutions including our business. Borrowings by the United States government to finance government debt may also cause fluctuations in interest rates and have similar effects on the operating results of such institutions. We do not have any control over monetary policies implemented by the Federal Reserve or otherwise and any changes in these policies could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

The market price of our common stock may be subject to substantial fluctuations, which may make it difficult for you to sell your shares at the volume, prices and times desired.

The market price of our common stock may be highly volatile, which may make it difficult for you to resell your shares at the volume, prices and times desired. There are many factors that may impact the market price and trading volume of our common stock, including, without limitation:

- · actual or anticipated fluctuations in our operating results, financial condition or asset quality;
- changes in economic or business conditions;
- the effects of, and changes in, trade, monetary and fiscal policies, including the interest rate policies of the Federal Reserve;
- publication of research reports about us, our competitors, or the financial services industry generally, or changes in, or failure to meet, securities analysts' estimates of our financial and operating performance, or lack of research reports by industry analysts or ceasing of coverage;
- · operating and stock price performance of companies that investors deemed comparable to us;
- · future issuances of our common stock or other securities;
- · additions to or departures of key personnel;
- proposed or adopted changes in laws, regulations or policies affecting us;
- · perceptions in the marketplace regarding our competitors and/or us;
- significant acquisitions or business combinations, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments by or involving our competitors or us;
- other economic, competitive, governmental, regulatory and technological factors affecting our operations, pricing, products and services; and
- other news, announcements or disclosures (whether by us or others) related to us, our competitors, our core market or the financial services industry.

The stock market and, in particular, the market for financial institution stocks, have experienced substantial fluctuations in recent years, which in many cases have been unrelated to the operating performance and prospects of particular companies. In addition, significant fluctuations in the trading volume in our common stock may cause significant price variations to occur. Increased market volatility may materially and adversely affect the market price of our common stock, which could make it difficult to sell your shares at the volume, prices and times desired.

The rights of our common stockholders are subordinate to the rights of the holders of our Series A Preferred Stock and any debt securities that we may issue and may be subordinate to the holders of any other class of preferred stock that we may issue in the future.

We have issued 40,000 shares of our Series A Preferred Stock to the Treasury in connection with our participation in the Small Business Lending Fund program. These shares have certain rights that are senior to our common stock. As a result, we must make payments on the preferred stock before any dividends can be paid on our common stock and, in the event of our bankruptcy, dissolution or liquidation, the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock must be satisfied in full before any distributions can be made to the holders of our common stock. Our board of directors has the authority to issue in the aggregate up to one million shares of preferred stock, and to determine the terms of each issue of preferred stock, without stockholder approval. Accordingly, you should assume that any shares of preferred stock that we may issue in the future will also be senior to our common stock. Because our decision to issue debt or equity securities or incur other borrowings in the future will depend on market conditions and other factors beyond our control, the amount, timing, nature or success of our future capital raising efforts is uncertain. Because our ability to pay dividends on our common stock in the future will depend on our and our bank's financial condition as well as factors outside of our control, our common stockholders bear the risk that no dividends will be paid on our common stock in future periods or that, if paid, such dividends will be reduced or eliminated, which may negatively impact the market price of our common stock.

We and our banking subsidiary are subject to capital and other requirements which restrict our ability to pay dividends.

On September 19, 2013, we announced the approval of the initiation of quarterly cash dividends beginning in 2014. Future declarations of quarterly dividends will be subject to the approval of our board of directors, subject to limits imposed on us by our regulators. In order to pay any dividends, we will need to receive dividends from our bank or have other sources of funds. Under Alabama law, a state-chartered bank may not pay a dividend in excess of 90% of its net earnings until the bank's surplus is equal to at least 20% of its capital (our bank's surplus currently exceeds 20% of its capital). Moreover, our bank is also required by Alabama law to obtain the prior approval of the Superintendent of Banks (the "Superintendent") for its payment of dividends if the total of all dividends declared by our bank in any calendar year will exceed the total of (1) our bank's net earnings (as defined by statute) for that year, plus (2) its retained net earnings for the preceding two years, less any required transfers to surplus. In addition, the bank must maintain certain capital levels, which may restrict the ability of the bank to pay dividends to us and our ability to pay dividends to our stockholders. As of December 31, 2014, our bank could pay approximately \$129.1 million of dividends to us without prior approval of the Superintendent. However, the payment of dividends is also subject to declaration by our board of directors, which takes into account our financial condition, earnings, general economic conditions and other factors, including statutory and regulatory restrictions. There can be no assurance that dividends will in fact be paid on our common stock in future periods or that, if paid, such dividends will not be reduced or eliminated.

Alabama and Delaware law limit the ability of others to acquire the bank, which may restrict your ability to fully realize the value of your common stock.

In many cases, stockholders receive a premium for their shares when one company purchases another. Alabama and Delaware law make it difficult for anyone to purchase the bank or us without approval of our board of directors. Thus, your ability to realize the potential benefits of any sale by us may be limited, even if such sale would represent a greater value for stockholders than our continued independent operation.

Our Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, authorizes the issuance of preferred stock which could adversely affect holders of our common stock and discourage a takeover of us by a third party.

Our certificate of incorporation, as amended (or, our "charter") authorizes our board of directors to issue up to 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock without any further action on the part of our stockholders. In 2011, we issued 40,000 shares of our Series A Preferred Stock with certain rights and preferences set forth in the certificate of designation for such preferred stock. Our board of directors also has the power, without stockholder approval, to set the terms of any series of preferred stock that may be issued, including voting rights, dividend rights, and preferences over our common stock with respect to dividends or in the event of a dissolution, liquidation or winding up and other terms. In the event that we issue preferred stock in the future that has preference over our common stock with respect to payment of dividends or upon our liquidation, dissolution or winding up, or if we issue preferred stock with voting rights that dilute the voting power of our common stock, the rights of the holders of our common stock or the market price of our common stock could be adversely affected. In addition, the ability of our board of directors to issue shares of preferred stock without any action on the part of the stockholders may impede a takeover of us and prevent a transaction favorable to our stockholders.

An investment in our common stock is not an insured deposit and is subject to risk of loss.

Our common stock is not a bank deposit and, therefore, is not insured against loss by the FDIC, any deposit insurance fund or by any other public or private entity. Investment in our common stock is inherently risky for the reasons described in this "Risk Factors" section and is subject to the same market forces that affect the price of common stock in any company. As a result, an investor may lose some or all of such investor's investment in our common stock.

Our corporate governance documents, and certain corporate and banking laws applicable to us, could make a takeover more difficult.

Certain provisions of our charter and bylaws, as amended, and corporate and federal banking laws, could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire control of our organization, even if those events were perceived by many of our stockholders as beneficial to their interests. These provisions, and the corporate and banking laws and regulations applicable to us:

- provide that special meetings of stockholders may be called at any time by the Chairman of our board of directors, by the President or by order of the board of directors:
- enable our board of directors to issue preferred stock up to the authorized amount, with such preferences, limitations and relative rights, including voting rights, as may be determined from time to time by the board;
- enable our board of directors to increase the number of persons serving as directors and to fill the vacancies created as a result of the increase by a majority vote of the directors present at the meeting;
- · enable our board of directors to amend our bylaws without stockholder approval; and
- do not provide for cumulative voting rights (therefore allowing the holders of a majority of the shares of common stock entitled to vote in any election of directors to elect all of the directors standing for election, if they should so choose).

These provisions may discourage potential acquisition proposals and could delay or prevent a change in control, including under circumstances in which our stockholders might otherwise receive a premium over the market price of our shares.

Risks Related to the Metro Bank Acquisition

If we are unable to successfully integrate the operations of Metro Bank, we could be materially and adversely affected.

On January 31, 2015, we completed the merger with Metro Bancshares, Inc. ("Metro"), which resulted in the acquisition of 100% of all the outstanding shares of Metro, including all outstanding options and warrants. The acquisition of Metro represents our first strategic acquisition and our entry into the Atlanta metropolitan market. The transaction involves the integration of the operations of Metro Bank, the former wholly-owned subsidiary of Metro. Successful integration of these operations will depend primarily on our ability to consolidate standards, controls, procedures and policies. This transaction will also pose other risks commonly associated with similar transactions, including unanticipated liabilities, unexpected costs and the diversion of management's attention to the integration of the operations of Metro Bank. We may not be able to integrate these operations without encountering difficulties, including, but not limited to, the disruption of our ongoing businesses or possible inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies. If we have difficulties with any of these integrations, we might not achieve the economic benefits we expect to result from the transaction, and this may hurt our business and financial results. Additional risks include, but are not limited to, the following:

- · inability to compete in a new market;
- · projections of estimated future revenues or cost savings that we developed during the due diligence and integration planning process may not be achieved;
- adverse impact on the effectiveness of our internal controls and compliance with the regulatory requirements under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002;
- · unanticipated issues, expenses and liabilities; diversion of our management's attention away from other business concerns; and
- · exposure to any undisclosed or unknown potential liabilities relating to Metro Bank.

We cannot assure you that we would be able to integrate the operations of Metro Bank without encountering difficulties or that any such difficulties will not have a material adverse effect on us. Furthermore, if we fail to realize the intended benefits of the Metro acquisition, the market price of our common stock could decline to the extent that the market price reflects those benefits.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS.

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES.

As of December 31, 2014, we operated through 14 banking offices, including our loan production office in Nashville Tennessee, which does not include the offices in the Atlanta metropolitan area we will operate as a result of the Metro Bank acquisition. Our Shades Creek Parkway office also includes our corporate headquarters. We believe that our banking offices are in good condition, are suitable to our needs and, for the most part, are relatively new. The following table gives pertinent details about our banking offices.

State MSA

WISA				
Office Address	City	Zip Code	Owned or Leased	Date Opened
Alabama:				
Birmingham-Hoover:				
850 Shades Creek Parkway, Suite 200 (1)	Birmingham	35209	Leased	3/2/2005
324 Richard Arrington Jr. Boulevard North	Birmingham	35203	Leased	12/19/2005
5403 Highway 280, Suite 401	Birmingham	35242	Leased	8/15/2006
Total	_	3 Offices		
Huntsville:				
401 Meridian Street, Suite 100	Huntsville	35801	Leased	11/21/2006
1267 Enterprise Way, Suite A (1)	Huntsville	35806	Leased	8/21/2006
Total		2 Offices		
Montgomery:				
1 Commerce Street, Suite 200	Montgomery	36104	Leased	6/4/2007
8117 Vaughn Road, Unit 20	Montgomery	36116	Leased	9/26/2007

Total		2 Offices		
Dothan:				
	D (1	26205	т 1	10/17/2000
4801 West Main Street (1)	Dothan	36305	Leased	10/17/2008
1640 Ross Clark Circle	Dothan	36301	Leased	2/1/2011
Total		2 Offices		
Mobile:				
100 St. Joseph Street (1)	Mobile	36602	Leased	7/9/2012
4400 Old Shell Road	Mobile	36602	Leased	9/3/2014
Total Offices in Alabama		11 Offices		
Dl!. 1				
Florida:				
Pensacola-Ferry Pass-Brent:				
316 South Baylen Street, Suite 100	Pensacola	32502	Leased	4/1/2011
4980 North 12th Avenue	Pensacola	32504	Owned	8/27/2012
Total		2 Offices		
T.				
Tennessee:				
Nashville:				
611 Commerce Street, Suite 3131 (2)	Nashville	37203	Leased	6/4/2013
Total Offices		14 Offices		
Total Offices		14 Offices		

⁽¹⁾ Offices relocated to this address. Original offices opened on date indicated. (2) Office is a loan production office only.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

Neither we nor the Bank is currently subject to any material legal proceedings. In the ordinary course of business, the Bank is involved in routine litigation, such as claims to enforce liens, claims involving the making and servicing of real property loans, and other issues incident to the Bank's business. Management does not believe that there are any threatened proceedings against us or the Bank which, if determined adversely, would have a material effect on our or the Bank's business, financial position or results of operations.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURE

Not applicable.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.

Our common stock is listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "SFBS." As of February 27, 2015, there were 851 holders of record of our common stock. As of the close of business on February 27, 2015, the price of our common stock was \$32.14 per share. All share and per share data in this Annual Report on Form 10-K is adjusted to reflect our three-for-one stock split in the form of a stock dividend effective on July 16, 2014 for stockholders of record on July 9, 2014.

The following table sets forth the reported high and low sales price of our common stock as quoted on the NASDAQ during each quarter since we completed our initial public offering in May 2014.

2014	High Sale	Low Sale
4th quarter	\$ 35.10	\$ 28.00
3rd quarter	30.30	27.52
2nd quarter	30.96	26.50

Dividends

We paid a cash dividend of \$0.17 per common share on December 31, 2012 and \$0.17 per common share on December 16, 2013. In September 2013, we announced a plan to initiate the payment of a quarterly cash dividend beginning in 2014. Quarterly cash dividends of \$0.15 per common share were paid on each of April 14, 2014 and July 15, 2014. Following completion of our three-for-one stock split on July 16, 2014, we declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.05 per common share, which was paid on each of October 6, 2014 and January 6, 2015. Future declarations of quarterly cash dividends will be subject to the approval of the Board and may be adjusted as business needs or market conditions change. The principal source of our cash flow, including cash flow to pay dividends, comes from dividends that the Bank pays to us as its sole stockholder. Statutory and regulatory limitations apply to the Bank's payment of dividends to us, as well as our payment of dividends to our stockholders. For a more complete discussion on the restrictions on dividends, see "Supervision and Regulation - Payment of Dividends" in Item 1. We also pay quarterly dividends on our 40,000 shares of outstanding Non-cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock pursuant to its Certificate of Designation.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

We had no sales of unregistered securities in 2014 other than those previously reported in our reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

On May 13, 2014, the Company's registration statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-193401), which related to the Company's initial public offering, was declared effective by the SEC. Under that registration statement, we registered and sold an aggregate of 1,875,000 shares of common stock at a price to the public of \$30.333 per share, generating gross offering proceeds of approximately \$56.9 million. The net proceeds of the sale of such shares, after underwriting commissions and offering expenses, were approximately \$52.1 million. There has been no material change in the planned use of proceeds from the initial public offering as described in the final prospectus filed with the SEC on May 14, 2014 under Rule 424(b) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. We applied approximately \$20.9 million of the proceeds from the initial public offering toward the acquisition of Metro Bank. on January 31, 2015. See "Recent Developments – Metro Bank acquisition" in Item 1. BUSINESS of this Form 10-K for further information about this acquisition.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Registrant and Affiliated Purchasers

We made no repurchases of our equity securities, and no "affiliated purchasers" (as defined in Rule 10b-18(a) (3) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) purchased any shares of our equity securities during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table sets forth certain information as of December 31, 2014 relating to stock options granted under our 2005 Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan and our 2009 Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan and other options or warrants issued outside of such plans, if any.

			Number of Securities
	Number of Securities		Remaining Available For
	Issued/To Be Issued	Weighted-average	Future Issuance Under
	Upon Exercise of	Exercise Price of	Equity Compensation
Plan Category	Outstanding Awards	Outstanding Awards	Plans
Equity Compensation Award-Plans Approved by Security Holders	1,622,917	\$ 9.38	2,018,510
Equity Compensation Awards-Plans Not Approved by Security Holders	-	-	-
Total	1,622,917	\$ 9.38	2,018,510

We award stock options as incentive to employees, officers, directors and consultants to attract or retain these individuals, to maintain and enhance our long-term performance and profitability, and to allow these individuals to acquire an ownership interest in our Company. Our compensation committee administers this program, making all decisions regarding grants and amendments to these awards. An incentive stock option may not be exercised later than 90 days after an option holder terminates his or her employment with us unless such termination is a consequence of such option holder's death or disability, in which case the option period may be extended for up to one year after termination of employment. All of our issued options will vest immediately upon a transaction in which we merge or consolidate with or into any other corporation (unless we are the surviving corporation), or sell or otherwise transfer our property, assets or business substantially in its entirety to a successor corporation. At that time, upon the exercise of an option, the option holder will receive the number of shares of stock or other securities or property, including cash, to which the holder of a like number of shares of common stock would have been entitled upon the merger, consolidation, sale or transfer if such option had been exercised in full immediately prior thereto. All of our issued options have a term of 10 years. This means the options must be exercised within 10 years from the date of the grant.

We have granted 235,500 (post-stock split) shares of restricted stock under the 2009 Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan. These shares generally vest between three and five years from the date of grant, subject to earlier vesting in the event of a merger, consolidation, sale or transfer of the Company or substantially all of its assets and business.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.

The following table sets forth selected historical consolidated financial data from our consolidated financial statements and should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements including the related notes and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" which are included below. Except for the data under "Selected Performance Ratios", "Core Performance Ratios", "Asset Quality Ratios", "Liquidity Ratios", "Capital Adequacy Ratios" and "Growth Ratios", the selected historical consolidated financial data as of December 31, 2014, 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010 and for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010 are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes.

2014 2013 2012 2010 (Dollars in thousands except for share and per share data) Selected Balance Sheet Data: Total Assets \$ 4,098,679 3,520,699 2,906,314 2,460,785 1,935,166 Total Loans 3,359,858 2,858,868 1,830,742 1,394,818 2,363,182 Loans, net 3,324,229 2,828,205 2,336,924 1,808,712 1,376,741 Securities available for sale 293,809 298,310 265,728 233,877 276,959 Securities held to maturity 29 355 32.274 25 967 15 209 5.234 Cash and due from banks 48,519 61,370 58,031 43,018 27,454 Interest-bearing balances with banks 248,054 188,411 99,350 119 423 204,278 Fed funds sold 891 8,634 3,291 100,565 346 Mortgage loans held for sale 5,984 8,134 25,826 17,859 7,875 4,230 Restricted equity securities 3,921 3,941 3,501 3.510 Premises and equipment, net 7,815 8,351 8,847 4,591 4,450 3,398,160 3,019,642 2,511,572 2,143,887 Deposits 1,758,716 Other borrowings 284,288 194,320 136,982 84,219 24,937 Subordinated debentures 15.050 30.514 30.420 9,018 9 5 4 5 Other liabilities 9,453 5,873 3.993 Stockholders' Equity 407,213 297,192 233,257 196,292 117,100 Selected income Statement Data: Interest income 144,725 126,081 109,023 91,411 78,146 Interest expense 14.119 13.619 14,901 16,080 15 260 Net interest income 130,606 112,462 94,122 75,331 62,886 Provision for loan losses 10,259 13,008 9,100 8,972 10,350 85,022 Net interest income after provision for loan losses 120,347 99,454 66,359 52.536 9,643 Noninterest income 11,229 10,010 6,926 5,169 57,598 47,489 30,969 Noninterest expense 43,100 37,458 Income before income taxes 73 978 61,975 51,565 35,827 26,736 Income taxes expenses 21,601 20,358 17,120 12,389 9,358 41,617 23,438 17,378 Net income 52.377 34,445 Net income available to common stockholders 51,946 41,201 34,045 23,238 17,378 Per common Share Data: Net income, basic 2.18 2.00 1 89 1 34 1.05 Net income, diluted 2.09 1.90 1.66 1.18 0.95 \$ \$ \$ 14.81 Book value 11.67 10.28 \$ 8.78 7.06 Weighted average shares outstanding: Basic 23,855,001 20,607,213 17,989,311 17,278,572 16,557,453 Diluted 24,818,221 21,806,025 20,825,256 20,247,489 18,883,812 24.801.518 22,050,036 18,806,436 17,796,546 16,582,446 Actual shares outstanding Selected Performance Ratios: Return on average assets 1.39% 1.32% 1.31% 1.12% 1.04% Return on average stockholders' equity 14.43% 15.70% 15.99% 14.86% 15.86% 10.02% Dividend payout ratio 9.57% 8.79% -% -% Net interest margin (1) 3.68% 3.80% 3.80% 3.79% 3 94% 41.54% 45.54% Efficiency ratio (2) 40.61% 38.78% 45.51% Core Performance Data (3) Core net income available to common stockholders 53 558 Core earnings per share, basic 2.25 Core earnings per share, diluted 2.16 1.44% Core return on average assets Core return on average stockholders' equity 15.00% Core return on average commonstockholders' equity 16.74% Core efficiency ratio 38.86% Asset quality Ratios: Net charge-offs to average loans outstanding 0.17% 0.24% 0.32% 0.55% 0.33% Non-performing loans to totals loans 0.30% 0.34% 0.44% 0.75% 1.03% Non-performing assets to total assets 0.64% 0.69% 1.06% 0.41% 1 10% Allowance for loan losses to total gross loans 1.06% 1.07% 1.11% 1.20% 1.30% Allowance for loan losses to total non-performing loans 354.52% 314.94% 253.50% 159.96% 126.00% **Liquidity Ratios:** 97.82% 93.05% Net loans to total deposits 93.66% 84 37% 78.28% 83.94% 84.65% 79.82% Net average loans to average earning assets 76 71% 78 04% Noninterest-bearing deposits to total deposits 23.85% 21.54% 21.71% 19.54% 14.24% **Capital Adequacy Ratios:** 8.03% 7.97% 6.05% Stockholders' Equity to total assets 9.94% 8.44% Total risked-based capital (4) 13.38% 11.73% 11.78% 12.79% 11.82% 11.75% Tier 1 capital (5) 10 00% 9 89% 11.39% 10.22% Leverage ratio (6) 9.91% 8.48% 7.77% 8.43% 9.17% **Growth Ratios:** Percentage change in net income 25.85% 20.82% 46.96% 34.87% 195.64% Percentage change in diluted net income per share 10.00% 14.46% 40.68% 24.21% 179.41% Percentage change in assets 16.42% 21.14% 18.11% 27.16% 22.99% 21.02% Percentage change in net loans 17.54% 29.20% 31.38% 15.48% Percentage change in deposits 12.54% 17 15% 21 90% 22.78% 20 23% Percentage change in equity 37.02% 27.41% 18.83% 67.63% 19.95%

As of and for the years ended December 31

- (1) Net interest margin is the net yield on interest earning assets and is the difference between the interest yield earned on interest-earning assets and interest rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities, divided by average earning assets.
- (2) Efficiency ratio is the result of noninterest expense divided by the sum of net interest income and noninterest income.
- (3) Core metrics exclude a non-routine expense in the first quarter of 2014 related to the correction of our accounting for vested stock options granted to our advisory board members in our Huntsville, Montgomery and Dothan, Alabama markets, and a non-routine expense in the second quarter of 2014 related to the acceleration of vesting of stock options previously granted to our advisory board members in our Mobile, Alabama and Pensacola, Florida markets. For a reconciliation of these non-GAAP measures to the most comparable GAAP measure, see "GAAP Reconciliation and Management Explanation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures." None of the other periods included in our selected consolidated financial information are affected by such non-routine expenses.
- (4) Total stockholders' equity excluding unrealized gains/(losses) on securities available for sale, net of taxes, and intangible assets plus allowance for loan losses (limited to 1.25% of risk-weighted assets) divided by total risk-weighted assets. The FDIC required minimum to be well capitalized is 10%.
- (5)Total stockholders' equity excluding unrealized gains/(losses) on securities available for sale, net of taxes, and intangible assets divided by total risk-weighted assets. The FDIC required minimum to be well-capitalized is 6%.
- (6) Total stockholders' equity excluding unrealized losses on securities available for sale, net of taxes, and intangible assets divided by average assets less intangible assets. The FDIC required minimum to the be well-capitalized is 5%; however, the Alabama Banking Department has required that the Bank maintain a Tier 1 capital ratio of 8%.

GAAP Reconciliation and Management Explanation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures

We recorded a non-routine expense of \$0.7 million for the first quarter of 2014 resulting from the correction of our accounting for vested stock options previously granted to members of our advisory boards in our Huntsville, Montgomery and Dothan, Alabama markets, and we recorded a non-routine expense of \$1.8 million for the second quarter of 2014 resulting from an acceleration of vesting of stock options previously granted to members of our advisory boards in our Mobile, Alabama and Pensacola, Florida markets. This change in accounting treatment is a non-cash item and does not impact our operating activities or cash from operations. The non-GAAP financial measures included in this annual report on Form 10-K results for the year ended December 31, 2014 are "core net income available to common stockholders," "core earnings per share, diluted," "core return on average assets," "core return on average stockholders' equity," "core return on average common stockholders' equity" and "core efficiency ratio." Each of these seven core financial measures excludes the impact of the non-routine expense attributable to the correction of our accounting for stock options and related acceleration of vesting of such stock options. None of the other periods included in our selected financial data are affected by this correction and acceleration of vesting.

"Core net income available to common stockholders" is defined as net income available to common stockholders, adjusted by the net effect of the non-routine expense.

"Core earnings per share, basic" is defined as net income available to common stockholders, adjusted by the net effect of the non-routine expense, divided by weighted average shares outstanding.

"Core earnings per share, diluted" is defined as net income available to common stockholders, adjusted by the net effect of the non-routine expense, divided by weighted average diluted shares outstanding.

"Core return on average assets" is defined as net income, adjusted by the net effect of the non-routine expense, divided by average total assets.

"Core return of average stockholders' equity" is defined as net income, adjusted by the net effect of the non-routine expense, divided by average total stockholders' equity.

"Core return of average common stockholders' equity" is defined as net income, adjusted by the net effect of the non-routine expense, divided by average common stockholders' equity.

"Core efficiency ratio" is defined as non-interest expense, adjusted by the effect of the non-routine expense, divided by the sum of net interest income and non-interest income.

We believe these non-GAAP financial measures provide useful information to management and investors that is supplementary to our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows computed in accordance with GAAP; however, we acknowledge that these non-GAAP financial measures have a number of limitations. As such, you should not view these disclosures as a substitute for results determined in accordance with GAAP, and they are not necessarily comparable to non-GAAP financial measures that other companies, including those in our industry, use. The following reconciliation table provides a more detailed analysis of the non-GAAP financial measures for the year ended December 31, 2014. All amounts are in thousands, except share and per share data.

Provision for income taxes - GAAP \$ 21,601 Adjustments: 365 Core income tax expense \$ 22,466 Net income available to common stockholders - GAAP \$ 51,946 Adjustments: 4 1,612 Core net income available to common stockholders \$ 53,558 Earnings per share, basic - GAAP \$ 2,18 Weighted average shares outstanding, diluted \$ 2,25 Earnings per share, basic core earnings per share, basic \$ 2,09 Weighted average shares outstanding, diluted \$ 2,09 Weighted average shares outstanding, diluted \$ 2,16 Core earnings per share, basic \$ 2,16 Return on average assets - GAAP \$ 2,16 Return on average assets - GAAP \$ 52,377 Adjustments: \$ 1,39% Average assets \$ 3,758,184 Core net income \$ 3,758,184 Average assets \$ 3,758,184 Core net income \$ 3,959,63 Average assets \$ 3,758,184 Core return on average assets \$ 3,758,184 Return on average stockholders' equity \$ 35,963			2014
Adjustment for non-routine expense 865 Core income tax expense \$ 22,466 Net income available to common stockholders - GAAP \$ 51,946 Adjustments: \$ 1,012 Core net income available to common stockholders \$ 53,558 Earnings per share, basic - GAAP \$ 2.18 Weighted average shares outstanding, diluted 23,855,001 Core earnings per share, basic \$ 2.05 Earnings per share, diluted - GAAP \$ 2.09 Weighted average shares outstanding, diluted 24,818,21 Core earnings per share, basic \$ 2.16 Return on average assets - GAAP \$ 2.09 Weighted average shares outstanding, diluted \$ 2.37 Return on average assets - GAAP \$ 2.16 Return on average assets - GAAP \$ 52,37 Adjustment for non-routine expense 1,612 Core ent income \$ 3,558,184 Core ent income \$ 3,558,184 Core ent income \$ 3,558,184 Core return on average stockholders' equity - GAAP \$ 1,44% Return on average stockholders' equity - GAAP \$ 35,963 Average common stockh	Provision for income taxes - GAAP	\$	21,601
Core income tax expense \$ 22,466 Net income available to common stockholders - GAAP \$ 51,946 Adjustment for non-routine expense 1,612 Core net income available to common stockholders \$ 53,558 Earnings per share, basic - GAAP \$ 2.18 Weighted average shares outstanding, diluted 23,855,001 Core earnings per share, basic \$ 2.09 Earnings per share, basic \$ 2.16 Ceture carnings per share, basic \$ 2.16 Ceture carnings per share, basic \$ 2.16 Ceture carnings per share, basic \$ 2.16 Ceture on average assets - GAAP \$ 2.377 Net income - GAAP \$ 52,377 Adjustments \$ 1,612 Core net income \$ 339,89 Average assets \$ 3,758,184 Core return on average stockholders' equity - GAAP \$ 14,43% Return on average stockholders' equity - GAAP \$ 14,23% Average stockholders' equity - GAAP \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 32,005 Core retur	Adjustments:		
Net income available to common stockholders - GAAP \$ 51,946 Adjustments: 1,612 Core net income available to common stockholders \$ 53,558 Earnings per share, basic - GAAP \$ 2,18 Weighted average shares outstanding, diluted 23,855,001 Core earnings per share, basic \$ 2,25 Earnings per share, diluted - GAAP \$ 2,09 Weighted average shares outstanding, diluted 2,4818,221 Core earnings per share, basic \$ 2,16 Return on average sasets - GAAP \$ 2,27 Net income - GAAP \$ 52,377 Adjustments: 1,612 Core net income 5 3,989 Average assets \$ 3,758,184 Core net income 5 3,989 Average assets \$ 3,758,184 Core return on average assets \$ 3,758,184 Core return on average stockholders' equity - GAAP 1,44% Return on average stockholders' equity - GAAP 15,00% Return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 359,963 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equ	Adjustment for non-routine expense		865
Adjustments: 1,612 Core net income available to common stockholders \$ 53,558 Earnings per share, basic - GAAP \$ 2,18 Weighted average shares outstanding, diluted 23,855,001 Core earnings per share, basic \$ 2,25 Earnings per share, basic \$ 2,09 Weighted average shares outstanding, diluted 24,818,221 Core earnings per share, basic \$ 2,16 Return on average assets - GAAP \$ 52,377 Net income - GAAP \$ 52,377 Adjustments: \$ 1,39% Net income on- GAAP \$ 35,989 Average assets \$ 3,758,184 Core net income 33,989 Average assets \$ 3,758,184 Core return on average assets \$ 14,43% Average stockholders' equity - GAAP \$ 359,963 Core return on average stockholders' equity \$ 359,963 Core return on average stockholders' equity \$ 359,963 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity	Core income tax expense	\$	22,466
Adjustment for non-routine expense 1,612 Core net income available to common stockholders \$ 53,558 Earnings per share, basic - GAAP 23,855,001 Core earnings per share, basic \$ 2,25 Earnings per share, basic \$ 2,09 Weighted average shares outstanding, diluted 24,818,221 Core earnings per share, diluted - GAAP \$ 2,16 Return on average assets - GAAP \$ 2,37 Net income - GAAP \$ 52,37 Adjustment for non-routine expense 1,612 Core net income 53,989 Average assets \$ 3,758,184 Core return on average assets \$ 359,963 Return on average stockholders' equity - GAAP \$ 359,963 Core return on average stockholders' equity \$ 359,963 Return on average stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equ	Net income available to common stockholders - GAAP	\$	51,946
Core net income available to common stockholders \$ 33,558 Earnings per share, basic - GAAP \$ 2,18 Weighted average shares outstanding, diluted 23,855,001 Core earnings per share, basic \$ 2,25 Earnings per share, diluted - GAAP \$ 2,09 Weighted average shares outstanding, diluted 24,818,221 Core earnings per share, basic \$ 2,16 Return on average assets - GAAP 1,39% Net income - GAAP \$ 52,377 Adjustment for non-routine expense 1,612 Core net income \$ 3,989 Average assets \$ 3,758,184 Core return on average assets 1,44% Return on average stockholders' equity - GAAP 14,43% Average stockholders' equity - GAAP \$ 359,963 Core return on average stockholders' equity \$ 359,963 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 350,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 350,005 Core return on average common stockholders' e	Adjustments:		
Earnings per share, basic - GAAP \$ 2.18 Weighted average shares outstanding, diluted 23,855,001 Core earnings per share, basic \$ 2.25 Earnings per share, diluted - GAAP \$ 2.09 Weighted average shares outstanding, diluted 24,818,221 Core earnings per share, basic \$ 2.16 Return on average assets - GAAP \$ 52,377 Net income - GAAP \$ 52,377 Adjustments: 1,612 Core net income 53,989 Average assets \$ 3,758,184 Core return on average assets 1,44% Return on average stockholders' equity - GAAP 14.3% Average stockholders' equity - GAAP 15.00% Core return on average stockholders' equity \$ 359,963 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 350,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity <t< td=""><td>Adjustment for non-routine expense</td><td></td><td>1,612</td></t<>	Adjustment for non-routine expense		1,612
Weighted average shares outstanding, diluted 23,855,001 Core earnings per share, basic \$ 2.25 Earnings per share, diluted - GAAP 24,818,221 Core earnings per share, basic \$ 2.16 Return on average assets - GAAP 1.39% Not income - GAAP \$ 52,377 Adjustments: 1,612 Core net income 53,989 Average assets \$ 3,758,184 Core return on average ssets 1.44% Return on average stockholders' equity - GAAP 14.43% Average stockholders' equity - GAAP 15.00% Return on average stockholders' equity \$ 359,963 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders'	Core net income available to common stockholders	\$	53,558
Core earnings per share, basic \$ 2.25 Earnings per share, diluted - GAAP \$ 2.09 Weighted average shares outstanding, diluted 24,818,221 Core earnings per share, basic \$ 2.16 Return on average assets - GAAP 1.39% Net income - GAAP \$ 52,377 Adjustments 1,612 Core net income 53,989 Average assets \$ 3,758,184 Core net income 53,989 Average assets \$ 3,758,184 Core return on average stockholders' equity - GAAP 14.4% Return on average stockholders' equity - GAAP \$ 359,963 Core return on average stockholders' equity \$ 359,963 Core return on average stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 32,005 Core return on on-routine expense \$ 57,598	Earnings per share, basic - GAAP	\$	2.18
Earnings per share, diluted - GAAP \$ 2.09 Weighted average shares outstanding, diluted 24,818,221 Core earnings per share, basic \$ 2.16 Return on average assets - GAAP 1.39% Net income - GAAP \$ 52,377 Adjustments:	Weighted average shares outstanding, diluted		23,855,001
Weighted average shares outstanding, diluted 24,818,221 Core earnings per share, basic \$ 2.16 Return on average assets - GAAP 1.39% Net income - GAAP \$ 52,377 Adjustments:	Core earnings per share, basic	\$	2.25
Core earnings per share, basic \$ 2.16 Return on average assets - GAAP 1.39% Net income - GAAP \$ 52,377 Adjustments: - 1,612 Core net income 53,989 Average assets \$ 3,758,184 Core return on average assets 1.44% Return on average stockholders' equity - GAAP 14.3% Average stockholders' equity \$ 359,963 Core return on average stockholders' equity 15.00% Return on average common stockholders' equity 16.23% Average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Non-interest expense - GAAP 40.61% Non-interest expense - GAAP \$ 57,598 Adjustments: 2,477 Core non-interest expense 55,121 Not interest income 130,606 Non-interest income 11,229 Total net interest income and non-interest income \$ 141,835 <td>Earnings per share, diluted - GAAP</td> <td>\$</td> <td>2.09</td>	Earnings per share, diluted - GAAP	\$	2.09
Return on average assets - GAAP 1.39% Net income - GAAP \$ 52,377 Adjustments:			, ,
Net income - GAAP \$ 52,377 Adjustments: 1,612 Core net income 53,989 Average assets \$ 3,758,184 Core return on average assets 1,44% Return on average stockholders' equity - GAAP 14,43% Average stockholders' equity \$ 359,963 Core return on average stockholders' equity 15,00% Return on average common stockholders' equity 16,23% Average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity \$ 37,598 Adjustments: \$ 57,598 Adjustments: \$ 2,477 Core non-interest expense \$ 55,121 Net interest income 55,121 Non-interest income 11,229 Total net interest income and non-interest income \$ 141,835		\$	
Adjustments: 1,612 Core net income 53,989 Average assets \$ 3,758,184 Core return on average assets 1,44% Return on average stockholders' equity - GAAP 14,43% Average stockholders' equity \$ 359,963 Core return on average stockholders' equity 15,00% Return on average common stockholders' equity 16,23% Average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity 16,74% Efficiency ratio - GAAP 40,61% Non-interest expense - GAAP \$ 57,598 Adjustments: 2,477 Adjustment for non-routine expense 5,121 Net interest income 130,606 Non-interest income 11,229 Total net interest income and non-interest income \$ 141,835			-10770
Adjustment for non-routine expense 1,612 Core net income 53,989 Average assets \$ 3,758,184 Core return on average assets 1.44% Return on average stockholders' equity - GAAP 14,43% Average stockholders' equity \$ 359,963 Core return on average stockholders' equity 15,00% Return on average common stockholders' equity 16,23% Average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity 16,74% Efficiency ratio - GAAP 40,61% Non-interest expense - GAAP \$ 57,598 Adjustments: 2,477 Core non-interest expense 55,121 Net interest income 130,606 Non-interest income 11,229 Total net interest income and non-interest income \$ 141,835	Net income - GAAP	\$	52,377
Core net income 53,989 Average assets \$ 3,758,184 Core return on average assets 1,44% Return on average stockholders' equity - GAAP 14,43% Average stockholders' equity \$ 359,963 Core return on average stockholders' equity 15,00% Return on average common stockholders' equity 16,23% Average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity 16,74% Efficiency ratio - GAAP 40,61% Non-interest expense - GAAP \$ 57,598 Adjustments: 2,477 Core non-interest expense 55,121 Net interest income 130,606 Non-interest income 11,229 Total net interest income and non-interest income \$ 141,835	j		
Average assets \$ 3,758,184 Core return on average assets 1.44% Return on average stockholders' equity - GAAP 14.43% Average stockholders' equity \$ 359,963 Core return on average stockholders' equity 15.00% Return on average common stockholders' equity 16.23% Average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity 16.74% Efficiency ratio - GAAP 40.61% Non-interest expense - GAAP \$ 57,598 Adjustments: 2,477 Core non-interest expense 55,121 Net interest income 130,606 Non-interest income 11,229 Total net interest income and non-interest income \$ 141,835			,
Core return on average assets 1.44% Return on average stockholders' equity - GAAP 14.43% Average stockholders' equity \$ 359,963 Core return on average stockholders' equity 15.00% Return on average common stockholders' equity 16.23% Average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity 16.74% Efficiency ratio - GAAP 40.61% Non-interest expense - GAAP \$ 57,598 Adjustments: 2,477 Core non-interest expense 55,121 Net interest income 130,606 Non-interest income 11,229 Total net interest income and non-interest income \$ 141,835			/
Return on average stockholders' equity - GAAP 14.43% Average stockholders' equity \$ 359,963 Core return on average stockholders' equity 15,00% Return on average common stockholders' equity 16,23% Average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity 16,74% Efficiency ratio - GAAP 40.61% Non-interest expense - GAAP \$ 57,598 Adjustments: 2,477 Core non-interest expense 55,121 Net interest income 130,606 Non-interest income 11,229 Total net interest income and non-interest income \$ 141,835	e	\$, ,
Average stockholders' equity \$ 359,963 Core return on average stockholders' equity 15,00% Return on average common stockholders' equity 16,23% Average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity 16,74% Efficiency ratio - GAAP 40,61% Non-interest expense - GAAP \$ 57,598 Adjustments: 2,477 Core non-interest expense 55,121 Net interest income 130,606 Non-interest income 11,229 Total net interest income and non-interest income \$ 141,835			
Core return on average stockholders' equity 15.00% Return on average common stockholders' equity 16.23% Average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity 16.74% Efficiency ratio - GAAP 40.61% Non-interest expense - GAAP \$ 57,598 Adjustments: 2,477 Core non-interest expense 55,121 Net interest income 130,606 Non-interest income and non-interest income 11,229 Total net interest income and non-interest income \$ 141,835			
Return on average common stockholders' equity 15.00% Average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity 16.74% Efficiency ratio - GAAP 40.61% Non-interest expense - GAAP \$ 57,598 Adjustments: 2,477 Core non-interest expense 55,121 Net interest income 130,606 Non-interest income 11,229 Total net interest income and non-interest income \$ 141,835		\$	359,963
Return on average common stockholders' equity 16.23% Average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity 16.74% Efficiency ratio - GAAP 40.61% Non-interest expense - GAAP \$ 57,598 Adjustments: 2,477 Core non-interest expense 55,121 Net interest income 130,606 Non-interest income 11,229 Total net interest income and non-interest income \$ 141,835	Core return on average stockholders' equity		15.00%
Average common stockholders' equity \$ 320,005 Core return on average common stockholders' equity 16.74% Efficiency ratio - GAAP 40.61% Non-interest expense - GAAP \$ 57,598 Adjustments: 2,477 Core non-interest expense 55,121 Net interest income 130,606 Non-interest income 11,229 Total net interest income and non-interest income \$ 141,835	Return on average common stockholders' equity		
Core return on average common stockholders' equity 16.74% Efficiency ratio - GAAP 40.61% Non-interest expense - GAAP \$ 57,598 Adjustments: 2,477 Core non-interest expense 55,121 Net interest income 130,606 Non-interest income 11,229 Total net interest income and non-interest income \$ 141,835		S	
Efficiency ratio - GAAP 40.61% Non-interest expense - GAAP \$ 57,598 Adjustments:			,
Non-interest expense - GAAP \$ 57,598 Adjustments: 2,477 Adjustment for non-routine expense 2,477 Core non-interest expense 55,121 Net interest income 130,606 Non-interest income 11,229 Total net interest income and non-interest income \$ 141,835			40.61%
Adjustments: 2,477 Adjustment for non-routine expense 2,477 Core non-interest expense 55,121 Net interest income 130,606 Non-interest income 11,229 Total net interest income and non-interest income \$ 141,835		\$	57,598
Core non-interest expense 55,121 Net interest income 130,606 Non-interest income 11,229 Total net interest income and non-interest income \$ 141,835			ĺ
Core non-interest expense 55,121 Net interest income 130,606 Non-interest income 11,229 Total net interest income and non-interest income \$ 141,835	Adjustment for non-routine expense		2,477
Net interest income130,606Non-interest income11,229Total net interest income and non-interest income\$ 141,835	Core non-interest expense		
Total net interest income and non-interest income \$ 141,835			130,606
Total net interest income and non-interest income \$ 141,835	Non-interest income		11,229
, ,,,,,	Total net interest income and non-interest income	\$	
		·	/

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following is a narrative discussion and analysis of significant changes in our results of operations and financial condition. The purpose of this discussion is to focus on information about our financial condition and results of operations that is not otherwise apparent from the audited financial statements. Analysis of the results presented should be made in the context of our relatively short history. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and selected financial data included elsewhere in this document.

Overview

We are a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 headquartered in Birmingham, Alabama. Through our wholly-owned subsidiary bank, we operate 13 full service banking offices located in Jefferson, Shelby, Madison, Montgomery, Mobile and Houston Counties in Alabama, and in Escambia County in Florida. These offices operate in the Birmingham-Hoover, Huntsville, Montgomery, Mobile and Dothan, Alabama MSAs, and in the Pensacola-Ferry Pass-Brent, Florida MSA. Additionally, we opened a loan production office in Nashville, Tennessee in June 2013. Our principal business is to accept deposits from the public and to make loans and other investments. Our principal source of funds for loans and investments are demand, time, savings, and other deposits and the amortization and prepayment of loans and borrowings. Our principal sources of income are interest and fees collected on loans, interest and dividends collected on other investments and service charges. Our principal expenses are interest paid on savings and other deposits, interest paid on our other borrowings, employee compensation, office expenses and other overhead expenses.

Recent Developments - Metro Bank Acquisition

On January 31, 2015, we completed the merger with Metro Bancshares, Inc. ("Metro"), which resulted in the acquisition of 100% of all the outstanding shares of Metro, including all outstanding options and warrants, for an aggregate of 636,720 shares of ServisFirst common stock and approximately \$20.9 million in cash, representing aggregate consideration value of approximately \$40.3 million (based on the closing price of ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc. on January 30, 2015). The acquisition of Metro represents our first strategic acquisition and our further entry into the Atlanta metropolitan market. At December 31, 2014, Metro had total assets of approximately \$211 million, total loans of approximately \$154 million, total deposits of approximately \$182 million and total stockholders' equity of approximately \$28 million. The cash portion of the merger consideration was paid from the Company's cash on hand. Because the acquisition closed on January 31, 2015, after the end of the fiscal period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the Company's financial information does not include any of the results of operations from Metro or its subsidiary, Metro Bank.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared based on the application of certain accounting policies, the most significant of which are described in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Certain of these policies require numerous estimates and strategic or economic assumptions that may prove inaccurate or subject to variation and may significantly affect our reported results and financial position for the current period or in future periods. The use of estimates, assumptions, and judgments are necessary when financial assets and liabilities are required to be recorded at, or adjusted to reflect, fair value. Assets carried at fair value inherently result in more financial statement volatility. Fair values and information used to record valuation adjustments for certain assets and liabilities are based on either quoted market prices or are provided by other independent third-party sources, when available. When such information is not available, management estimates valuation adjustments. Changes in underlying factors, assumptions or estimates in any of these areas could have a material impact on our future financial condition and results of operations.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses, sometimes referred to as the "ALLL", is established through periodic charges to income. Loan losses are charged against the ALLL when management believes that the future collection of principal is unlikely. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the ALLL. If the ALLL is considered inadequate to absorb future loan losses on existing loans for any reason, including but not limited to, increases in the size of the loan portfolio, increases in charge-offs or changes in the risk characteristics of the loan portfolio, then the provision for loan losses is increased.

Loans are considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the original terms of the loan agreement. The collection of all amounts due according to contractual terms means that both the contractual interest and principal payments of a loan will be collected as scheduled in the loan agreement. Impaired loans are measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, or, as a practical expedient, at the loan's observable market price, or the fair value of the underlying collateral. The fair value of collateral, reduced by costs to sell on a discounted basis, is used if a loan is collateral-dependent.

Investment Securities Impairment

Periodically, we may need to assess whether there have been any events or economic circumstances to indicate that a security on which there is an unrealized loss is impaired on an other-than-temporary basis. In any such instance, we would consider many factors, including the severity and duration of the impairment, our intent and ability to hold the security for a period of time sufficient for a recovery in value, recent events specific to the issuer or industry, and for debt securities, external credit ratings and recent downgrades. Securities on which there is an unrealized loss that is deemed to be other-than-temporary are written down to fair value, with the write-down recorded as a realized loss in securities gains (losses).

Other Real Estate Owned

Other real estate owned ("OREO"), consisting of assets that have been acquired through foreclosure, is recorded at the lower of cost or estimated fair value less the estimated cost of disposition. Fair value is based on independent appraisals and other relevant factors. Other real estate owned is revalued on an annual basis or more often if market conditions necessitate. Valuation adjustments required at foreclosure are charged to the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent to foreclosure, losses on the periodic revaluation of the property are charged to net income as OREO expense. Significant judgments and complex estimates are required in estimating the fair value of other real estate, and the period of time within which such estimates can be considered current is significantly shortened during periods of market volatility, as experienced in recent years. As a result, the net proceeds realized from sales transactions could differ significantly from appraisals, comparable sales, and other estimates used to determine the fair value of other real estate.

Results of Operations

Net Income

Net income available to common stockholders was \$51.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$41.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. This increase in net income is primarily attributable to an increase in net interest income, which increased \$18.1 million, or 16.1%, to \$130.6 million in 2014 from \$112.5 million in 2013. Noninterest income increased \$1.2 million, or 12.0%, to \$11.2 million in 2014 from \$10.0 million in 2013. Noninterest expense increased by \$10.1 million, or 21.3%, to \$57.6 million in 2014 from \$47.5 million in 2013. Basic and diluted net income per common share were \$2.18 and \$2.09, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$2.00 and \$1.90, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2013. Return on average assets was 1.39% in 2014, compared to 1.32% in 2013, and return on average stockholders' equity was 14.43% in 2014, compared to 15.70% in 2013. This decrease in return on average stockholders' equity was the result of our initial public offering in May 2014, which increased equity by approximately \$52.1 million.

Net income available to common stockholders for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$41.2 million, compared to net income of \$34.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. This increase in net income is primarily attributable to an increase in net interest income, which increased \$18.4 million, or 19.6%, to \$112.5 million in 2013 from \$94.1 million in 2012. Noninterest income increased \$0.4 million, or 4.2%, to \$10.0 million in 2013 from \$9.6 million in 2012. Noninterest expense increased by \$4.4 million, or 10.2%, to \$47.5 million in 2013 from \$43.1 million in 2012. Basic and diluted net income per common share were \$2.00 and \$1.90, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$1.89 and \$1.66, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2012. Return on average assets was 1.32% in 2013, compared to 1.31% in 2012, and return on average stockholders' equity was 15.70% in 2013, compared to 15.99% in 2012.

The following table presents some ratios of our results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

	For the ye	For the years ended December 31,						
	2014	2014 2013						
Return on average assets	1.39%	1.32%	1.31%					
Return on average stockholders' equity	14.43%	15.70%	15.99%					
Dividend payout ratio	9.57%	8.79%	10.02%					
Average stockholders' equity to average total assets	9.58%	8.43%	8.19%					

The following tables present a summary of our statements of income, including the percent change in each category, for the years ended December 31, 2014 compared to 2013, and for the years ended December 31, 2013 compared to 2012, respectively.

	Year Ended December 31, Change fr						
		2014	the Prior Year				
		(Dollars in	Thou	isands)			
Interest income	\$	144,725	\$	126,081	14.79%		
Interest expense		14,119		13,619	3.67%		
Net interest income		130,606		112,462	16.13%		
Provision for loan losses		10,259		13,008	-21.13%		
Net interest income after provision for loan losses		120,347		99,454	21.01%		
Noninterest income		11,229		10,010	12.18%		
Noninterest expense		57,598		47,489	21.29%		
Net income before taxes		73,978		61,975	19.37%		
Taxes		21,601		20,358	6.11%		
Net income		52,377		41,617	25.85%		
Dividends on preferred stock		431		416	3.61%		
Net income available to common stockholders	\$	51,946	\$	41,201	26.08%		
			_				
		Year Ended	Dece	mber 31,	Change from		
		Year Ended 1	Dece	mber 31, 2012	Change from the Prior Year		
				2012	_		
Interest income	\$	2013		2012	_		
Interest income Interest expense	_	2013 (Dollars in	Thou	2012 usands)	the Prior Year		
	_	2013 (Dollars in 126,081	Thou	2012 usands) 109,023	the Prior Year 15.65%		
Interest expense	_	2013 (Dollars in 126,081 13,619	Thou	2012 usands) 109,023 14,901	the Prior Year 15.65% -8.60%		
Interest expense Net interest income	_	2013 (Dollars in 126,081 13,619 112,462	Thou	2012 usands) 109,023 14,901 94,122	15.65% -8.60% 19.49%		
Interest expense Net interest income Provision for loan losses	_	2013 (Dollars in 126,081 13,619 112,462 13,008	Thou	2012 usands) 109,023 14,901 94,122 9,100	15.65% -8.60% 19.49% 42.95%		
Interest expense Net interest income Provision for loan losses Net interest income after provision for loan losses	_	2013 (Dollars in 126,081 13,619 112,462 13,008 99,454 10,010 47,489	Thou	2012 usands) 109,023 14,901 94,122 9,100 85,022	15.65% -8.60% 19.49% 42.95% 16.97%		
Interest expense Net interest income Provision for loan losses Net interest income after provision for loan losses Noninterest income	_	2013 (Dollars in 126,081 13,619 112,462 13,008 99,454 10,010	Thou	2012 usands) 109,023 14,901 94,122 9,100 85,022 9,643	15.65% -8.60% 19.49% 42.95% 16.97% 3.81%		
Interest expense Net interest income Provision for loan losses Net interest income after provision for loan losses Noninterest income Noninterest expense	_	2013 (Dollars in 126,081 13,619 112,462 13,008 99,454 10,010 47,489	Thou	2012 Isands) 109,023 14,901 94,122 9,100 85,022 9,643 43,100	15.65% -8.60% 19.49% 42.95% 16.97% 3.81% 10.18%		
Interest expense Net interest income Provision for loan losses Net interest income after provision for loan losses Noninterest income Noninterest expense Net income before taxes	_	2013 (Dollars in 126,081 13,619 112,462 13,008 99,454 10,010 47,489 61,975	Thou	2012 usands) 109,023 14,901 94,122 9,100 85,022 9,643 43,100 51,565	15.65% -8.60% 19.49% 42.95% 16.97% 3.81% 10.18% 20.19%		
Interest expense Net interest income Provision for loan losses Net interest income after provision for loan losses Noninterest income Noninterest expense Net income before taxes Taxes	_	2013 (Dollars in 126,081 13,619 112,462 13,008 99,454 10,010 47,489 61,975 20,358	Thou	2012 usands) 109,023 14,901 94,122 9,100 85,022 9,643 43,100 51,565 17,120	15.65% -8.60% 19.49% 42.95% 16.97% 3.81% 10.18% 20.19% 18.91%		

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the difference between the income earned on interest-earning assets and interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities used to support such assets. The major factors which affect net interest income are changes in volumes, the yield on interest-earning assets and the cost of interest-bearing liabilities. Our management's ability to respond to changes in interest rates by effective asset-liability management techniques is critical to maintaining the stability of the net interest margin and the momentum of our primary source of earnings.

Net interest income increased \$18.1 million, or 16.1%, to \$130.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 from \$112.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. This was due to an increase in total interest income of \$18.6 million, or 14.8%, partially offset by an increase in total interest expense of \$0.5 million, or 3.7%. The increase in total interest income was primarily attributable to a 18.60% increase in average loans outstanding from 2013 to 2014, which was the result of growth in all of our markets, including in Mobile, Alabama and Nashville, Tennessee, our two newest markets.

Net interest income increased \$18.4 million, or 19.5%, to \$112.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$94.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. This was due to an increase in total interest income of \$17.1 million, or 15.6%, and a decrease in total interest expense of \$1.3 million, or -8.6%. The increase in total interest income was primarily attributable to a 26.56% increase in average loans outstanding from 2012 to 2013, which was the result of growth in all of our markets, including in Pensacola, Florida, our newest market entrance in 2011.

Net Interest Margin Analysis

The net interest margin is impacted by the average volumes of interest-sensitive assets and interest-sensitive liabilities and by the difference between the yield on interest-sensitive assets and the cost of interest-sensitive liabilities (spread). Loan fees collected at origination represent an additional adjustment to the yield on loans. Our spread can be affected by economic conditions, the competitive environment, loan demand, and deposit flows. The net yield on earning assets is an indicator of effectiveness of our ability to manage the net interest margin by managing the overall yield on assets and cost of funding those assets.

The following table shows, for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the average balances of each principal category of our assets, liabilities and stockholders' equity, and an analysis of net interest revenue, and the change in interest income and interest expense segregated into amounts attributable to changes in volume and changes in rates. This table is presented on a taxable equivalent basis, if applicable.

Average Balance Sheets and Net Interest Analysis On a Fully Taxable-Equivalent Basis For the Year Ended December 31, (In thousands, except Average Yields and Rates)

	2014					2013					2012				
		Average Balance		Interest Earned / Paid	Average Yield / Rate		Average Balance		Interest Earned / Paid	Average Yield / Rate		Average Balance		Interest Earned / Paid	Average Yield / Rate
Assets:		,													
Interest-earning assets:															
Loans, net of unearned income															
Taxable (1)	S	3,042,968	\$	135,487	4.45%	\$	2,573,621	\$	118,032	4.59%	\$	2,034,478	\$	100,143	4.92%
Tax-exempt (2)		13,176		527	4.00		3,274		170	5.19		1,631		95	5.82
Mortgage loans held for sale		5,704		210	3.68		12,953		306	2.36		17,905		349	1.95
Debt securities:															
Taxable		186,376		4,464	2.40		149,996		3,906	2.60		184,174		4,815	2.61
Tax-exempt (2)		125,269	_	5,329	4.25		115,829		4,884	4.22		100,926		4,683	4.64
Total debt securities (3)		311,645		9,793	3.14		265,825		8,790	3.31		285,100		9,498	3.33
Federal funds sold		55,680		159	0.29		44,106		110	0.25		94,425		196	0.21
Restricted equity securities		4,002		131	3.27		4,299		93	2.16		4,434		104	2.35
Interest-bearing balances with banks		167,782		416	0.25		100,417		280	0.28		80,170		200	0.25
Total interest-earning assets	S	3,600,957	\$	146,723	4.07%	\$	3,004,495	\$	127,781	4.25%	\$	2,518,143	\$	110,585	4.39%
Non-interest-earning assets:															
Cash and due from banks		57,894					45,528					38,467			
Net premises and equipment		8,430					9,148					6,074			
Allowance for loan losses, accrued interest and															
other assets		90,903					84,297					65,504			
Total assets	\$	3,758,184				\$	3,143,468				S	2,628,188			
							_					_			
Interest-bearing liabilities:															
Interest-bearing deposits:															
Checking	\$	489,210	\$	1,294	0.26%	\$	433,931	\$	1,201		\$	351,975	S	1,074	0.31%
Savings		26,480		75	0.28		21,793		61	0.28		17,081		48	0.28
Money market		1,523,120		6,775	0.44		1,244,957		5,810	0.47		1,042,870		5,820	0.56
Time deposits		401,182		4,276	1.07		404,927		4,758	1.18		398,552		5,307	1.33
Federal funds purchased		202,690		567	0.28		167,063		462	0.28		88,732		222	0.25
Other borrowings		19,957		1,132	5.67		21,780		1,327	6.09		33,126		2,430	7.34
Total interest-bearing liabilities	S	2,662,639	\$	14,119	0.53%	\$	2,294,451	\$	13,619	0.59%	\$	1,932,336	\$	14,901	0.77%
Non-interest-bearing liabilities:															
Non-interest-bearing checking		723,338					576,072					474,284			
Other liabilities		12,244					7,835					6,200			
Stockholders' equity		355,060					259,631					207,656			
Unrealized gains on securities and derivatives		4,903					5,479					7,712			
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$	3,758,184				\$	3,143,468				\$	2,628,188			
Net interest spread					3.54%	_				3.66%					3.62%
Net interest margin					3.68%					3.80%					3.80%

- (1) Non-accrual loans are included in average loan balances in all periods. Loan fees of \$1,025,000, \$551,000 and \$372,000 are included in interest income in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.
- (2) Interest income and yields are presented on a fully taxable equivalent basis using a tax rate of 35%.
- (3) Unrealized gains of \$7,545,000, \$8,408,000 and \$11,998,000 are excluded from the yield calculation in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The following table reflects changes in our net interest margin as a result of changes in the volume and rate of our interest-bearing assets and liabilities.

		For the Teal Effect December 51,									
	2014 Compared to 2013 Increase (Decrease) in 2013 Compared to 2012 Increase (Decrease) in										
		Interest Income ar	nd Expense Due to	Interest Income and Expense Due to Changes in:							
		Volume	Rate	Total	Volume	Rate	Total				
Interest-earning assets:											
Loans, net of unearned income											
Taxable	\$	20,984 \$	(3,529)	\$ 17,455	\$ 25,097	\$ (7,208) \$	17,889				
Tax-exempt		404	(47)	357	86	(11)	75				
Mortgages held for sale		(219)	123	(96)	(108)	65	(43)				
Taxable		890	(332)	558	(890)	(19)	(909)				
Tax-exempt		402	43	445	652	(451)	201				
Total debt securities		1,292	(289)	1,003	(238)	(470)	(708)				
Federal funds sold		32	17	49	(119)	33	(86)				
Restricted equity securities		(7)	45	38	(3)	(8)	(11)				
Interest-bearing balances with banks		170	(34)	136	54	26	80				
Total interest-earning assets		22,656	(3,714)	18,942	24,769	(7,573)	17,196				
Interest-bearing liabilities:											
Interest-bearing demand deposits		148	(55)	93	234	(107)	127				
Savings		13	1	14	13		13				
Money market		1,248	(283)	965	1,028	(1,038)	(10)				
Time deposits		(44)	(438)	(482)	84	(633)	(549)				
Federal funds purchased		100	5	105	215	25	240				
Other borrowed funds		(107)	(88)	(195)	(738)	(365)	(1,103)				
Total interest-bearing liabilities		1,358 (858) 500 836 (2,118)									
Increase in net interest income	\$	21,298 \$	(2,856)	\$ 18,442	\$ 23,933	\$ (5,455) \$	18,478				

For the Year Ended December 31.

In the table above, changes in net interest income are attributable to (a) changes in average balances (volume variance), (b) changes in rates (rate variance), or (c) changes in rate and average balances (rate/volume variance). The volume variance is calculated as the change in average balances times the old rate. The rate variance is calculated as the change in rates times the old average balances. The rate/volume variance is calculated as the change in rates times the change in average balances. The rate/volume variance is allocated on a pro rata basis between the volume variance and the rate variance in the table above.

We have experienced an unfavorable variance relating to the interest rate component because rates on loans have declined at a greater pace compared to deposit costs. Accordingly, the prolonged low interest rate environment has resulted in a compression of the net interest margin percentage. Our growth in loans continues to drive favorable volume component change and overall change.

The two primary factors that make up the spread are the interest rates received on loans and the interest rates paid on deposits. We have been disciplined in raising interest rates on deposits only as the market demanded and thereby managing our cost of funds. Also, we have not competed for new loans on interest rate alone, but rather we have relied significantly on effective marketing to business customers.

Our net interest spread and net interest margin were 3.54% and 3.68%, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to 3.66% and 3.80%, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2013. Our average interest-earning assets for the year ended December 31, 2014 increased \$596.5 million, or 19.9%, to \$3.6 billion from \$3.0 billion for the year ended December 31, 2013. This increase in our average interest-earning assets was due to continued core growth in all of our markets and increased loan production. Our average interest-bearing liabilities increased \$368.2 million, or 16.0%, to \$2.7 billion for the year ended December 31, 2014 from \$2.3 billion for the year ended December 31, 2013. This increase in our average interest-bearing liabilities was primarily due to an increase in interest-bearing deposits in all our markets. The ratio of our average interest-bearing liabilities was 135.2% and 130.9% for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Our average interest-earning assets produced a taxable equivalent yield of 4.07% for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to 4.25% for the year ended December 31, 2013. The average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities was 0.53% for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to 0.59% for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Our net interest spread and net interest margin were 3.66% and 3.80%, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 3.62% and 3.80%, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2012. Our average interest-earning assets for the year ended December 31, 2013 increased \$486.4 million, or 19.3%, to \$3.0 billion from \$2.5 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012. This increase in our average interest-earning assets was due to continued core growth in all of our markets and increased loan production. Our average interest-bearing liabilities increased \$362.1 million, or 18.7%, to \$2.3 billion for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$1.9 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012. This increase in our average interest-bearing liabilities was primarily due to an increase in interest-bearing deposits in all our markets. The ratio of our average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities was 130.9% and 130.3% for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Our average interest-earning assets produced a taxable equivalent yield of 4.25% for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 4.39% for the year ended December 31, 2012. The average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities was 0.59% for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 0.77% for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses represents the amount determined by management to be necessary to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level capable of absorbing inherent losses in the loan portfolio. Our management reviews the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses on a quarterly basis. The allowance for loan losses calculation is segregated into various segments that include classified loans, loans with specific allocations and pass rated loans. A pass rated loan is generally characterized by a very low to average risk of default and in which management perceives there is a minimal risk of loss. Loans are rated using a nine-point risk grade scale with loan officers having the primary responsibility for assigning risk grades and for the timely reporting of changes in the risk grades. Based on these processes, and the assigned risk grades, the criticized and classified loans in the portfolio are segregated into the following regulatory classifications: Special Mention, Substandard, Doubtful or Loss, with some general allocation of reserve based on these grades. At December 31, 2014, total loans rated Special Mention, Substandard, and Doubtful were \$77.6 million, or 2.3% of total loans, compared to \$93.2 million, or 3.3% of total loans, at December 31, 2013. Impaired loans are reviewed specifically and separately under FASB ASC 310-30-35, Subsequent Measurement of Impaired Loans, to determine the appropriate reserve allocation. Our management compares the investment in an impaired loan with the present value of expected future cash flow discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's observable market price or the fair value of the collateral, if the loan is collateral-dependent, to determine the specific reserve allowance. Reserve percentages assigned to non-impaired loans are based on historical charge-off experience adjusted for other risk factors. To evaluate the overall adequacy of the allowance to absorb losses inherent in our loan portfolio, our management considers historical loss ex

The provision expense for loan losses was \$10.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, a decrease of \$2.7 million from \$13.0 million in 2013. This decrease in provision expense for loan losses for 2014 is primarily attributable to improving credit quality resulting from fewer loan charge-offs. Also, nonperforming loans increased to \$10.1 million, or 0.30% of total loans, at December 31, 2014 from \$9.7 million, or 0.34% of total loans, at December 31, 2013. During 2014, we had net charged-off loans totaling \$5.3 million, compared to net charged-off loans of \$8.6 million for 2013. The ratio of net charged-off loans to average loans was 0.17% for 2014 compared to 0.33% for 2013. The allowance for loan losses totaled \$35.6 million, or 1.06% of loans, net of unearned income, at December 31, 2014, compared to \$30.7 million, or 1.07% of loans, net of unearned income, at December 31, 2013.

The provision expense for loan losses was \$13.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, an increase of \$3.9 million from \$9.1 million in 2012. Our management maintains a proactive approach in managing nonperforming loans, which decreased to \$9.7 million, or 0.34% of total loans, at December 31, 2013, from \$10.4 million, or 0.44% of total loans, at December 31, 2012. During 2013, we had net charged-off loans totaling \$9.7 million, compared to net charged-off loans of \$4.9 million for 2012. The ratio of net charged-off loans to average loans was 0.33% for 2013 compared to 0.24% for 2012. The allowance for loan losses totaled \$30.7 million, or 1.07% of loans, net of unearned income, at December 31, 2013, compared to \$26.3 million, or 1.11% of loans, net of unearned income, at December 31, 2012.

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income increased \$1.2 million, or 12.0%, to \$11.2 million in 2014 from \$10.0 million in 2013. Service charges on deposit accounts increased \$1.1 million, or 34.4%, to \$4.3 million in 2014 compared to 2013 due to increases in the number of accounts and higher NSF fees. Increases in the cash surrender value of bank-owned life insurance contracts were up \$0.3 million, or 15.0%, to \$2.3 million in 2014 compared to 2013 which is the result of additional investment of \$15.0 million in such contracts in September 2014. Other operating income increased \$0.5 million, or 23.8%, to \$2.6 million in 2014 compared to 2013. Mortgage banking income decreased \$0.5 million, or 20.0%, to \$2.0 million in 2014 compared to 2013 lead to lower mortgage banking revenue.

Noninterest income increased \$0.4 million, or 4.2%, to \$10.0 million in 2013 from \$9.6 million in 2012. Service charges on deposit accounts increased \$0.4 million, or 14.3%, to \$3.2 million in 2013 compared to 2012 due to increases in the number of accounts. Increases in the cash surrender value of bank-owned life insurance contracts were up \$0.4 million, or 25.0%, to \$2.0 million in 2013 compared to 2012 which is the result of additional investment of \$10.0 million in such contracts in September 2013. Other operating income increased \$0.4 million, or 23.5%, to \$2.1 million in 2013 compared to 2012. Mortgage banking income decreased \$1.1 million, or 30.6%, to \$2.5 million in 2013 compared to 2012. Higher mortgage rates and a general slow-down in refinance activity during 2013 compared to 2012 lead to lower mortgage banking revenue.

Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expenses increased \$10.1 million, or 21.3%, to \$57.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 from \$47.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. This increase is largely attributable to increased salary and employee benefits expense and the write-down of investments in tax credit partnerships. Increases in salary and benefit expenses occurred as a result of staff additions related to our expansion, increased incentive pay, general merit increases and non-routine expenses associated with the correction of accounting for vested stock options and related acceleration of vesting of stock options. We had 298 full-time equivalent employees at December 31, 2014 compared to 262 at December 31, 2013, a 13.7% increase. The increase in number of employees is the result of our continued expansion into new markets, additional sales and sales support staff in our existing regional markets and added support staff in our headquarters in Birmingham. We recorded a non-routine expense of \$0.7 million for the first quarter of 2014 resulting from the correction of our accounting for vested stock options previously granted to members of our advisory boards in our Huntsville, Montgomery and Dothan, Alabama markets, and we recorded a non-routine expense of \$1.8 million for the second quarter of 2014 resulting from an acceleration of vesting of stock options previously granted to members of our advisory boards in our Mobile, Alabama and Pensacola, Florida markets. This change in accounting treatment is a non-cash item and does not impact our operating activities or cash from operations. Equipment and occupancy expense increased \$0.3 million, or 5.8%, to \$5.5 million in 2014 compared to \$5.2 million in 2013. Professional services expenses were up \$0.6 million, or 33.3%, to \$2.4 million in 2014 compared to \$1.8 million in 2013. FDIC assessments were up \$0.3 million, or 16.7%, to \$2.1 million in 2014 from \$1.8 million in 2013, mostly a result of increases in total assets, which is the major component of our assessment base. Other noninterest expenses increased \$4.1 million, or 37.6%, to \$15.0 million in 2014 compared to \$10.9 million in 2013. We wrote down our investments in tax credit partnerships by \$2.6 million in 2014 in connection with tax credits recognized during the year. This compared to write-downs in 2013 of only \$0.4 million. Tax credits increased by \$1.3 million in 2014 compared to 2013, which is reflected in a lower effective tax rate for 2014. Changes in other operating expenses from 2013 to 2014 are detailed in Note 16, 'Other Operating Income and Expenses," to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Noninterest expenses increased \$4.4 million, or 10.2%, to \$47.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$43.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. This increase is largely attributable to increased salary and employee benefits expense, which is a result of staff additions related to our expansion, increased incentive pay, and general merit increases. We had 262 full-time equivalent employees at December 31, 2013 compared to 234 at December 31, 2012. Equipment and occupancy expense increased \$1.2 million, or 30.0%, to \$5.2 million in 2013 compared to \$4.0 million in 2012. Much of this increase is the result of operating an airplane we purchased in the fourth quarter of 2012. Additionally, we opened a new loan production office in Nashville, Tennessee and expanded our space in our Mobile, Alabama office. FDIC assessments were up \$0.2 million, or 12.5%, to \$1.8 million in 2013 from \$1.6 million in 2012, mostly a result of increases in total assets, which is the major component of our assessment base. OREO expense decreased \$1.3 million, 48.1%, to \$1.4 million in 2013 from \$2.7 million in 2012. This large decrease was the result of fewer write-downs in residential development properties during 2013 compared to 2012. Other noninterest expenses increased \$0.2 million, or 1.9%, to \$10.9 million compared to \$10.7 million in 2012.

Income Tax Expense

Income tax expense was \$21.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to \$20.4 million in 2013 and \$17.1 million in 2012. Our effective tax rates for 2014, 2013 and 2012 were 29.20%, 32.85% and 33.20%, respectively. The decrease in the effective tax rate for 2014 primarily relates to historic rehabilitation tax credits. Our primary permanent differences are related to tax exempt income on debt securities, state income tax benefit on real estate investment trust dividends, various qualifying tax credits, change in cash surrender value of bank-owned life insurance and incentive stock option expenses.

We have invested \$85.0 million in bank-owned life insurance for certain named officers of the Bank. The periodic increases in cash surrender value of those policies are tax exempt and therefore contribute to a larger permanent difference between book income and taxable income.

We own real estate investment trusts for the purpose of holding and managing participations in residential mortgages and commercial real estate loans originated by the Bank. The trusts are majority-owned subsidiaries of a trust holding company, which in turn is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank. The trusts earn interest income on the loans they hold and incur operating expenses related to their activities. They pay their net earnings, in the form of dividends, to the Bank, which receives a deduction for state income taxes

Financial Condition

Assets

Total assets at December 31, 2014, were \$4.1 billion, an increase of \$0.6 billion, or 17.1% over total assets of \$3.5 billion at December 31, 2013. Average assets for the year ended December 31, 2014 were \$3.8 billion, an increase of \$0.7 billion, or 22.6%, over average assets of \$3.1 billion for the year ended December 31, 2013. Loan growth was the primary reason for the increase. Year-end 2014 loans were \$3.4 billion, up \$0.5 billion, or 17.2%, over year-end 2013 total loans of \$2.9 billion.

Total assets at December 31, 2013, were \$3.5 billion, an increase of \$0.6 billion, or 20.7% over total assets of \$2.9 billion at December 31, 2012. Average assets for the year ended December 31, 2013 were \$3.1 billion, an increase of \$0.5 billion, or 19.2%, over average assets of \$2.6 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012. Loan growth was the primary reason for the increase. Year-end 2013 loans were \$2.9 billion, up \$0.5 billion, or 20.8%, over year-end 2012 total loans of \$2.4 billion.

Earning assets include loans, securities, short-term investments and bank-owned life insurance contracts. We maintain a higher level of earning assets in our business model than do our peers because we allocate fewer of our resources to facilities, ATMs, cash and due-from-bank accounts used for transaction processing. Earning assets at December 31, 2014 were \$4.0 billion, or 97.6% of total assets of \$4.1 billion. Earning assets at December 31, 2013 were \$3.4 billion, or 97.1% of total assets of \$3.5 billion. We believe this ratio is expected to generally continue at these levels, although it may be affected by economic factors beyond our control.

Investment Portfolio

We view the investment portfolio as a source of income and liquidity. Our investment strategy is to accept a lower immediate yield in the investment portfolio by targeting shorter term investments. Our investment policy provides that no more than 60% of our total investment portfolio should be composed of municipal securities. At December 31, 2014, mortgage-backed securities represented 36% of the investment portfolio, state and municipal securities represented 43% of the investment portfolio, U.S. Treasury and government agencies represented 16% of the investment portfolio, and corporate debt represented 5% of the investment portfolio.

All of our investments in mortgage-backed securities are pass-through mortgage-backed securities. We do not currently, and did not have at December 31, 2014, any structured investment vehicles or any private-label mortgage-backed securities. The amortized cost of securities in our portfolio totaled \$320.8 million at December 31, 2014, compared to \$292.0 million at December 31, 2013. All such securities held are traded in liquid markets. The following table presents the amortized cost of securities available for sale and held to maturity by type at December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

	December 31,							
	2014 2013 2012							
			(In T	Thousands)				
Securities Available for Sale								
U.S. Treasury and government agencies	\$	50,363	\$	31,641	\$	27,360		
Mortgage-backed securities		92,439		85,272		69,298		
State and municipal securities		132,780		127,083		112,319		
Corporate debt		15,821		15,738		13,677		
Total	\$	291,403	\$	259,734	\$	222,654		
Securities Held to Maturity								
Mortgage-backed securities	\$	23,804	\$	26,730	\$	20,429		
State and municipal securities		5,551		5,544		5,538		
Total	\$	29,355	\$	32,274	\$	25,967		

The following table presents the amortized cost of our securities as of December 31, 2014 by their stated maturities (this maturity schedule excludes security prepayment and call features), as well as the taxable equivalent yields for each maturity range.

Maturity of Debt Securities - Amortized Cost

Civ Voora

					5	Six Years				
	Less Than One		_			through Ten		More Than Ten		
		Year		Five Years		Years		Years		Total
					(In T	Thousands)				
At December 31, 2014:										
Securities Available for Sale:										
U.S. Treasury and government agencies	\$	8,748	\$	26,561	\$	15,054	\$	-	\$	50,363
Mortgage-backed securities		194		89,919		2,326		-		92,439
State and municipal securities		7,206		86,185		39,039		350		132,780
Corporate debt		990		8,845		5,986		-		15,821
Total	\$	17,138	\$	211,510	\$	62,405	\$	350	\$	291,403
Tax-equivalent Yield										
U.S. Treasury and government agencies		1.87%		2.16%		2.20%		-%		2.12%
Mortgage-backed securities		5.39		2.76		3.98		-		2.80
State and municipal securities		5.36		3.48		4.10		6.50		3.77
Corporate debt		1.75		1.26		1.16		-		1.25
Weighted average yield		3.37%		2.92%		3.36%		6.50%		3.04%
Securities Held to Maturity:										
Mortgage-backed securities	\$	-	\$	6,560	\$	17,244	\$	-	\$	23,804
State and municipal securities		_		<u>-</u>		298		5,253		5,551
Total	\$	-	\$	6,560	\$	17,542	\$	5,253	\$	29,355
Tax-equivalent Yield										
Mortgage-backed securities		-%		3.85%		2.38%		-%		2.79%
State and municipal securities		-		-		7.00		6.23		6.27
Weighted average yield		-%		3.85%		2.46%		6.23%		3.44%

⁽¹⁾ Yields are presented on a fully-taxable equivalent basis using a tax rate of 35%.

At December 31, 2014, we had \$0.9 million in federal funds sold, compared with \$8.6 million at December 31, 2013. At the end of each of the two years, we shifted balances held at correspondent banks to our reserve account at the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta to gain favorable capital treatment. At year-end 2014, there were no holdings of securities of any issuer, other than US government and its agencies, in an amount greater than 10% of stockholders' equity.

The objective of our investment policy is to invest funds not otherwise needed to meet our loan demand to earn the maximum return, yet still maintain sufficient liquidity to meet fluctuations in our loan demand and deposit structure. In doing so, we balance the market and credit risks against the potential investment return, make investments compatible with the pledge requirements of any deposits of public funds, maintain compliance with regulatory investment requirements, and assist certain public entities with their financial needs. The investment committee has full authority over the investment portfolio and makes decisions on purchases and sales of securities. The entire portfolio, along with all investment transactions occurring since the previous board of directors meeting, is reviewed by the board at each monthly meeting. The investment policy allows portfolio holdings to include short-term securities purchased to provide us with needed liquidity and longer term securities purchased to generate level income for us over periods of interest rate fluctuations.

Loan Portfolio

We had total loans of approximately \$3.4 billion at December 31, 2014. The following table shows the percentage of our total loan portfolio assigned to each of our markets. A large majority of our loan customers are located within our market MSAs, and so is the collateral for their loans. With our loan portfolio concentrated in a limited number of markets, there is a risk that our borrowers' ability to repay their loans from us could be affected by changes in local and regional economic conditions.

	Percentage of
	Total Loans
	Assigned to
	Market
Birmingham, AL	50%
Huntsville, AL	13%
Dothan, AL	12%
Montgomery, AL	9%
Mobile, AL	5%
Total Alabama Markets	89%
Pensacola, FL	7%
Nashville, TN	4%

The following table details our loans at December 31, 2014, 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010:

	2014	2013		2012	2011	2010
			(Do	llars in Thousands)		
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 1,495,092	\$ 1,278,649	\$	1,030,990	\$ 799,464	\$ 536,620
Real estate - construction	208,769	151,868		158,361	151,218	172,055
Real estate - mortgage:						
Owner-occupied commercial	793,917	710,372		568,041	398,601	270,767
1-4 family mortgage	333,455	278,621		235,909	205,182	199,236
Other mortgage	471,363	391,396		323,599	235,251	178,793
Total real estate - mortgage	1,598,735	1,380,389		1,127,549	839,034	648,796
Consumer	57,262	47,962		46,282	41,026	37,347
Total Loans	 3,359,858	2,858,868		2,363,182	1,830,742	1,394,818
Less: Allowance for loan losses	(35,629)	(30,663)		(26,258)	(22,030)	(18,077)
Net Loans	\$ 3,324,229	\$ 2,828,205	\$	2,336,924	\$ 1,808,712	\$ 1,376,741

The following table details the percentage composition of our loan portfolio by type at December 31, 2014, 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010:

	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Commercial, financial and agricultural	44.50%	44.73%	43.63%	43.67%	38.47%
Real estate - construction	6.21	5.31	6.70	8.26	12.34
Real estate - mortgage:					
Owner-occupied commercial	23.63	24.85	24.04	21.77	19.41
1-4 family mortgage	9.92	9.74	9.98	11.21	14.28
Other mortgage	14.03	13.69	13.69	12.85	12.82
Total real estate - mortgage	47.58	48.28	47.71	45.83	46.51
Consumer	1.71	1.68	1.96	2.24	2.68
Total Loans	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

The following table details maturities and sensitivity to interest rate changes for our loan portfolio at December 31, 2014:

	ı	Oue in 1	1	Due in 1 to 5	D	ue after 5	
		ar or less		years	_	years	Total
				(in Thou	ısands)	•	
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$	841,062	\$	547,138	\$	106,892	\$ 1,495,092
Real estate - construction		108,522		81,804		18,443	208,769
Real estate - mortgage:							
Owner-occupied commercial		63,909		466,264		263,744	793,917
1-4 family mortgage		53,884		236,999		42,572	333,455
Other mortgage		72,478		318,807		80,078	471,363
Total Real estate - mortgage		190,271		1,022,070		386,394	1,598,735
Consumer		36,617		17,798		2,847	57,262
Total Loans	\$	1,176,472	\$	1,668,810	\$	514,576	\$ 3,359,858
Less: Allowance for loan losses							 (35,629)
Net Loans							\$ 3,324,229
Interest rate sensitivity:							
Fixed interest rates	\$	192,158	\$	1,086,793	\$	268,731	\$ 1,547,682
Floating or adjustable rates		984,314		582,017		245,845	1,812,176
Total	\$	1,176,472	\$	1,668,810	\$	514,576	\$ 3,359,858

Asset Quality

The following table presents a summary of changes in the allowance for loan losses over the past five fiscal years. Our net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans for 2014 was 0.17%, compared to 0.33% for 2013.

Analysis of the Allowance for Loan Losses

	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
			(Dollars in Thousands)		
Allowance for loan losses:					
Beginning of year \$	30,663	\$ 26,258	\$ 22,030	\$ 18,077	\$ 14,737
Charge-offs:					
Commercial, financial and agricultural	(2,311)	(1,932)	(1,106)	(1,096)	(1,667)
Real estate - construction	(1,267)	(4,829)	(3,088)	(2,594)	(3,488)
Real estate - mortgage:					
Owner occupied commercial	(36)	(1,100)	(250)	-	(548)
1-4 family mortgage	(1,529)	(941)	(311)	(1,096)	(1,227)
Other mortgage	(400)		(99)		
Total real estate mortgage	(1,965)	(2,041)	(660)	(1,096)	(1,775)
Consumer	(228)	(210)	(901)	(867)	(278)
Total charge-offs	(5,771)	(9,012)	(5,755)	(5,653)	(7,208)
Recoveries:					
Commercial, financial and agricultural	48	66	125	361	97
Real estate - construction	322	296	58	180	53
Real estate - mortgage:					
Owner occupied commercial	-	32	-	12	12
1-4 family mortgage	65	4	692	-	20
Other mortgage	9	-	-	-	-
Total real estate mortgage	74	36	692	12	32
Consumer	34	11	8	81	16
Total recoveries	478	409	883	634	198
_					
Net charge-offs	(5,293)	(8,603)	(4,872)	(5,019)	(7,010)
Provision for loan losses charged to expense	10,259	13,008	9,100	8,972	10,350
Allowance for loan losses at end of period \$	35,629	\$ 30,663	\$ 26,258	\$ 22,030	\$ 18,077
The wanter for four forces at the or period	33,027	30,003	\$ 20,236	\$ 22,030	J 10,077
As a percent of year to date average loans:					
Net charge-offs	0.17%	0.33%	0.24%	0.32%	0.55%
Provision for loan losses	0.34%	0.50%	0.45%	0.57%	0.81%
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of:					
Year-end loans	1.06%	1.07%	1.11%	1.20%	1.30%
Nonperforming assets	210.95%	135.70%	130.77%	84.48%	84.82%

The allowance for loan losses is established and maintained at levels needed to absorb anticipated credit losses from identified and otherwise inherent risks in the loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date. In assessing the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, management considers its evaluation of the loan portfolio, past due loan experience, collateral values, current economic conditions and other factors considered necessary to maintain the allowance at an adequate level. Our management feels that the allowance was adequate at December 31, 2014.

The following table presents the allocation of the allowance for loan losses for each respective loan category with the corresponding percent of loans in each category to total loans.

				For th	ie Years Ende	ed December 31,				
	2014		20	113	20	012	20	11	20	010
	Amount	Percentage of loans in each category to total loans	Amount	Percentage of loans in each category to total loans	Amount	Percentage of loans in each category to total loans	Amount	Percentage of loans in each category to total loans	Amount	Percentage of loans in each category to total loans
					(Dollars in T	housands)				_
Commercial, financial and										
agricultural	\$ 16,079	44.50%	\$ 13,576	44.73%	\$ 11,061	43.63%	\$ 8,856	43.67%	\$ 6,585	38.47%
Real estate - construction	6,395	6.21	6,078	5.31	6,907	6.70	6,921	8.26	6,710	12.34
Real estate - mortgage	12,112	47.58	10,065	48.28	7,964	47.71	5,609	45.83	3,947	46.51
Consumer	1,043	1.71	944	1.68	326	1.96	644	2.24	835	2.68
Total	\$ 35,629	100.00%	\$ 30,663	100.00%	\$ 26,258	100.00%	\$ 22,030	100.00%	\$ 18,077	100.00%

We target small and medium-sized businesses as loan customers. Because of their size, these borrowers may be less able to withstand competitive or economic pressures than larger borrowers in periods of economic weakness. If loan losses occur at a level where the loan loss reserve is not sufficient to cover actual loan losses, our earnings will decrease. We use an independent consulting firm to review our loans annually for quality in addition to the reviews that may be conducted by bank regulatory agencies as part of their usual examination process.

As of December 31, 2014, we had impaired loans of \$26.7 million inclusive of nonaccrual loans, a decrease of \$5.3 million from \$32.0 million as of December 31, 2013. We allocated \$5.1 million of our allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2014 to these impaired loans compared to \$6.3 million at December 31, 2013. We had previous write-downs against impaired loans of \$0.5 million at December 31, 2014, compared to \$1.4 million at December 31, 2013. The average balance for 2014 of loans impaired was \$26.7 million. Interest income foregone throughout the year on impaired loans was \$750,000 and we recognized \$255,000 of interest income on these impaired loans for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to interest income foregone throughout 2013 of \$972,000 and \$433,000 of interest income recognized on impaired loans for the year ended December 31, 2013. A loan is considered impaired, based on current information and events, if it is probable that we will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the original loan agreement. Impairment does not always indicate credit loss, but provides an indication of collateral exposure based on prevailing market conditions and third-party valuations. Impaired loans are measured by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's obtainable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral-dependent. The amount of any initial impairment and subsequent changes in impairment are included in the allowance for loan losses. Interest on accruing impaired loans is recognized as long as such loans do not meet the criteria for nonaccrual status. Our credit administration group performs verification and testing to ensure appropriate identification of impaired loans and that proper reserves are allocated to these loans.

Of the \$26.7 million of impaired loans reported as of December 31, 2014, \$10.3 million were commercial and industrial loans, \$10.0 million were real estate mortgage loans, \$5.7 million were real estate construction loans and \$0.7 million were consumer loans. Of the \$5.7 million of impaired real estate construction loans, \$4.2 million (a total of seven loans with three builders) were residential construction loans.

The Bank has procedures and processes in place intended to ensure that losses do not exceed the potential amounts documented in the Bank's impairment analyses and reduce potential losses in the remaining performing loans within our real estate construction portfolio. These include the following:

- · We closely monitor the past due and overdraft reports on a weekly basis to identify deterioration as early as possible and the placement of identified loans on the watch list.
- · We perform extensive monthly credit review for all watch list/classified loans, including formulation of aggressive workout or action plans. When a workout is not achievable, we move to collection/foreclosure proceedings to obtain control of the underlying collateral as rapidly as possible to minimize the deterioration of collateral and/or the loss of its value.
- · We require updated financial information, global inventory aging and interest carry analysis for existing builders to help identify potential future loan payment problems.
- · We generally limit loans for new construction to established builders and developers that have an established record of turning their inventories, and we restrict our funding of undeveloped lots and land.

Nonperforming Assets

The table below summarizes our nonperforming assets at December 31, 2014, 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010:

		2014			2013		2012		2011)		
	D.	alance	Number of Loans	-	Balance	Number of Loans		Balance	Number of Loans		Balance	Number of Loans		Balance	Number of Loans
	Di	arance	of Loans		Багансе	of Loans		(Dollars in Tho			baiance	of Loans		Багансе	of Loans
Nonaccrual loans:								(Donais in The	and y						
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$	172	4	\$	1,714	9	\$	276	2	\$	1,179	7	\$	2,164	8
Real estate - construction		5,049	11		3,749	14		6,460	19		10,063	21		10,722	24
Real estate - mortgage:															
Owner-occupied commercial		683	2		1,435	3		2,786	3		792	2		635	1
1-4 family mortgage		1,596	3		1,878	3		453	2		670	4		202	1
Other mortgage		959	1		243	1		240	1		693	1			
Total real estate - mortgage		3,238	6		3,556	7		3,479	6		2,155	7		837	2
Consumer		666	4		602	4		135	2		375	1		624	1
Total nonaccrual loans	S	9,125	25	S	9,621	34	S	10,350	29	S	13,772	36	S	14.347	35
	_	-,			-,			,			,		Ť	- 1,0 1.	
90+ days past due and accruing:															
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$	925	1	\$			\$	-		\$			S		
Real estate - construction		-	-		-	-		-	-		-			-	
Real estate - mortgage:															
Owner-occupied commercial		-	-		-	-		-	-					-	
1-4 family mortgage			-		19	1									
Other mortgage			_												
Total real estate mortgage					19	1									
Consumer					96	1		8	4						
Total 90+ days past due and accruing	S	925	1		115	2	S	8	4	6			6		
Total nonperforming loans	\$	10,050	26	S	9,736	36	S	10,358	33	S	13,772	36	S	14,347	35
Plus: Other real estate owned and repossessions	3			3			3			3			3		
Total nonperforming assets	_	6,840	22	_	12,861	51	-	9,721	38	_	12,305	39	_	6,966	39
Total honperforming assets	\$	16,890	48	2	22,597	87	\$	20,079	71	\$	26,077	75	2	21,313	74
D. C. L. C. L.															
Restructured accruing loans: Commercial, financial and agricultural	S	6,632	8	S	962	2	S	1.160	2		1.369	2.		2.398	9
Real estate - construction	2	6,632	8	2	217	1	3	1,168 3,213	15	2	1,369	2	3	2,398	9
					217	1		3,213	13		-				
Real estate - mortgage:								3.121	3		2,785	3			
Owner-occupied commercial 1-4 family mortgage		-			8,225	2		1,709	5		2,783	3			
						2								-	
Other mortgage	_	1,663	2	_	285	1	_	302	1	_	331	1			
Total real estate - mortgage		1,663	2		8,510	3		5,132	9		3,116	4		-	
Consumer															
Total restructured accruing loans	\$	8,295	10	\$	9,689	6	\$	9,513	26	\$	4,485	6	\$	2,398	9
Total nonperforming assets and restructured accruing															
loans	\$	25,185	58	\$	32,286	93	\$	29,592	97	\$	30,562	81	S	23,711	83
Gross interest income foregone on nonaccrual loans															
througout year	\$	255		\$	972		\$	850		\$	1,371		\$	510	
Interest income recognized on nonaccrual loans througout															
year	\$	750		\$	433		\$	155		\$	263		\$	418	
Ratios:		0.000			0.040/			0.4407			0.550			4.000/	
Nonperforming loans to total loans		0.30%			0.34%			0.44%			0.75%			1.03%	
Nonperforming assets to total loans plus other real estate owned		0.50%			0.79%			0.85%			1.41%			1.52%	
Nonperforming loans plus restructured accruing loans to total loans plus other real estate owned and repossessions		0.75%			1.12%			1.25%			1.66%			1.69%	

The balance of nonperforming assets can fluctuate due to changes in economic conditions. We have established a policy to discontinue accruing interest on a loan (i.e., place the loan on nonaccrual status) after it has become 90 days delinquent as to payment of principal or interest, unless the loan is considered to be well-collateralized and is actively in the process of collection. In addition, a loan will be placed on nonaccrual status before it becomes 90 days delinquent unless management believes that the collection of interest is expected. Interest previously accrued but uncollected on such loans is reversed and charged against current income when the receivable is determined to be uncollectible. Interest income on nonaccrual loans is recognized only as received. If we believe that a loan will not be collected in full, we will increase the allowance for loan losses to reflect management's estimate of any potential exposure or loss. Generally, payments received on nonaccrual loans are applied directly to principal. There are not any loans, outside of those included in the table above, that cause management to have serious doubts as to the ability of borrowers to comply with present repayment terms.

Deposits

We rely on increasing our deposit base to fund loan and other asset growth. Each of our markets is highly competitive. We compete for local deposits by offering attractive products with competitive rates. We expect to have a higher average cost of funds for local deposits than competitor banks due to our lack of an extensive branch network. Our management's strategy is to offset the higher cost of funding with a lower level of operating expense and firm pricing discipline for loan products. We have promoted electronic banking services by providing them without charge and by offering in-bank customer training. The following table presents the average balance and average rate paid on each of the following deposit categories at the Bank level for years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012:

Average Deposits
Average for Years Ended December 31,

	_	201	4	2	013	20	12
		Average Balance	Average Rate Paid	Average Balance	Average Rate Paid	Average Balance	Average Rate Paid
Types of Deposits:				(Dollars in	Thousands)		
Non-interest-bearing demand deposits	\$	723,338	-%	\$ 576,072	-%	\$ 474,284	-%
Interest-bearing demand deposits		489,210	0.26%	433,931	0.28%	351,975	0.31%
Money market accounts		1,523,120	0.44%	1,244,957	0.47%	1,042,870	0.56%
Savings accounts		26,480	0.28%	21,793	0.28%	17,081	0.28%
Time deposits		209,361	1.04%	214,888	1.15%	219,186	1.32%
Time deposits, over \$250,000		191,821	1.09%	190,039	1.20%	179,366	1.35%
Total deposits	\$	3,163,330		\$ 2,681,680		\$ 2,284,762	

The following table presents the maturities of our certificates of deposit as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

			Less	than or equal to	
At December 31, 2014	Over	\$250,000		\$250,000	 Total
Maturity	(In T	housands)			
Three months or less	\$	26,003	\$	38,675	\$ 64,678
Over three through six months		23,492		31,565	55,057
Over six months through one year		44,757		54,344	99,101
Over one year		99,925		80,830	180,755
Total	\$	194,177	\$	205,414	\$ 399,591
			Less	than or equal to	
At December 31, 2013	Over	\$250,000	Less	than or equal to \$250,000	Total
At December 31, 2013 Maturity		\$250,000 housands)	Less		 Total
,					\$ Total 71,671
Maturity		housands)		\$250,000	\$
Maturity Three months or less		Thousands) 35,314		\$250,000	\$ 71,671
Maturity Three months or less Over three through six months		35,314 39,597		\$250,000 36,357 36,182	\$ 71,671 75,779

Total average deposits for the year ended December 31, 2014 were \$3.2 billion, an increase of \$0.5 billion, or 18.5%, over total average deposits of \$2.7 billion for the year ended December 31, 2013. Average noninterest-bearing deposits increased by \$0.1 billion, or 16.7%, from \$0.6 billion for the year ended December 31, 2013 to \$0.7 billion for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Total average deposits for the year ended December 31, 2013 were \$2.7 billion, an increase of \$0.4 billion, or 17.4%, over total average deposits of \$2.3 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012. Average noninterest-bearing deposits increased by \$0.1 billion, or 20.0%, from \$0.5 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012 to \$0.6 billion for the year ended December 31, 2013.

We have never had brokered deposits.

Borrowed Funds

We had available approximately \$160 million in unused federal funds lines of credit with regional banks as of December 31, 2014 and 2013. These lines are subject to certain restrictions and collateral requirements.

We had average federal funds purchased from correspondent banks of \$202.6 million, \$167.1 million and \$88.7 million for 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. We paid average interest rates on these funds of 0.28%, 0.28% and 0.25% for the same three years, respectively.

Stockholders' Equity

Stockholders' equity increased \$110.0 million during 2014, to \$407.2 million at December 31, 2014 from \$297.2 million at December 31, 2013. The increase in stockholders' equity resulted from net proceeds of our initial public stock offering in May 2014 in the amount of approximately \$52.1 million, net income of \$51.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2014, and \$6.3 million of contributed equity upon the exercise of stock options and warrants during 2014. These increases were partially offset by the payment of dividends on common and preferred stock of approximately \$5.3 million in the aggregate.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

In the normal course of business, we are a party to financial credit arrangements with off-balance sheet risk to meet the financing needs of our customers. These financial credit arrangements include commitments to extend credit beyond current fundings, credit card arrangements, standby letters of credit and financial guarantees. Those credit arrangements involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk in excess of the amount recognized in the balance sheet. The contract or notional amounts of those instruments reflect the extent of involvement we have in those particular financial credit arrangements. All such credit arrangements bear interest at variable rates and we have no such credit arrangements which bear interest at fixed rates.

Our exposure to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit, credit card arrangements and standby letters of credit is represented by the contractual or notional amount of those instruments. We use the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as we do for on-balance sheet instruments.

The following table sets forth our credit arrangements and financial instruments whose contract amounts represent credit risk as of December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012:

	 2014		2013	2012
		(In	Thousands)	
Commitments to extend credit	\$ 1,156,682	\$	1,052,902	\$ 824,047
Credit card arrangements	45,155		38,122	25,699
Standby letters of credit and				
financial guarantees	33,280		40,371	36,374
Total	\$ 1,235,117	\$	1,131,395	\$ 886,120

Commitments to extend credit beyond current fundings are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Such commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. We evaluate each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained if deemed necessary by us upon extension of credit is based on our management's credit evaluation. Collateral held varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant and equipment, and income-producing commercial properties.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by us to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements, including commercial paper, bond financing, and similar transactions. All letters of credit are due within one year or less of the original commitment date. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers.

Derivatives

The Bank has entered into agreements with secondary market investors to deliver loans on a "best efforts delivery" basis. When a rate is committed to a borrower, it is based on the best price that day and locked with our investor for our customer for a 30-day period. In the event the loan is not delivered to the investor, the Bank has no risk or exposure with the investor. The interest rate lock commitments related to loans that are originated for later sale are classified as derivatives. The fair values of our agreements with investors and rate lock commitments to customers as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 were not material.

Asset and Liability Management

The matching of assets and liabilities may be analyzed by examining the extent to which such assets and liabilities are "interest rate sensitive" and by monitoring an institution's interest rate sensitivity "gap." An asset or liability is said to be interest rate sensitive within a specific time period if it will mature or reprice within that time period. The interest rate sensitivity gap is defined as the difference between the dollar amount of rate-sensitive assets repricing during a period and the volume of rate-sensitive liabilities repricing during the same period. A gap is considered positive when the amount of interest rate-sensitive assets exceeds the amount of interest rate-sensitive liabilities. A gap is considered negative when the amount of interest rate-sensitive liabilities exceeds the amount of interest rate-sensitive assets. During a period of rising interest rates, a negative gap would tend to adversely affect net interest income while a positive gap would tend to adversely affect net interest income. During a period of falling interest rates, a negative gap would tend to result in an increase in net interest income.

Our asset liability and investment committee is charged with monitoring our liquidity and funds position. The committee regularly reviews the rate sensitivity position on a three-month, six-month and one-year time horizon; loans-to-deposits ratios; and average maturities for certain categories of liabilities. The asset liability committee uses a model to analyze the maturities of rate-sensitive assets and liabilities. The model measures the "gap" which is defined as the difference between the dollar amount of rate-sensitive assets repricing during a period and the volume of rate-sensitive liabilities repricing during the same period. Gap is also expressed as the ratio of rate-sensitive assets divided by rate-sensitive liabilities. If the ratio is greater than "one," then the dollar value of assets exceeds the dollar value of liabilities and the balance sheet is "asset sensitive." Conversely, if the value of liabilities exceeds the dollar value of assets, then the ratio is less than one and the balance sheet is "liability sensitive." Our internal policy requires our management to maintain the gap such that net interest margins will not change more than 10% if interest rates change by 100 basis points or more than 15% if interest rates change by 200 basis points. As of December 31, 2014, our gap was within such ranges. See "—Quantitative and Qualitative Analysis of Market Risk" below in Item 7A for additional information.

Liquidity and Capital Adequacy

Liquidity

Liquidity is defined as our ability to generate sufficient cash to fund current loan demand, deposit withdrawals, or other cash demands and disbursement needs, and otherwise to operate on an ongoing basis.

Liquidity is managed at two levels. The first is the liquidity of the Company. The second is the liquidity of the Bank. The management of liquidity at both levels is critical, because the Company and the Bank have different funding needs and sources, and each are subject to regulatory guidelines and requirements. We are subject to general FDIC guidelines which require a minimum level of liquidity. Management believes our liquidity ratios meet or exceed these guidelines. Our management is not currently aware of any trends or demands that are reasonably likely to result in liquidity increasing or decreasing in any material manner.

The retention of existing deposits and attraction of new deposit sources through new and existing customers is critical to our liquidity position. In the event of compression in liquidity due to a run-off in deposits, we have a liquidity policy and procedure that provides for certain actions under varying liquidity conditions. These actions include borrowing from existing correspondent banks, selling or participating loans and the curtailment of loan commitments and funding. At December 31, 2014, our liquid assets, represented by cash and due from banks, federal funds sold and unpledged available-for-sale securities, totaled \$402.5 million. Additionally, at such date we had available to us approximately \$160.0 million in unused federal funds lines of credit with regional banks, subject to certain restrictions and collateral requirements, to meet short term funding needs. We believe these sources of funding are adequate to meet immediate anticipated funding needs. Our management meets on a weekly basis to review sources and uses of funding to determine the appropriate strategy to ensure an appropriate level of liquidity, and we have increased our focus on the generation of core deposit funding to supplement our liquidity position. At the current time, our long-term liquidity needs primarily relate to funds required to support loan originations and commitments and deposit withdrawals.

Our regular sources of funding are from the growth of our deposit base, repayment of principal and interest on loans, the sale of loans and the renewal of time deposits. We also my continue periodic offerings of debt and equity securities.

The following table reflects the contractual maturities of our term liabilities as of December 31, 2014. The amounts shown do not reflect any early withdrawal or prepayment assumptions.

		F	Paym	ents due by Period	l		
				Over 1 - 3		Over 3 - 5	
	 Total	1 year or less		years		years	Over 5 years
			(.	In Thousands)			
Contractual Obligations (1)							
Deposits without a stated maturity	\$ 2,998,569	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
Certificates of deposit (2)	399,591	218,837		129,133		47,434	4,187
Federal funds purchased	264,315	264,315		-		-	-
Other borrowings	19,973	-		-		-	19,973
Operating lease commitments	 14,268	2,500		4,688		3,456	3,624
Total	\$ 3,696,716	\$ 485,652	\$	133,821	\$	50,890	\$ 27,784

- (1) Excludes interest
- (2) Certificates of deposit give customers the right to early withdrawal. Early withdrawals may be subject to penalties.
- The penalty amount depends on the remaining time to maturity at the time of early withdrawal.

Capital Adequacy

As of December 31, 2014, our most recent notification from the FDIC categorized us as well-capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To remain categorized as well-capitalized, we must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based, and Tier 1 leverage ratios as disclosed in the table below. Our management believes that we are well-capitalized under the prompt corrective action provisions as of December 31, 2014. In addition, the Alabama Banking Department has required that the Bank maintain a leverage ratio of 8.00%.

The following table sets forth (i) the capital ratios of the Company required by the FDIC to maintain "well-capitalized" status and (ii) our actual ratios of capital to total regulatory or risk-weighted assets, as of December 31, 2014.

		Actual at
	Well-	December 31,
	Capitalized	2014
Total risk-based capital	10.00%	13.38%
Tier 1 capital	6.00%	11.75%
Leverage ratio	5.00%	9.91%

For a description of capital ratios see Note 15 to "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements".

Impact of Inflation

Our consolidated financial statements and related data presented herein have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles which require the measure of financial position and operating results in terms of historic dollars, without considering changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation.

Inflation generally increases the costs of funds and operating overhead, and to the extent loans and other assets bear variable rates, the yields on such assets. Unlike most industrial companies, virtually all of the assets and liabilities of a financial institution are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates generally have a more significant effect on the performance of a financial institution than the effects of general levels of inflation. In addition, inflation affects financial institutions' cost of goods and services purchased, the cost of salaries and benefits, occupancy expense, and similar items. Inflation and related increases in interest rates generally decrease the market value of investments and loans held and may adversely affect liquidity, earnings and stockholders' equity. Mortgage originations and refinancing tend to slow as interest rates increase, and likely will reduce our volume of such activities and the income from the sale of residential mortgage loans in the secondary market.

Adoption of Recent Accounting Pronouncements

New accounting standards are discussed in Note 1 to "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements".

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Like all financial institutions, we are subject to market risk from changes in interest rates. Interest rate risk is inherent in the balance sheet due to the mismatch between the maturities of rate-sensitive assets and rate-sensitive liabilities. If rates are rising, and the level of rate-sensitive liabilities exceeds the level of rate-sensitive assets, the net interest margin will be negatively impacted. Conversely, if rates are falling, and the level of rate-sensitive liabilities is greater than the level of rate-sensitive assets, the impact on the net interest margin will be favorable. Managing interest rate risk is further complicated by the fact that all rates do not change at the same pace; in other words, short term rates may be rising while longer term rates remain stable. In addition, different types of rate-sensitive assets and rate-sensitive liabilities react differently to changes in rates.

To manage interest rate risk, we must take a position on the expected future trend of interest rates. Rates may rise, fall, or remain the same. Our asset liability committee develops its view of future rate trends and strives to manage rate risk within a targeted range by monitoring economic indicators, examining the views of economists and other experts, and understanding the current status of our balance sheet. Our annual budget reflects the anticipated rate environment for the next twelve months. The asset liability committee conducts a quarterly analysis of the rate sensitivity position and reports its results to our board of directors.

The asset liability committee employs multiple modeling scenarios to analyze the maturities of rate-sensitive assets and liabilities. The model measures the "gap" which is defined as the difference between the dollar amount of rate-sensitive assets repricing during a period and the volume of rate-sensitive liabilities repricing during the same period. The gap is also expressed as the ratio of rate-sensitive assets divided by rate-sensitive liabilities. If the ratio is greater than "one", the dollar value of assets exceeds the dollar value of liabilities; the balance sheet is "asset sensitive". Conversely, if the value of liabilities exceeds the value of assets, the ratio is less than one and the balance sheet is "liability sensitive". Our internal policy requires management to maintain the gap such that net interest margins will not change more than 10% if interest rates change 100 basis points or more than 15% if interest rates change 200 basis points. As of December 31, 2014, our gap was within such ranges.

The model measures scheduled maturities in periods of three months, four to twelve months, one to five years and over five years. The chart below illustrates our rate-sensitive position at December 31, 2014. Management uses the one year gap as the appropriate time period for setting strategy.

		1-3 Months	4	4-12 Months		1-5 Years	Over 5 Years		Total
				(Dolla	rs in Thousands)			
Interest-earning assets:									
Loans, including mortgages									
held for sale	\$	1,873,980	\$	327,521	\$	1,035,258	\$ 129,083	\$	3,365,842
Securities		23,518		43,010		197,938	67,120		331,586
Federal funds sold		891		-		-	-		891
Interest bearing balances									
with banks		246,324		490		1,240	-		248,054
Total interest-earning assets	\$	2,144,713	\$	371,021	\$	1,234,436	\$ 196,203	\$	3,946,373
	_			· ·	_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
Interest-bearing liabilities:									
Deposits:									
Interest-bearing checking	\$	556,863	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	556,863
Money market and savings		1,631,246		-		-	-		1,631,246
Time deposits		64,686		154,158		176,569	4,178		399,591
Federal funds purchased		264,315		-		-	-		264,315
Other borrowings		-		-		-	19,973		19,973
Total interest-bearing liabilities		2,517,110		154,158		176,569	24,151		2,871,988
Interest sensitivity gap	\$	(372,397)	\$	216,863	\$	1,057,867	\$ 172,052	\$	1,074,385
Cumulative sensitivity gap	\$	(372,397)	\$	(155,534)	\$	902,333	\$ 1,074,385	\$	-
Percent of cumulative sensitivity Gap to total interest- earning assets		(9.4)%	- 	(3.9)%	<u></u>	22.9%	 27.2%	,	

The interest rate risk model that defines the gap position also performs a "rate shock" test of the balance sheet. The rate shock procedure measures the impact on the economic value of equity (EVE) which is a measure of long term interest rate risk. EVE is the difference between the market value of our assets and the liabilities and is our liquidation value. In this analysis, the model calculates the discounted cash flow or market value of each category on the balance sheet. The percent change in EVE is a measure of the volatility of risk. Regulatory guidelines specify a maximum change of 30% for a 200 basis points rate change. Short term rates dropped to historically low levels during 2009 and have remained at those low levels. We could not assume further drops in interest rates in our model, and as a result feel the down rate shock scenarios are not meaningful. At December 31, 2014, the 2.87% change for a 200 basis points rate change is well within the regulatory guidance range.

The chart below identifies the EVE impact of an upward shift in rates of 100 and 200 basis points.

Economic Value of Equity Under Rate Shock At December 31, 2014

		0 bps	+	-100 bps	+	-200 bps
	_	(Dollars in Thousands)				
Economic value of equity	\$	407,213	\$	413,362	\$	418,900
Actual dollar change			\$	6,149	\$	11,687
Percent change				1.51%		2.87%

The one year gap ratio of negative 3.9% indicates that we would show a decrease in net interest income in a rising rate environment, and the EVE rate shock shows that the EVE would increase in a rising rate environment. The EVE simulation model is a static model which provides information only at a certain point in time. For example, in a rising rate environment, the model does not take into account actions which management might take to change the impact of rising rates on us. Given that limitation, it is still useful in assessing the impact of an unanticipated movement in interest rates.

The above analysis may not on its own be an entirely accurate indicator of how net interest income or EVE will be affected by changes in interest rates. Income associated with interest earning assets and costs associated with interest bearing liabilities may not be affected uniformly by changes in interest rates. In addition, the magnitude and duration of changes in interest rates may have a significant impact on net interest income. Interest rates on certain types of assets and liabilities fluctuate in advance of changes in general market rates, while interest rates on other types may lag behind changes in general market rates. Our asset liability committee develops its view of future rate trends by monitoring economic indicators, examining the views of economists and other experts, and understanding the current status of our balance sheet and conducts a quarterly analysis of the rate sensitivity position. The results of the analysis are reported to our board of directors.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The financial statements and supplementary data required by Regulations S-X and by Item 302 of Regulation S-K are set forth in the pages listed below.

	<u>Page</u>
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Consolidated Financial Statements	67
Report of Management on Internal Control over Financial Reporting	68
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control over Financial Reporting	69
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2014 and 2013	70
Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012	71
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012	72
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012	73
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012	74
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	75

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2014. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2014, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal controls over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, and our report dated March 3, 2015, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Dixon Hughes Goodman LLP

Atlanta, Georgia March 3, 2015

REPORT OF MANAGEMENT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

We, as members of the Management of ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc. (the "Company"), are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. The Company's internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance to the Company's management and Board of Directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of the Company's financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting includes self-monitoring mechanisms, and actions are taken to correct deficiencies as they are identified.

All internal controls systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations and may not prevent or detect misstatements in the Company's financial statements, including the possibility of circumvention or overriding of controls. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

The Company's management assessed the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014. In making this assessment, we used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in its *Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013)*. Based on this assessment, management determined that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on these criteria.

The Company's independent registered public accounting firm has issued an audit report on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. This report appears on the following page.

SERVISFIRST BANCSHARES, INC.

by	/s/THOMAS A. BROUGHTON, III
	THOMAS A. BROUGHTON, III
	President and Chief Executive Officer

by /s/WILLIAM M. FOSHEE
WILLIAM M. FOSHEE
Chief Financial Officer

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc.

We have audited internal control over financial reporting of ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Report of Management on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements of ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc. and subsidiaries as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014, and our report dated March 3, 2015, expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ Dixon Hughes Goodman LLP

Atlanta, Georgia

March 3, 2015

SERVISFIRST BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	Dec	ember 31, 2014	Dece	mber 31, 2013
ASSETS				
Cash and due from banks	\$	48,519	\$	61,370
Interest-bearing balances due from depository institutions		248,054		188,411
Federal funds sold		891		8,634
Cash and cash equivalents		297,464		258,415
Available for sale debt securities, at fair value		298,310		265,728
Held to maturity debt securities (fair value of \$29,974 and \$31,315 at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively)		29,355		32,274
Restricted equity securities		3,921		4,230
Mortgage loans held for sale		5,984		8,134
Loans		3,359,858		2,858,868
Less allowance for loan losses		(35,629)		(30,663)
Loans, net		3,324,229		2,828,205
Premises and equipment, net		7,815		8,351
Accrued interest and dividends receivable		11,214		10,262
Deferred tax asset, net		15,716		11,018
Other real estate owned and repossessed assets		6,840		12,861
Bank owned life insurance contracts		86,288		69,008
Other assets		11,543		12,213
Total assets	\$	4,098,679	\$	3,520,699
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY				
Liabilities:				
Deposits:				
Noninterest-bearing	\$	810,460	\$	650,456
Interest-bearing		2,587,700		2,369,186
Total deposits		3,398,160		3,019,642
Federal funds purchased		264,315		174,380
Other borrowings		19,973		19,940
Accrued interest payable		1,940		769
Other liabilities		7,078		8,776
Total liabilities		3,691,466		3,223,507
Stockholders' equity:				
Preferred stock, Series A Senior Non-Cumulative Perpetual, par value \$0.001 (liquidation preference \$1,000), net of discount; 40,000 shares authorized, 40,000 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2014 and at December 31,				
2013		39,958		39,958
Preferred stock, par value \$0.001 per share; 1,000,000 authorized and 960,000 currently undesignated		-		-
Common stock, par value \$0.001 per share; 50,000,000 shares authorized; 24,801,518 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2014 and 22,050,036 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2013		25		7
Additional paid-in capital		185,397		123,325
Retained earnings		177,091		130,011
Accumulated other comprehensive income		4,490		3,891
Total stockholders' equity attributable to ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc.	_	406,961		297,192
Noncontrolling interest		252		=>1,12
Total stockholders' equity		407,213		297,192
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$	4,098,679	\$	3,520,699
Tomi mathities and seemistaris equity	φ	4,070,079	φ	3,340,099

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

SERVISFIRST BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

		•	Year End	ded December 31	,	
		2014		2013		2012
Interest income:		·				,
Interest and fees on loans	\$	136,066	\$	118,285	\$	100,462
Taxable securities		4,497		3,888		4,814
Nontaxable securities		3,489		3,407		3,246
Federal funds sold		159		128		196
Other interest and dividends		514		373		305
Total interest income		144,725		126,081		109,023
Interest expense:	·	·		<u> </u>		
Deposits		12,420		11,830		12,249
Borrowed funds		1,699		1,789		2,652
Total interest expense		14,119		13,619		14,901
Net interest income		130,606		112,462		94,122
Provision for loan losses		10,259		13,008		9,100
Net interest income after provision for loan losses		120,347		99,454		85,022
Noninterest income:						,-
Service charges on deposit accounts		4,265		3.228		2,756
Mortgage banking		2,047		2,513		3,560
Securities gains		3		131		-
Increase in cash surrender value life insurance		2,280		1,994		1,624
Other operating income		2,634		2,144		1.703
Total noninterest income		11,229		10,010	_	9,643
Noninterest expenses:		, .		-,		
Salaries and employee benefits		31,017		26,324		22,587
Equipment and occupancy expense		5,547		5,202		4,014
Professional services		2,435		1,809		1,455
FDIC and other regulatory assessments		2,094		1,799		1,595
Other real estate owned expense		1,533		1,426		2,727
Other operating expenses		14,972		10,929		10,722
Total noninterest expenses		57,598		47,489		43,100
Income before income taxes		73,978		61,975		51,565
Provision for income taxes		21,601		20,358		17,120
Net income		52,377		41,617		34,445
Dividends on preferred stock		431		416		400
Net income available to common stockholders	\$	51,946	\$	41,201	\$	34,045
	Ψ	31,740	Ψ	41,201	Ψ	34,043
Basic earnings per common share	\$	2.18	\$	2.00	\$	1.89
Diluted earnings per common share	\$	2.09	\$	1.90	\$	1.66

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

SERVISFIRST BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012

(In	thousand	ls)
-----	----------	-----

	2014	2013	2012
Net income	\$ 52,377	\$ 41,617	\$ 34,445
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:			
Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during period from securities available for sale, net of			
tax of \$316, \$(1,781) and \$191 for 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively	601	(3,319)	354
Reclassification adjustment for net gains on sale of securities in net income, net of tax of \$1 and			
\$45 for 2014 and 2013, respectively	(2)	(86)	-
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	599	(3,405)	354
Comprehensive income	\$ 52,976	\$ 38,212	\$ 34,799

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

SERVISFIRST BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012

(In thousands, except share amounts)

				Additional			Total	
	Preferred	Common		Paid-in	Retained	Other Comprehensive	Noncontrolling	Stockholders'
	Stock	Stock		Capital	Earnings	Income	Interest	Equity
Balance, December 31, 2011	\$ 39.958		5 \$	87.805	\$ 61,581	\$ 6,942	\$ -	\$ 196,292
Common dividends paid \$0.167 per share	ψ 57,750 -	Ψ	, w		(3,134		Ψ <u>-</u>	(3,134)
Preferred dividends paid	_			_	(400		_	(400)
Exercise 997,890 stock options and warrants, including tax					(.00	,		(100)
benefit of \$381	_		_	4,651	_	_	_	4,651
Stock-based compensation expense	-		-	1,049	_	-	_	1,049
Other comprehensive income	-			-		354		354
Net income	_		-	_	34,445		_	34,445
Balance, December 31, 2012	39,958		5	93,505	92,492	7,296		233,257
Common dividends paid, \$0.167 per share	-		-	-	(3,682		_	(3,682)
Preferred dividends paid	-		-	-	(416		-	(416)
Exercise 494,100 stock options and warrants, including						,		
tax benefit of \$262	-		-	3,279	-	-	-	3,279
Sale of 750,000 shares of common stock	-		-	10,337	-	-	-	10,337
Issuance of 1,800,000 shares upon mandatory conversion								
of subordinated mandatorily convertible debentures	-		l	14,999	-	-	-	15,000
Stock-based compensation expense	-		-	1,205	-	-	-	1,205
Other comprehensive loss	-		-	-	-	(3,405)	-	(3,405)
Net income	-		-	-	41,617	-	-	41,617
Balance, December 31, 2013	39,958		7	123,325	130,011	3,891	-	297,192
Common dividends paid, \$0.15 per share	-		-	-	(3,609) -	-	(3,609)
Common dividends declared, \$0.05 per share	-		-	-	(1,240	-	-	(1,240)
Preferred dividends paid	-		-	-	(431	-	-	(431)
3-for-1 common stock split, in the form of a stock								
dividend	-	1'	7	-	(17) -	-	-
Issue 1,875,000 shares of common stock, net of issuance								
cost of \$4,777	-	1	l	52,075	-	-	-	52,076
Issue 250 shares of REIT preferred stock	-		-	-	-	-	250	250
Exercise 883,983 stock options and warrants, including tax								
benefit of \$971	-		-	6,316	-	-	-	6,316
Stock-based compensation expense	-		-	3,681	-	-	-	3,681
Other comprehensive income, net of tax	-		-	-	-	599	-	599
Net income					52,377		2	52,379
Balance, December 31, 2014	\$ 39,958	\$ 2:	5 \$	185,397	\$ 177,091	\$ 4,490	\$ 252	\$ 407,213

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

SERVISFIRST BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOW (In thousands)

		1,	,		
	2014		2013		2012
OPERATING ACTIVITIES					
Net income	\$ 52,3	77 \$	41,617	\$	34,445
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by Deferred tax benefit	(5.0	21)	(1.905)		(2.191)
Provision for loan losses	(5,0 10,2		(1,805) 13,008		(2,181) 9,100
Depreciation and amortization	10,2		1,841		1,218
Net amortization of investments	3,2		1,122		1,079
Market value adjustment of interest rate cap	3,2	- -	1,122		9
Increase in accrued interest and dividends receivable	(9	52)	(1,104)		(966)
Stock-based compensation expense	3,6		1,205		1,049
Increase (decrease) in accrued interest payable	1,1		(173)		(3)
Proceeds from sale of mortgage loans held for sale	107,6	78	192,576		239,292
Originations of mortgage loans held for sale	(103,4	81)	(172,371)		(243,699)
Gain on sale of securities available for sale		(3)	(131)		-
Gain on sale of mortgage loans held for sale	(2,0	47)	(2,513)		(3,560)
Net loss on sale of other real estate owned and repossessed assets		13	159		105
Write down of other real estate owned	8	11	433		2,189
Decrease in special prepaid FDIC insurance assessments		-	2,498		1,322
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance contracts	(2,2		(1,994)		(1,624)
Losses of tax credit partnerships		07	- (0.60)		- (204)
Excess tax benefits from the exercise of warrants	`	71)	(262)		(381)
Net change in other assets, liabilities, and other operating activities	(2,8		92		3,790
Net cash provided by operating activities	64,1	15	74,198		41,184
INVESTMENT ACTIVITIES	(5.5.0	0.0)	(00.455)		(1= 0.5=)
Purchase of debt securities available for sale	(65,3		(83,455)		(47,867)
Proceeds from maturities, calls and paydowns of debt securities available for sale	32,8		40,959		106,783
Proceeds from sale of debt securities available for sale	I	73	4,140		(11.701)
Purchase of debt securities held to maturity Proceeds from maturities, calls and paydowns of debt securities held to maturity	2,9	10	(10,668) 4,361		(11,701) 943
Increase in loans	(508,0		(515,644)		(540,019)
Purchase of premises and equipment	(1,3		(1,346)		(5,474)
Purchase of equity securities	(1,5	-	(1,540)		(787)
Purchase of bank-owned life insurance contracts	(15,0	00)	(10,000)		(15,000)
Proceeds from sale of equity securities	. ,	20	203		347
Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned and repossessed assets	6,5		7,664		2,967
Investment in tax credit partnerships	(2,1		(7,907)		_,, ,,
Net cash used in investing activities	(549,0		(571,693)		(509,808)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		<u> </u>	(5,1,0,5)	_	(200,000)
Net increase in non-interest-bearing deposits	160,0	04	105,282		126,364
Net increase in interest-bearing deposits	218,5		402,788		241,321
Net increase in federal funds purchased	89,9		57,315		37,800
Proceeds from other borrowings	, in the second	-	´ -		19,917
Redemption of subordinated debentures		-	-		(15,464)
Proceeds from sale of common stock, net	52,0	76	10,337		-
Proceeds from sale of preferred stock, net	2	50	-		-
Proceeds from exercise of stock options and warrants	6,3	16	3,279		4,651
Excess tax benefits from exercise of stock options and warrants	9	71	262		381
Repayment of other borrowings		-	-		(5,000)
Dividends paid on common stock	(3,6		(3,682)		(3,134)
Dividends paid on preferred stock		31)	(416)		(400)
Net cash provided by financing activities	524,0		575,165		406,436
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	39,0	49	77,670		(62,188)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	258,4	15	180,745		242,933
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 297,4	64 \$	258,415	\$	180,745
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE		_= ===			
Cash paid for:					
Interest	\$ 12,9	48 \$	13,792	\$	14,904
Income taxes	27,2		20,878		17,714
NONCASH TRANSACTIONS					
Conversion of mandatorily convertible subordinated debentures	\$	- \$	(15,000)	\$	-
Other real estate acquired in settlement of loans	2,4		11,355		2,695
Internally financed sales of other real estate owned and repossessed assets		75	-		24
Dividends declared	1,2	40	-		-

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

SERVISFIRST BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Operations

ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc. (the "Company") was formed on August 16, 2007 and is a bank holding company whose business is conducted by its wholly-owned subsidiary ServisFirst Bank (the "Bank"). The Bank is headquartered in Birmingham, Alabama, and provides a full range of banking services to individual and corporate customers throughout the Birmingham market since opening for business in May 2005. The Bank has since expanded into the Huntsville, Montgomery, Dothan, Mobile, Alabama and Pensacola, Florida markets. The Bank has a subsidiary, SF Holding 1, Inc., which has subsidiaries, SF Realty 1, Inc., SF FLA Realty, Inc. and SF GA Realty, Inc. which operate as real estate investment trusts. More details about SF Holding 1, Inc. and its subsidiaries are included in Note 10.

Basis of Presentation and Accounting Estimates

To prepare consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, management makes estimates and assumptions based on available information. These estimates and assumptions affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and the disclosures provided, and future results could differ. The allowance for loan losses, valuation of foreclosed real estate, deferred taxes, and fair values of financial instruments are particularly subject to change. All numbers are in thousands except share and per share data.

Cash, Due from Banks, Interest-Bearing Balances due from Financial Institutions

Cash and due from banks includes cash on hand, cash items in process of collection, amounts due from banks and interest bearing balances due from financial institutions. For purposes of cash flows, cash and cash equivalents include cash and due from banks and federal funds sold. Generally, federal funds are purchased and sold for one-day periods. Cash flows from loans, mortgage loans held for sale, federal funds sold, and deposits are reported net.

The Bank is required to maintain reserve balances in cash or on deposit with the Federal Reserve Bank based on a percentage of deposits. The total of those reserve balances was approximately \$36.9 million at December 31, 2014 and \$24.4 million at December 31, 2013.

Debt Securities

Securities are classified as available-for-sale when they might be sold before maturity. Unrealized holding gains and losses, net of tax, on securities available for sale are reported as a net amount in a separate component of stockholders' equity until realized. Gains and losses on the sale of securities available for sale are determined using the specific-identification method. The amortization of premiums and the accretion of discounts are recognized in interest income using methods approximating the interest method over the period to maturity.

Declines in the fair value of available-for-sale securities below their cost that are deemed to be other than temporary are reflected in earnings as realized losses. Securities are classified as held-to-maturity when the Company has the positive intent and ability to hold the securities to maturity. Held-to-maturity securities are reported at amortized cost. In determining the existence of other-than-temporary impairment losses, management considers (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and (3) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value.

Investments in Restricted Equity Securities Carried at Cost

Investments in restricted equity securities without a readily determinable market value are carried at cost.

Mortgage Loans Held for Sale

The Company classifies certain residential mortgage loans as held for sale. Typically mortgage loans held for sale are sold to a third party investor within a very short time period. The loans are sold without recourse and servicing is not retained. Net fees earned from this banking service are recorded in noninterest income.

In the course of originating mortgage loans and selling those loans in the secondary market, the Company makes various representations and warranties to the purchaser of the mortgage loans. Each loan is underwritten using government agency guidelines. Any exceptions noted during this process are remedied prior to sale. These representations and warranties also apply to underwriting the real estate appraisal opinion of value for the collateral securing these loans. Under the representations and warranties, failure by the Company to comply with the underwriting and/or appraisal standards could result in the Company being required to repurchase the mortgage loan or to reimburse the investor for losses incurred (make whole requests) if such failure cannot be cured by the Company within the specified period following discovery. The Company continues to experience a insignificant level of investor repurchase demands. There were no expenses incurred as part of these buyback obligations for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Loans

Loans are reported at unpaid principal balances, less unearned fees and the allowance for loan losses. Interest on all loans is recognized as income based upon the applicable rate applied to the daily outstanding principal balance of the loans. Interest income on nonaccrual loans is recognized on a cash basis or cost recovery basis until the loan is returned to accrual status. A loan may be returned to accrual status if the Company is reasonably assured of repayment of principal and interest and the borrower has demonstrated sustained performance for a period of at least six months. Loan fees, net of direct costs, are reflected as an adjustment to the yield of the related loan over the term of the loan. The Company does not have a concentration of loans to any one industry or geographic market.

The accrual of interest on loans is discontinued when there is a significant deterioration in the financial condition of the borrower and full repayment of principal and interest is not expected or the principal or interest is more than 90 days past due, unless the loan is both well-collateralized and in the process of collection. Generally, all interest accrued but not collected for loans that are placed on nonaccrual status are reversed against current interest income. Interest collections on nonaccrual loans are generally applied as principal reductions. The Company determines past due or delinquency status of a loan based on contractual payment terms.

A loan is considered impaired when it is probable the Company will be unable to collect all principal and interest payments due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Individually identified impaired loans are measured based on the present value of expected payments using the loan's original effective rate as the discount rate, the loan's observable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. If the recorded investment in the impaired loan exceeds the measure of fair value, a valuation allowance may be established as part of the allowance for loan losses. Changes to the valuation allowance are recorded as a component of the provision for loan losses.

Impaired loans also include troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs"). In the normal course of business management grants concessions to borrowers, which would not otherwise be considered, where the borrowers are experiencing financial difficulty. The concessions granted most frequently for TDRs involve reductions or delays in required payments of principal and interest for a specified time, the rescheduling of payments in accordance with a bankruptcy plan or the charge-off of a portion of the loan. In some cases, the conditions of the credit also warrant nonaccrual status, even after the restructure occurs. As part of the credit approval process, the restructured loans are evaluated for adequate collateral protection in determining the appropriate accrual status at the time of restructure. TDR loans may be returned to accrual status if there has been at least a six month sustained period of repayment performance by the borrower.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level which, in management's judgment, is adequate to absorb credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The amount of the allowance is based on management's evaluation of the collectability of the loan portfolio, including the nature of the portfolio, credit concentrations, trends in historical loss experience, specific impaired loans, economic conditions, and other risks inherent in the portfolio. Allowances for impaired loans are generally determined based on collateral values or the present value of the estimated cash flows. The allowance is increased by a provision for loan losses, which is charged to expense, and reduced by charge-offs, net of recoveries. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the allowance for losses on loans. Such agencies may require the Company to recognize adjustments to the allowance based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination.

Foreclosed Real Estate

Foreclosed real estate includes both formally foreclosed property and in-substance foreclosed property. At the time of foreclosure, foreclosed real estate is recorded at fair value less cost to sell, which becomes the property's new basis. Any write downs based on the asset's fair value at date of acquisition are charged to the allowance for loan losses. After foreclosure, these assets are carried at the lower of their new cost basis or fair value less cost to sell. Costs incurred in maintaining foreclosed real estate and subsequent adjustments to the carrying amount of the property are included in other operating expenses.

Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Expenditures for additions and major improvements that significantly extend the useful lives of the assets are capitalized. Expenditures for repairs and maintenance are charged to expense as incurred. Assets which are disposed of are removed from the accounts and the resulting gains or losses are recorded in operations. Depreciation is calculated on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the related assets (3 to 10 years).

Leasehold improvements are amortized on a straight-line basis over the lesser of the lease terms or the estimated useful lives of the improvements.

Derivatives and Hedging Activities

As part of its overall interest rate risk management, the Company uses derivative instruments, which can include interest rate swaps, caps, and floors. Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") ASC 815-10, Derivatives and Hedging, requires all derivative instruments to be carried at fair value on the balance sheet. This accounting standard provides special accounting provisions for derivative instruments that qualify for hedge accounting. To be eligible, the Company must specifically identify a derivative as a hedging instrument and identify the risk being hedged. The derivative instrument must be shown to meet specific requirements under this accounting standard.

The Company designates the derivative on the date the derivative contract is entered into as (1) a hedge of the fair value of a recognized asset or liability or of an unrecognized firm commitment (a "fair-value" hedge) or (2) a hedge of a forecasted transaction of the variability of cash flows to be received or paid related to a recognized asset or liability (a "cash-flow" hedge). Changes in the fair value of a derivative that is highly effective as a fair-value hedge, and that is designated and qualifies as a fair-value hedge, along with the loss or gain on the hedged asset or liability that is attributable to the hedged risk (including losses or gains on firm commitments), are recorded in current-period earnings. The effective portion of the changes in the fair value of a derivative that is highly effective and that is designated and qualifies as a cash-flow hedge is recorded in other comprehensive income, until earnings are affected by the variability of cash flows (e.g., when periodic settlements on a variable-rate asset or liability are recorded in earnings). The remaining gain or loss on the derivative, if any, in excess of the cumulative change in the present value of future cash flows of the hedged item is recognized in earnings.

The Company formally documents all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as its risk-management objective and strategy for undertaking various hedge transactions. This process includes linking all derivatives that are designated as fair-value or cash-flow hedges to specific assets and liabilities on the balance sheet or to specific firm commitments or forecasted transactions. The Company also formally assessed, both at the hedge's inception and on an ongoing basis (if the hedges do not qualify for short-cut accounting), whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows of hedged items. When it is determined that a derivative is not highly effective as a hedge or that it has ceased to be a highly effective hedge, the Company discontinues hedge accounting prospectively, as discussed below. The Company discontinues hedge accounting prospectively when: (1) it is determined that the derivative is no longer effective in offsetting changes in the fair value or cash flows of a hedged item (including firm commitments or forecasted transactions); (2) the derivative expires or is sold, terminated, or exercised; (3) the derivative is re-designated as a hedge instrument, because it is unlikely that a forecasted transaction will occur; (4) a hedged firm commitment no longer meets the definition of a firm commitment; or (5) management determines that designation of the derivative as a hedge instrument is no longer appropriate.

When hedge accounting is discontinued because it is determined that the derivative no longer qualifies as an effective fair-value hedge, hedge accounting is discontinued prospectively and the derivative will continue to be carried on the balance sheet at its fair value with all changes in fair value being recorded in earnings but with no offsetting being recorded on the hedged item or in other comprehensive income for cash flow hedges.

The Company uses derivatives to hedge interest rate exposures associated with mortgage loans held for sale and mortgage loans in process. The Company regularly enters into derivative financial instruments in the form of forward contracts, as part of its normal asset/liability management strategies. The Company's obligations under forward contracts consist of "best effort" commitments to deliver mortgage loans originated in the secondary market at a future date. Interest rate lock commitments related to loans that are originated for later sale are classified as derivatives. In the normal course of business, the Company regularly extends these rate lock commitments to customers during the loan origination process. The fair values of the Company's forward contract and rate lock commitments to customers as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 were not material and have not been recorded.

Income Taxes

Income tax expense is the total of the current year income tax due or refundable and the change in deferred tax assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are the expected future tax amounts for the temporary differences between carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities, computed using enacted tax rates. A valuation allowance, if needed, reduces deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized.

The Company follows the provisions of ASC 740-10, *Income Taxes*. ASC 740-10 establishes a single model to address accounting for uncertain tax positions. ASC 740-10 clarifies the accounting for income taxes by prescribing a minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. ASC 740-10 also provides guidance on derecognition measurement classification interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. ASC 740-10 provides a two-step process in the evaluation of a tax position. The first step is recognition. A Company determines whether it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained upon examination, including a resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes, based upon the technical merits of the position. The second step is measurement. A tax position that meets the more likely than not recognition threshold is measured at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement.

Stock-Based Compensation

At December 31, 2014, the Company had two stock-based compensation plans for grants of equity compensation to key employees and directors. These plans have been accounted for under the provisions of FASB ASC 718-10, Compensation – Stock Compensation with respect to employee stock options and under the provisions of FASB ASC 505-50, Equity-Based Payments to Non-Employees, with respect to non-employee stock options. The stock-based employee compensation plans are more fully described in Note 13.

Earnings per Common Share

Basic earnings per common share are computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per common share include the dilutive effect of additional potential common shares issuable under stock options and warrants.

Loan Commitments and Related Financial Instruments

Financial instruments, which include credit card arrangements, commitments to make loans and standby letters of credit, are issued to meet customer financing needs. The face amount for these items represents the exposure to loss before considering customer collateral or ability to repay. Such financial instruments are recorded when they are funded. Instruments such as stand-by letters of credit are considered financial guarantees in accordance with FASB ASC 460-10. The fair value of these financial guarantees is not material.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair values of financial instruments are estimated using relevant market information and other assumptions, as more fully disclosed in Note 22. Fair value estimates involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment regarding interest rates, credit risk, prepayments, and other factors, especially in the absence of broad markets for particular items. Changes in assumptions or in market conditions could significantly affect the estimates.

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income consists of net income and other comprehensive income. Accumulated comprehensive income, which is recognized as a separate component of equity, includes unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale.

Advertising

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising expense for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$477,000, \$532,000 and \$454,000, respectively. Advertising typically consists of local print media aimed at businesses that the Company targets as well as sponsorships of local events that the Company's clients and prospects are involved with.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-10, Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Inclusion of the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate (or Overnight Index Swap Rate) as a Benchmark Interest Rate for Hedge Accounting Purposes, which permits the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate to be used as a U.S. benchmark interest rate for hedge accounting purposes, in addition to the U.S. Treasury and London Interbank Offered Rate. The ASU also amends previous rules by removing the restriction on using different benchmark rates for similar hedges. This amendment applies to all entities that elect to apply hedge accounting of the benchmark interest rate. The amendments in this ASU were effective for qualifying new or redesignated hedging relationships entered into on or after July 17, 2013. The Company has adopted this update, but such adoption had no impact on its financial position or results of operations.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-11, *Income Taxes (Topic 740): Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists,* which provides that an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion thereof, should be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward, except to the extent that a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward is not available at the reporting date to settle any additional income taxes that would result from disallowance of a tax position, or the tax law does not require the entity to use, and the entity does not intend to use, the deferred tax asset for such purpose, then the unrecognized tax benefit should be presented as a liability. These amendments in this ASU are effective for fiscal years, and interim reporting periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013. Early adoption and retrospective application is permitted. The Company has adopted this update, but such adoption had no impact on its financial position or results of operations.

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-1, *Investments-Equity Method and Joint Ventures (Topic 323): Accounting for Investments in Qualified Affordable Housing Projects*, which provides guidance on accounting for investments by a reporting entity in flow-through limited liability entities that manage or invest in affordable housing projects that qualify for the low-income housing tax credit. It permits reporting entities to make an accounting policy election to account for their investments in qualified affordable housing projects using the proportional amortization method if certain conditions are met. Under the proportional amortization method, an entity amortizes the initial investment in proportion to the tax credits and other tax benefits received, and recognizes the net investment performance in the income statement as a component of income tax expense (benefit). The amendments are effective for public entities for annual periods and interim reporting periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014, and interim reporting periods within annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted and retrospective application is required for all periods presented. The Company made an investment in a limited partnership during the first quarter of 2014 which has invested in a qualified affordable housing project. The Company has made an election to account for this investment as provided for in this update, and will recognize the net investment performance of its share of the partnership as tax credits become available.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-04, Receivables-Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors (Subtopic 310-40): Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure. These amendments are intended to clarify when a creditor should be considered to have received physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan such that the loan should be derecognized and the real estate recognized. The amendments clarify that an in substance repossession or foreclosure occurs, and a creditor is considered to have received physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan, upon either: (1) the creditor obtaining legal title to the residential real estate property upon completion of residential foreclosure, or (2) the borrower conveying all interest in the residential real estate property to the creditor to satisfy that loan through completion of a deed in lieu of foreclosure or through a similar legal agreement. Additional disclosures about such activities are required by these amendments. The amendments in this ASU become effective for public companies for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2014, and early adoption is permitted. The Company is assessing the impact that these amendments will have on its financial position and results of operations, but does not currently anticipate that it will have a material impact.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606). These amendments affect any entity that either enters into contracts with customers to transfer goods or services or enters into contracts for the transfer of nonfinancial assets unless those contracts are within the scope of other standards (e.g. insurance contracts or lease contracts). This ASU will supersede the revenue recognition requirements in Topic 605, Revenue Recognition, and most industry-specific guidance, and creates a Topic 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. The core principle of the guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. This ASU also requires additional disclosure about the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from customer contracts, including significant judgments and changes in judgments and assets recognized from costs incurred to obtain or fulfill a contract. This ASU will be effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that reporting period. Early adoption is not permitted. The ASU allows for either full retrospective or modified retrospective adoption. The Company is assessing the effects of this ASU, which exclude financial instruments from its scope, but does not anticipate that it will have a material impact on its financial position or results of operations.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-12, Compensation—Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved After the Requisite Service Period. The amendments clarify the proper method of accounting for share-based payments when the terms of an award provide that a performance target could be achieved after the requisite service period. This ASU requires that a performance target that affects vesting, and that could be achieved after the requisite service period, be treated as a performance condition. The performance target should not be reflected in estimating the grant-date fair value of the award. Compensation cost should be recognized in the period in which it becomes probable that the performance target will be achieved and should represent the compensation cost attributable to the period(s) for which the requisite service has already been rendered. The amendments in this ASU are effective for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Earlier adoption is permitted. None of the Company's share-based payment awards have service components, so the Company does not believe this ASU will have an impact on its financial position or results of operations.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-14 – Receivables – Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors (Subtopic 310-40): Classification of Certain Government-Guaranteed Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure. These amendments address the diversity in practice regarding the classification and measurement of foreclosed loans which were part of a government-sponsored loan guarantee program (e.g. HUD, FHA, VA). The ASU outlines certain criteria that, if met, the loan (residential or commercial) should be derecognized and a separate other receivable should be recorded upon foreclosure at the amount of the loan balance (principal and interest) expected to be recovered from the guarantor. This ASU will be effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2014, including interim periods within that reporting period. Early adoption is permitted, provided the entity has adopted ASU 2014-04. The ASU should be adopted either prospectively or on a modified retrospective basis. The Company is assessing the impact that these amendments will have on its financial position and results of operations, but does not currently anticipate that it will have a material impact.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15 Presentation of Financial Statements – Going Concern (Subtopic 205-40): Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern. These amendments are intended to reduce diversity in the timing and content of going concern disclosures. This ASU clarifies management's responsibility to evaluate and provide related disclosures if there are any conditions or events, as a whole, that raise substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern for one year after the date the financial statements are issued (or, if applicable, available to be issued). The amendments in this ASU are effective for the annual period ending after December 15, 2016, and for annual and interim periods thereafter. Early application is permitted. The Company does not believe this ASU will have an impact on its financial position or results of operations.

NOTE 2. DEBT SECURITIES

The amortized cost and fair values of available-for-sale and held-to-maturity debt securities at December 31, 2014 and 2013 are summarized as follows:

	Ar	mortized	Gross Unrealized	Gross Unrealized			Market
		Cost	Gain		Loss	Value	
			(In Tho	ısands)		
December 31, 2014							
Securities Available for Sale							
U.S. Treasury and government sponsored agencies	\$	50,363	\$ 775	\$	-	\$	51,138
Mortgage-backed securities		92,439	3,095		(11)		95,523
State and municipal securities		132,780	3,211		(328)		135,663
Corporate debt		15,821	165		-		15,986
Total	\$	291,403	\$ 7,246	\$	(339)	\$	298,310
Securities Held to Maturity							
Mortgage-backed securities		23,804	449		(320)		23,933
State and municipal securities		5,551	490		· -		6,041
Total	\$	29,355	\$ 939	\$	(320)	\$	29,974
December 31, 2013							
Securities Available for Sale							
U.S. Treasury and government sponsored agencies	\$	31,641	\$ 674	\$	(41)	\$	32,274
Mortgage-backed securities		85,272	2,574		(98)		87,748
State and municipal securities		127,083	3,430		(682)		129,831
Corporate debt		15,738	163		(26)		15,875
Total	\$	259,734	\$ 6,841	\$	(847)	\$	265,728
Securities Held to Maturity							
Mortgage-backed securities		26,730	266		(1,422)		25,574
State and municipal securities		5,544	197		-		5,741
Total	\$	32,274	\$ 463	\$	(1,422)	\$	31,315

All mortgage-backed debt securities are with government sponsored enterprises (GSEs) such as Federal National Mortgage Association, Government National Mortgage Association, Federal Home Loan Bank, and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation.

At year-end 2014 and 2013, there were no holdings of debt securities of any issuer, other than the U.S. government and its agencies, in an amount greater than 10% of stockholders' equity.

The amortized cost and fair value of debt securities as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 by contractual maturity are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because the issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	December 31, 2014					2013		
	Amo	rtized Cost	N	Market Value		Amortized Cost		arket Value
			(In Thousands)				
Debt securities available for sale								
Due within one year	\$	16,944	\$	17,246	\$	5,659	\$	5,717
Due from one to five years		121,591		123,962		102,535		104,887
Due from five to ten years		60,079		61,221		65,174		66,229
Due after ten years		350		358		1,094		1,147
Mortgage-backed securities		92,439		95,523		85,272		87,748
	\$	291,403	\$	298,310	\$	259,734	\$	265,728
Debt securities held to maturity								
Due from five to ten years	\$	298	\$	325	\$	-	\$	-
Due after ten years		5,253		5,716		5,544		5,741
Mortgage-backed securities		23,804		23,933		26,730		25,574
	\$	29,355	\$	29,974	\$	32,274	\$	31,315

The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and fair value of debt securities, aggregated by category and length of time that securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at December 31, 2014 and 2013. In estimating other-than-temporary impairment losses, management considers, among other things, the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer and the intent and ability of the Company to hold the security for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value. The unrealized losses shown in the following table are primarily due to increases in market rates over the yields available at the time of purchase of the underlying securities and not credit quality. Because the Company does not intend to sell these securities and into that the Company will not be required to sell the securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2014. There were no other-than-temporary impairments for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

	L	Less Than Twelve Months				Twelve Months or More				Total			
		Gross				Gross				Gross			
	Uni	Unrealized				Unrealized			(Jnrealized			
	L	Losses		Fair Value		Losses		Value		Losses	Fa	ir Value	
					(In Thou	isands)							
December 31, 2014													
U.S. Treasury and government sponsored agencies	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Mortgage-backed securities		-		-		(331)		17,751		(331)		17,751	
State and municipal securities		(162)		19,945		(166)		10,820		(328)		30,765	
Corporate debt				-		-		-		-		-	
Total	\$	(162)	\$	19,945	\$	(497)	\$	28,571	\$	(659)	\$	48,516	
						`			_	<u> </u>			
December 31, 2013													
U.S. Treasury and government sponsored agencies	\$	(41)	\$	5,854	\$	-	\$	-	\$	(41)	\$	5,854	
Mortgage-backed securities		(852)		21,365		(668)		6,691		(1,520)		28,056	
State and municipal securities		(607)		30,666		(75)		3,443		(682)		34,109	
Corporate debt		(26)		5,958		-		-		(26)		5,958	
Total	\$	(1,526)	\$	63,843	\$	(743)	\$	10,134	\$	(2,269)	\$	73,977	

At December 31, 2014, 46 of the Company's 707 debt securities were in an unrealized loss position for more than 12 months.

The following table summarizes information about sales of debt securities available for sale.

		Years Ended December 31,							
	_	2014	2013		2012				
		(In Thousands)							
Sale proceeds	\$	173	\$ 4,1	40 \$					
Gross realized gains	\$	3	\$ 1	31 \$		_			
Gross realized losses		-		-		-			
Net realized gain (loss)	\$	3	\$ 1	31 \$		_			

The carrying value of debt securities pledged to secure public funds on deposits and for other purposes as required by law as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$230.6 million and \$200.9 million, respectively.

Equity securities include (1) a restricted investment in Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta stock for membership requirement and to secure available lines of credit, (2) an investment in First National Bankers Bank stock, and (3) an investment in a Community Reinvestment Act ("CRA")-qualified mutual fund. The amount of investment in the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta stock was \$3.2 million and \$3.5 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The amount of investment in the First National Bankers Bank stock was \$250,000 at December 31, 2014 and 2013. The amount of investment in the CRA-qualified mutual fund was \$503,000 and \$493,000 at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

NOTE 3. LOANS

The composition of loans at December 31, 2014 and 2013 is summarized as follows:

	December 31,					
		2014		2013		
		(In Tho	usand	s)		
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$	1,495,092	\$	1,278,649		
Real estate - construction		208,769		151,868		
Real estate - mortgage:						
Owner-occupied commercial		793,917		710,372		
1-4 family mortgage		333,455		278,621		
Other mortgage		471,363		391,396		
Total real estate - mortgage		1,598,735		1,380,389		
Consumer		57,262		47,962		
Total Loans		3,359,858		2,858,868		
Less: Allowance for loan losses		(35,629)		(30,663)		
Net Loans	\$	3,324,229	\$	2,828,205		

Changes in the allowance for loan losses during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively are as follows:

	 Y ear	rs En	aea Decembe	r 31,	_	
	 2014		2013		2012	
		(In	Thousands)			
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 30,663	\$	26,258	\$	22,030	
Loans charged off	(5,771)		(9,012)		(5,755)	
Recoveries	478		409		883	
Provision for loan losses	10,259		13,008		9,100	
Balance, end of year	\$ 35,629	\$	30,663	\$	26,258	

The Company assesses the adequacy of its allowance for loan losses at the end of each calendar quarter. The level of the allowance is based on management's evaluation of the loan portfolios, past loan loss experience, current asset quality trends, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay (including the timing of future payment), the estimated value of any underlying collateral, composition of the loan portfolio, economic conditions, industry and peer bank loan quality indications and other pertinent factors, including regulatory recommendations. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates including the amounts and timing of future cash flows expected to be received on impaired loans that may be susceptible to significant change. Loan losses are charged off when management believes that the full collectability of the loan is unlikely. A loan may be partially charged-off after a "confirming event" has occurred which serves to validate that full repayment pursuant to the terms of the loan is unlikely. Allocation of the allowance is made for specific loans, but the entire allowance is available for any loan that in management's judgment deteriorates and is uncollectible. The portion of the reserve classified as qualitative factors, is management's evaluation of potential future losses that would arise in the loan portfolio should management's assumption about qualitative and environmental conditions materialize. This qualitative factor portion of the allowance for loan losses is based on management's judgment regarding various external and internal factors including macroeconomic trends, management's assessment of the Company's loan growth prospects, and evaluations of internal risk controls.

The following table presents an analysis of the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment as of December 31, 2014 and 2013. The total allowance for loan losses is disaggregated into those amounts associated with loans individually evaluated and those associated with loans collectively evaluated.

Changes in the allowance for loan losses, segregated by loan type, during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, are as follows:

		Commercial,								
	1	inancial and	I	Real estate -	R	eal estate -				
		agricultural	c	onstruction	1	mortgage		Consumer		Total
					(In	Thousands)				
				Year l	Ended	December 31	, 201	4		
Allowance for loan losses:										
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$	13,576	\$	6,078	\$	10,065	\$	944	\$	30,663
Charge-offs		(2,311)		(1,267)		(1,965)		(228)		(5,771)
Recoveries		48		322		74		34		478
Provision		4,766		1,262		3,938		293		10,259
Balance at December 31, 2014	\$	16,079	\$	6,395	\$	12,112	\$	1,043	\$	35,629
					Decei	mber 31, 2014				
Individually Evaluated for Impairment	\$	1,344	\$	1,448	\$	1.636	\$	666	\$	5,094
Collectively Evaluated for Impairment	·	14,735	•	4,947		10,476	•	377	•	30,535
i		ĺ		,		ĺ				ĺ
Loans:										
Ending Balance	\$	1,495,092	\$	208,769	\$	1,598,735	\$	57,262	\$	3,359,858
Individually Evaluated for Impairment		10,350		5,680		10,029		666		26,725
Collectively Evaluated for Impairment		1,484,742		203,089		1,588,706		56,596		3,333,133
				Voor	Endod	l December 31	201	2		
Allowance for loan losses:	_			1 (41)	Mucu	December 31	, 201.	<u> </u>		
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$	11,061	\$	6,907	\$	7,964	\$	326	\$	26,258
Charge-offs	Ψ	(1,932)	Ψ	(4,829)	Ψ	(2,041)	Ψ	(210)	Ψ	(9,012)
Recoveries		66		296		36		11		409
Provision		4,381		3,704		4,106		817		13,008
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$	13,576	\$	6,078	\$	10,065	\$	944	\$	30,663
Bulance at Beechieur 51, 2015	<u> </u>	13,370	Ψ	0,078	Ψ	10,003	Ψ	777	φ	30,003
					Decei	mber 31, 2013				
Individually Evaluated for Impairment	\$	1,992	\$	1,597	\$	1,982	\$	699	\$	6,270
Collectively Evaluated for Impairment		11,584		4,481		8,083		245		24,393
Loans:										
Ending Balance	\$	1,278,649	\$	151,868	\$	1,380,389	\$	47,962	\$	2,858,868
Individually Evaluated for Impairment		3,827		9,238		18,202		699		31,966
Collectively Evaluated for Impairment		1,274,822		142,630		1,362,187		47,263		2,826,902

The credit quality of the loan portfolio is summarized no less frequently than quarterly using categories similar to the standard asset classification system used by the federal banking agencies. The following table presents credit quality indicators for the loan loss portfolio segments and classes. These categories are utilized to develop the associated allowance for loan losses using historical losses adjusted for current economic conditions defined as follows:

- · Pass loans which are well protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor (or obligors, if any) or by the fair value, less cost to acquire and sell, of any underlying collateral.
- Special Mention loans with potential weakness that may, if not reversed or corrected, weaken the credit or inadequately protect the Company's position at some future date. These loans are not adversely classified and do not expose an institution to sufficient risk to warrant an adverse classification.
- Substandard loans that exhibit well-defined weakness or weaknesses that presently jeopardize debt repayment. These loans are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the weaknesses are not corrected.
- · Doubtful loans that have all the weaknesses inherent in loans classified substandard, plus the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values highly questionable and improbable.

Loans by credit quality indicator as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

		Special					
December 31, 2014	Pass	Mention	;	Substandard	Doubtful		Total
				(In Thousands)			
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 1,459,356	\$ 25,416	\$	10,320	\$	-	\$ 1,495,092
Real estate - construction	197,727	5,332		5,710		-	208,769
Real estate - mortgage:							
Owner-occupied commercial	784,492	6,848		2,577		-	793,917
1-4 family mortgage	326,316	4,253		2,886		-	333,455
Other mortgage	457,782	9,015		4,566		-	471,363
Total real estate mortgage	1,568,590	20,116		10,029		-	1,598,735
Consumer	56,559	37		666		-	57,262
Total	\$ 3,282,232	\$ 50,901	\$	26,725	\$		\$ 3,359,858

		Special				
December 31, 2013	 Pass	Mention	Substandard	Doubtful		Total
			(In Thousands)			
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 1,238,109	\$ 34,883	\$ 5,657	\$	-	\$ 1,278,649
Real estate - construction	139,239	3,392	9,237		-	151,868
Real estate - mortgage:						
Owner-occupied commercial	696,687	11,545	2,140		-	710,372
1-4 family mortgage	265,019	1,253	12,349		-	278,621
Other mortgage	379,419	8,179	3,798		-	391,396
Total real estate mortgage	1,341,125	20,977	18,287		-	1,380,389
Consumer	47,243	3	716		-	47,962
Total	\$ 2,765,716	\$ 59,255	\$ 33,897	\$	_	\$ 2,858,868

Loans by performance status as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 are as follows:

December 31, 2014	I	Performing	Nonperforming	Total
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$	1,493,995	\$ 1,097	\$ 1,495,092
Real estate - construction		203,720	5,049	208,769
Real estate - mortgage:				
Owner-occupied commercial		793,234	683	793,917
1-4 family mortgage		331,859	1,596	333,455
Other mortgage		470,404	959	471,363
Total real estate mortgage		1,595,497	3,238	1,598,735
Consumer		56,596	666	57,262
Total	\$	3,349,808	\$ 10,050	\$ 3,359,858

December 31, 2013	Performing	Nonperforming	Total
		(In Thousands)	
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 1,276,935	\$ 1,714	\$ 1,278,649
Real estate - construction	148,118	3,750	151,868
Real estate - mortgage:			
Owner-occupied commercial	708,937	1,435	710,372
1-4 family mortgage	276,725	1,896	278,621
Other mortgage	391,153	243	391,396
Total real estate mortgage	1,376,815	3,574	1,380,389
Consumer	47,264	698	47,962
Total	\$ 2,849,132	\$ 9,736	\$ 2,858,868

Loans by past due status as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 are as follows:

December 31, 2014			Past	Due Status	Acc	ruing Loans)							
							7	otal Past					
	30-	59 Days	6	0-89 Days		90+ Days		Due	No	on-Accrual	Current	T	otal Loans
							(In	Thousands)					
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$	1,388	\$	3,490	\$	925	\$	5,803	\$	172	\$ 1,489,117	\$	1,495,092
Real estate - construction		-		-		-		-		5,049	203,720		208,769
Real estate - mortgage:													
Owner-occupied commercial		-		-		-		-		683	793,234		793,917
1-4 family mortgage		14		-		-		14		1,596	331,845		333,455
Other mortgage		-		-		-		-		959	470,404		471,363
Total real estate - mortgage		14		-		-		14		3,238	1,595,483		1,598,735
Consumer		21		-		-		21		666	56,575		57,262
Total	\$	1,423	\$	3,490	\$	925	\$	5,838	\$	9,125	\$ 3,344,895	\$	3,359,858

December 31, 2013			Past Du	e Status (Acci	ruing Loans)							
	·						To	otal Past					
	30-59	Days	60-8	9 Days		90+ Days		Due	N	on-Accrual	Current	T	otal Loans
							(In T	housands)					
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$	73	\$	-	\$	-	\$	73	\$	1,714	\$ 1,276,862	\$	1,278,649
Real estate - construction		-		-		-		-		3,750	148,118		151,868
Real estate - mortgage:													
Owner-occupied commercial		-		-		-		-		1,435	708,937		710,372
1-4 family mortgage		177		-		19		196		1,877	276,548		278,621
Other mortgage		-		-		-		-		243	391,153		391,396
Total real estate - mortgage		177		-	,	19		196		3,555	1,376,638		1,380,389
Consumer		89		97		96		282		602	47,078		47,962
Total	\$	339	\$	97	\$	115	\$	551	\$	9,621	\$ 2,848,696	\$	2,858,868

Fair value estimates for specifically impaired loans are derived from appraised values based on the current market value or as is value of the property, normally from recently received and reviewed appraisals. Appraisals are obtained from state-certified appraisers and are based on certain assumptions, which may include construction or development status and the highest and best use of the property. These appraisals are reviewed by our credit administration department to ensure they are acceptable, and values are adjusted down for costs associated with asset disposal. Once this estimated net realizable value has been determined, the value used in the impairment assessment is updated. As subsequent events dictate and estimated net realizable values decline, required reserves may be established or further adjustments recorded.

The following table presents details of the Company's impaired loans as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Loans which have been fully charged off do not appear in the tables.

Dec	ember	31,	2014
			TT

	Recorded Investment	Investment Balance		Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized in Period
With no allowance recorded:			(In Thousands)		
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 7,059	\$ 7,059	\$ -	\$ 7,104	\$ 406
Real estate - construction	1,527	1,527	-	1,493	40
Real estate - mortgage:	,	,		, in the second of the second	
Owner-occupied commercial	1,576	1,576	-	236	12
1-4 family mortgage	542	592	-	592	19
Other mortgage	1,944	1,944	-	2,283	142
Total real estate - mortgage	4,062	4,112	-	3,111	173
Consumer	_	-	-	_	_
Total with no allowance recorded	12,648	12,698		11,708	619
With an allowance recorded:					
Commercial, financial and agricultural	3,291	3,291	1,344	3,262	156
Real estate - construction	4,153	4,633	1,448	4,382	19
Real estate - mortgage:	1,100	1,000	1,	.,502	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Owner-occupied commercial	1,001	1,001	160	1,140	29
1-4 family mortgage	2,344	2,344	694	2,743	56
Other mortgage	2,622	2,622	782	2,767	84
Total real estate - mortgage	5,967	5,967	1,636	6,650	169
Consumer	666	666	666	681	-
Total with allowance recorded	14,077	14,557	5,094	14,975	344
Total Impaired Loans:					
Commercial, financial and agricultural	10,350	10,350	1,344	10,366	562
Real estate - construction	5,680	6,160	1,448	5,875	59
Real estate - mortgage:	,	,	, in the second of the second	, in the second of the second	
Owner-occupied commercial	2,577	2,577	160	1,376	41
1-4 family mortgage	2,886	2,936	694	3,335	75
Other mortgage	4,566	4,566	782	5,050	226
Total real estate - mortgage	10,029	10,079	1,636	9,761	342
Consumer	666	666	666	681	-
Total impaired loans	\$ 26,725	\$ 27,255	\$ 5,094	\$ 26,683	\$ 963
	88				

Decem	ber	31,	2013	3
-------	-----	-----	------	---

	ecorded vestment	, -	Unpaid Principal Balance	Relat Allowa	nce	F	Average Recorded evestment	erest Income cognized in Period
				(In Thou	isands)			
With no allowance recorded:								
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 1,210	\$	1,210	\$	-	\$	1,196	\$ 63
Real estate - construction	1,967		2,405		-		1,363	32
Owner-occupied commercial	577		577		-		603	32
1-4 family mortgage	1,198		1,198		-		1,200	55
Other mortgage	 2,311		2,311				1,901	 123
Total real estate - mortgage	 4,086		4,086				3,704	210
Total with no allowance recorded	 7,263		7,701		<u>-</u>		6,263	 305
With an allowance recorded:								
Commercial, financial and agricultural	2,617		2,958		1,992		2,844	98
Real estate - construction	7,271		7,750		1,597		6,564	200
Real estate - mortgage:								
Owner-occupied commercial	1,509		1,509		620		1,573	38
1-4 family mortgage	11,120		11,120		1,210		10,743	342
Other mortgage	1,487		1,586		152		1,873	96
Total real estate - mortgage	 14,116		14,215		1,982		14,189	476
Consumer	699		699		699		790	28
Total with allowance recorded	24,703		25,622		6,270		24,387	802
Total Impaired Loans:								
Commercial, financial and agricultural	3,827		4,168		1,992		4,040	161
Real estate - construction	9,238		10,155		1,597		7,927	232
Real estate - mortgage:	,		,		,		,	
Owner-occupied commercial	2,086		2,086		620		2,176	70
1-4 family mortgage	12,318		12,318		1,210		11,943	397
Other mortgage	3,798		3,897		152		3,774	219
Total real estate - mortgage	 18,202		18,301		1,982		17,893	686
Consumer	 699		699		699		790	 28
Total impaired loans	\$ 31,966	\$	33,323	\$	6,270	\$	30,650	\$ 1,107

Troubled Debt Restructurings ("TDR") at December 31, 2014 and 2013 totaled \$9.0 million and \$14.2 million, respectively. At December 31, 2014, the Company had a related allowance for loan losses of \$1.0 million allocated to these TDRs, compared to \$2.4 million at December 31, 2013. The Company's TDRs for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 have all resulted from term extensions rather than from interest rate reductions or debt forgiveness. The following tables present loans modified in a TDR during the periods presented by portfolio segment and the financial impact of those modifications. The tables include modifications made to new TDRs, as well as renewals of existing TDRs.

	Year	Outstanding Ou Number of Recorded R							
	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment		Post- Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment				
			(In Thousands)						
Troubled Debt Restructurings									
Commercial, financial and agricultural	9	\$	7,139	\$	7,139				
Real estate - construction	-		-		-				
Real estate - mortgage:									
Owner-occupied commercial	-		-		-				
1-4 family mortgage	1		4,449		4,449				
Other mortgage	2	_	1,684		1,684				
Total real estate mortgage	3		6,133		6,133				
Consumer			<u> </u>						
	12	\$	13,272	\$	13,272				
	Year	r Er	ided December 31,	201	3				
	Number of Contracts	_	Pre- Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment		Post- Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment				
Commercial, financial and agricultural	5	\$	2,177	\$	2,177				
Real estate - construction	7		1,781		1,781				
Real estate - mortgage:									
Owner-occupied commercial	-		-		-				
1-4 family mortgage	4		10,073		10,073				
Other mortgage	1		293		293				
Total real estate - mortgage	5		10,366		10,366				
Consumer	<u> </u>		-		_				
	17	¢	14 324	œ.	1/1/32/1				

The following table presents TDRs by portfolio segment which defaulted during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, and which were modified in the previous twelve months (i.e., the twelve months prior to default). For purposes of this disclosure default is defined as 90 days past due and still accruing or placement on nonaccrual status.

	•	Years Ended	Decen	nber 31,
		2014		2013
Defaulted during the period, where modified in a TDR twelve months prior to default				
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$	925	\$	1,067
Real estate - construction		-		1,781
Real estate - mortgage:				
Owner occupied commercial		-		3,121
1-4 family mortgage		4,313		1,847
Other mortgage		-		-
Total real estate mortgage		4,313		4,968
Consumer		-		_
	\$	5,238	\$	7,816

In the ordinary course of business, the Company has granted loans to certain related parties, including directors, and their affiliates. The interest rates on these loans were substantially the same as rates prevailing at the time of the transaction and repayment terms are customary for the type of loan. Changes in related party loans for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 are as follows:

	 Years Ended December 31,			
	 2014	2	2013	
	 (In Thousands)			
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 13,117	\$	12,400	
Advances	4,080		4,975	
Repayments	(4,114)		(4,258)	
Balance, end of year	\$ 13,083	\$	13,117	

NOTE 4. FORECLOSED PROPERTIES

Other real estate and certain other assets acquired in foreclosure are carried at the lower of the recorded investment in the loan or fair value less estimated costs to sell the property.

An analysis of foreclosed properties for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 follows:

	2014	2013	2012
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 12,861 \$	9,685 \$	12,275
Transfers from loans and capitalized expenses	2,417	11,355	2,695
Foreclosed properties sold	(6,539)	(7,664)	(2,967)
Writedowns and partial liquidations	(1,899)	(515)	(2,318)
Balance at end of year	\$ 6,840 \$	12,861 \$	9,685

NOTE 5. PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

Premises and equipment are summarized as follows:

	 December 31,			
	 2014		2013	
	 (In Thousands)			
Land and building	\$ 1,733	\$	1,724	
Furniture and equipment	10,240		9,579	
Leasehold improvements	5,748		5,131	
	 17,721		16,434	
Accumulated depreciation	(9,906)		(8,083)	
	\$ 7,815	\$	8,351	

The provisions for depreciation charged to occupancy and equipment expense for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 were \$1,838,000, \$1,841,000 and \$1,218,000, respectively.

The Company leases land and building space under non-cancellable operating leases. Future minimum lease payments under non-cancellable operating leases at December 31, 2014 are summarized as follows:

	(In Thousands)
2015	\$ 2,500
2016	2,468
2017	2,220
2018	1,973
2019	1,483
Thereafter	3,624
	\$ 14,268

For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, annual rental expense on operating leases was \$2,674,000, \$2,488,000 and \$2,195,000, respectively.

NOTE 6. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES (VIEs)

The Company utilizes special purpose entities (SPEs) that constitute investments in limited partnerships that undertake certain development projects to achieve federal and state tax credits. These SPEs are typically structured as VIEs and are thus subject to consolidation by the reporting enterprise that absorbs the majority of the economic risks and rewards of the VIE. To determine whether it must consolidate a VIE, the Company analyzes the design of the VIE to identify the sources of variability within the VIE, including an assessment of the nature of risks created by the assets and other contractual obligations of the VIE, and determines whether it will absorb a majority of that variability.

The Company has invested in a limited partnership for which it determined it is not the primary beneficiary, and which thus is not subject to consolidation by the Company. The Company reports its investment in this partnership at its net realizable value, estimated to be the discounted value of the remaining amount of tax credits to be received. The amount recorded as investment in this partnership at December 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$265,000 and \$313,000, respectively, and is included in other assets.

The Company has invested in limited partnerships as funding investor. The partnerships are single purpose entities that lend money to real estate investors for the purpose of acquiring and operating commercial property. The investments qualify for New Market Tax Credits under Internal Revenue Code Section 45D, as amended. The Company has determined that it is the primary beneficiary of the economic risks and rewards of the VIEs, and thus has consolidated these partnership assets and liabilities into its consolidated financial statements. The amount of recorded investment in these partnerships as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$25,460,000 and \$26,005,000, respectively, of which \$17,386,000 in 2014 and 2013 is included in loans of the Company. The remaining amounts are included in other assets.

NOTE 7. DEPOSITS

Deposits at December 31, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

	December 31,			
	2014	2013		
	 (In Thousands)			
Noninterest-bearing demand	\$ 810,460	\$	650,456	
Interest-bearing checking	2,158,984		1,930,676	
Savings	29,125		23,890	
Time	205,414		218,455	
Time, over \$250,000	194,177		196,165	
	\$ 3,398,160	\$	3,019,642	

The scheduled maturities of time deposits at December 31, 2014 were as follows:

	(In Thousands)
2015	\$ 218,837
2016	84,774
2017	44,360
2018	30,252
2019	17,182
2020	4,186
	\$ 399,591

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, overdraft deposits reclassified to loans were \$3,544,000 and \$1,602,000, respectively.

NOTE 8. FEDERAL FUNDS PURCHASED

At December 31, 2014, the Company had \$264.3 million in federal funds purchased from its respondent banks that are clients of its correspondent banking unit, compared to \$174.4 million at December 31, 2013. Rates paid on these funds were between 0.25% and 0.30% as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

At December 31, 2014, the Company had available lines of credit totaling approximately \$160.0 million with various financial institutions for borrowing on a short-term basis, with no amount outstanding. Available lines with these same banks totaled approximately \$130.0 million at December 31, 2013. These lines are subject to annual renewals with varying interest rates.

NOTE 9. OTHER BORROWINGS

Other borrowings of \$20.0 million are comprised of the Company's 5.5% Subordinated Notes due November 9, 2022, which were issued in a private placement in November 2012. The notes pay interest semi-annually.

NOTE 10. SF HOLDING 1, INC., SF REALTY 1, INC., SF FLA REALTY, INC. AND SF GA REALTY, INC.

In January 2012, the Company formed SF Holding 1, Inc., an Alabama corporation, and its subsidiary, SF Realty 1, Inc., an Alabama corporation. In September 2013, the Company formed SF FLA Realty, Inc., an Alabama corporation and a subsidiary of SF Holding 1, Inc. In May 2014, the Company formed SF GA Realty, Inc., an Alabama corporation and a subsidiary of SF Holding 1, Inc. SF Realty 1, SF FLA Realty and SF GA Realty all hold and manage participations in residential mortgages and commercial real estate loans originated by ServisFirst Bank and have elected to be treated as real estate investment trusts ("REIT") for U.S. income tax purposes. SF Holding 1, Inc., SF Realty 1, Inc., SF FLA Realty, Inc. and SF GA Realty, Inc. are all consolidated into the Company.

NOTE 11. PARTICIPATION IN THE SMALL BUSINESS LENDING FUND OF THE U.S. TREASURY DEPARTMENT

On June 21, 2011, the Company entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with the Secretary of the Treasury, pursuant to which the Company issued and sold to the Treasury 40,000 shares of its Senior Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A, having a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share (the "Series A Preferred Stock"), for aggregate proceeds of \$40,000,000. The issuance was pursuant to the Treasury's Small Business Lending Fund program, a \$30 billion fund established under the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, which encourages lending to small businesses by providing capital to qualified community banks with assets of less than \$10 billion. The Series A Preferred Stock is entitled to receive non-cumulative dividends payable quarterly on each January 1, April 1, July 1 and October 1, commencing October 1, 2011. The dividend rate, which is calculated on the aggregate Liquidation Amount, has been initially set at 1% per annum based upon the current level of "Qualified Small Business Lending" ("QSBL") by the Bank. The dividend rate for future dividend periods will be set based upon the percentage change in qualified lending between each dividend period and the baseline QSBL level established at the time the Agreement was effective. Such dividend rate may vary from 1% per annum to 5% per annum for the second through tenth dividend periods, and from 1% per annum to 7% per annum for the eleventh through the first half of the nineteenth dividend periods. If the Series A Preferred Stock remains outstanding for more than four-and-one-half years, the dividend rate will be fixed at 9%. Prior to that time, in general, the dividend rate decreases as the level of the Bank's QSBL increases. Such dividends are not cumulative, but the Company may only declare and pay dividends on its common stock (or any other equity securities junior to the Series A Preferred Stock) if it has declared and paid dividends for the current dividend period on the Series A Preferred Stock, and will be subject to other restrictions on

As is more completely described in the Certificate of Designation, holders of the Series A Preferred Stock have the right to vote as a separate class on certain matters relating to the rights of holders of Series A Preferred Stock and on certain corporate transactions. Except with respect to such matters and, if applicable, the election of the additional directors described above, the Series A Preferred Stock does not have voting rights.

The Company may redeem the shares of Series A Preferred Stock, in whole or in part, at any time at a redemption price equal to the sum of the Liquidation Amount per share and the per-share amount of any unpaid dividends for the then-current period, subject to any required prior approval by the Company's primary federal banking regulator.

NOTE 12. DERIVATIVES

The Company has entered into agreements with secondary market investors to deliver loans on a "best efforts delivery" basis. When a rate is committed to a borrower, it is based on the best price that day and locked with the investor for the customer for a 30-day period. In the event the loan is not delivered to the investor, the Company has no risk or exposure with the investor. The interest rate lock commitments related to loans that are originated for later sale are classified as derivatives. The fair values of the Company's agreements with investors and rate lock commitments to customers as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were not material.

NOTE 13. EMPLOYEE AND DIRECTOR BENEFITS

At December 31, 2014, the Company has two stock incentive plans, which are described below. The compensation cost that has been charged against income for the plans was approximately \$3,681,000, \$1,205,000 and \$1,049,000 for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Included in the expense for 2014 are non-routine expenses of approximately \$703,000 in the first quarter of 2014 resulting from a correction of our accounting for vested stock options previously granted to members of our advisory boards in our Huntsville, Montgomery and Dothan, Alabama markets, and approximately \$1,800,000 in the second quarter of 2014 resulting from the acceleration of vesting of stock options granted to members of our advisory boards in our Mobile, Alabama and Pensacola, Florida markets. We historically accounted for such options to advisory board members under the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 718-10, Compensation — Stock Compensation, and now have determined to recognize as an expense the fair value of these vested options in accordance with the provisions of the FASB ASC Topic 505-50, Equity-Based Payments to Non-Employees.

Stock Incentive Plans

The Company's 2005 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2005 Plan"), originally permitted the grant of stock options to its officers, employees, directors and organizers of the Company for up to 1,575,000 shares of common stock. However, upon stockholder approval during 2006, the 2005 Plan was amended in order to allow the Company to grant stock options for up to 3,075,000 shares of common stock. Both incentive stock options and non-qualified stock options may be granted under the 2005 Plan. Option awards are generally granted with an exercise price equal to the estimated fair market value of the Company's stock at the date of grant; those option awards vest in varying amounts through 2016 and are based on continuous service during that vesting period and have a ten-year contractual term. Dividends are not paid on unexercised options and dividends are not subject to vesting. The 2005 Plan provides for accelerated vesting if there is a change in control (as defined in the 2005 Plan).

On March 23, 2009, the Company's board of directors adopted the 2009 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2009 Plan"), which was effective upon approval by the stockholders at the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The 2009 Plan originally permitted the grant of up to 1,275,000 shares of common stock. However, upon stockholder approval during 2014, the 2009 Plan was amended in order to allow the Company to grant stock options for up to 2,775,000 shares of common stock. The 2009 Plan authorizes the grant of stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, non-stock share equivalents, performance shares or performance units and other equity-based awards. Option awards are generally granted with an exercise price equal to the estimated fair market value of the Company's stock at the date of grant.

As of December 31, 2014, there are a total of 2,019,000 shares available to be granted under the 2009 Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan.

The fair value of each stock option award is estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes-Merton valuation model that uses the assumptions noted in the following table. Expected volatilities are based on an index of approximately 79 publicly traded banks in the southeast United States. The expected term of options granted is based on the short-cut method and represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

	2014	2013	2012
Expected volatility	19.25%	18.65%	19.80%
Expected dividends	1.31%	-%	-%
Expected term (in years)	8	7	6
Risk-free rate	2.24%	1.72%	1.05%

The weighted average grant-date fair value of options granted during the years ended December 31, 2014, December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was \$3.69, \$3.04 and \$2.20, respectively.

The following tables summarize stock option activity:

	Shares	 Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (years)	In	Aggregate trinsic Value n Thousands)
Year Ended December 31, 2014:					
Outstanding at beginning of year	2,328,900	\$ 7.69	5.5	\$	14,300
Granted	139,000	16.83	9.3		2,339
Exercised	(838,983)	5.92	2.5		22,679
Forfeited	(6,000)	11.92	7.9		-
Outstanding at end of year	1,622,917	\$ 9.38	5.9	\$	38,256
Exercisable at December 31, 2014	591,418	\$ 7.75	4.1	\$	14,901
	5>1,110				,
Year Ended December 31, 2013:					
Outstanding at beginning of year	2,449,500	\$ 6.96	5.8	\$	9,905
Granted	180,000	12.65	9.7		213
Exercised	(282,600)	4.48	2.8		2,532
Forfeited	(18,000)	7.50	5.6		-
Outstanding at end of year	2,328,900	\$ 7.69	5.5	\$	14,300
	2,520,500				,
Exercisable at December 31, 2013	1,161,732	\$ 5.40	3.2	\$	9,797
,	1,101,752				,
Year Ended December 31, 2012:					
Outstanding at beginning of year	3,221,400	\$ 6.11	6.0	\$	12,508
Granted	136,500	10.00	9.3		130
Exercised	(864,390)	4.24	2.4		5,846
Forfeited	(44,010)	8.18	-		_
Outstanding at end of year	2,449,500	\$ 6.96	5.8	\$	9,905
	-, ,				
Exercisable at December 31, 2012	1,238,475	\$ 4.68	3.6	\$	7,831

Exercisable options at December 31, 2014 were as follows:

 Range of Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (years)	_	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (In Thousands)
\$ 3.33	13,500	\$ 3.33	0.5	\$	400
3.67	150,000	3.67	1.3		4,392
5.00	10,500	5.00	2.2		293
6.67	45,000	6.67	2.5		1,183
8.33	267,418	8.33	4.3		6,583
11.00	15,000	11.00	8.2		329
13.83	90,000	13.83	9.0		1,721
	591,418	7.75	4.1		14,901

As of December 31, 2014, there was \$1,218,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options. The cost is expected to be recognized on the straight-line method over the next 2.3 years. The total fair value of shares vested during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$2,025,000, \$705,000 and \$404,000, respectively. The fair value of shares vested during 2014 includes the accelerated vesting of nonemployee options awarded to the Company's advisory directors in its Mobile, Alabama and Pensacola, Florida markets.

Restricted Stock

The Company has awarded 235,500 shares of restricted stock to certain officers, of which 60,000 shares are vested. The value of restricted stock is determined to be the current value of the Company's stock at the grant date, and this total value will be recognized as compensation expense over the vesting period. As of December 31, 2014, there was \$852,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted stock. The cost is expected to be recognized evenly over the remaining 1.7 years of the restricted stock's vesting period.

Stock Warrants

In recognition of the efforts and financial risks undertaken by the organizers of ServisFirst Bank (the "Bank") in 2005, the Bank granted warrants to organizers for 180,000 shares of Bank common stock with an exercise price of \$3.333 per share. The warrants became warrants to purchase a like number of shares of the Company's common stock upon the formation of the Company as a holding company for the Bank. 60,000 of these warrants were exercised in 2011 and the remaining 120,000 were exercised in 2012.

The Company granted warrants for 225,000 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$8.333 per share in the third quarter of 2008. These warrants were issued in connection with trust preferred securities and 13,500 of these warrants were exercised in 2012, with the remaining 211,500 warrants exercised in 2013.

The Company granted warrants for 45,000 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$8.333 per share in the second quarter of 2009. These warrants were issued in connection with the issuance of the Company's 8.25% Subordinated Note. All of these warrants were exercised on May 14, 2014.

Retirement Plans

The Company has a retirement savings 401(k) and profit-sharing plan in which all employees age 21 and older may participate after completion of one year of service. For employees in service with the Company at June 15, 2005, the length of service and age requirements were waived. The Company matches employees' contributions based on a percentage of salary contributed by participants and may make additional discretionary profit sharing contributions. The Company's expense for the plan was \$811,000, \$878,000 and \$1,167,000 for 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company's board of directors approved additional discretionary matches for 2013 and 2012 based on the profits of the Company during those years. The additional matches were 1% and 4%, respectively, and amounted to \$200,000 and \$576,000, respectively, and are included in the expenses above.

NOTE 14. COMMON STOCK

On May 19, 2014, the Company completed its initial public offering of 1,875,000 shares of common stock at a public offering price of \$30.33 per share. The Company received net proceeds of approximately \$52.1 million from the offering, after deducting the underwriting discount and offering expenses.

On June 16, 2014, the Company declared a three-for-one split of its common stock in the form of a stock dividend. On July 16, 2014, stockholders of record as of the close of business on July 9, 2014 received a distribution of two additional shares of Company common stock for each common share owned. All share and per share amounts for all periods presented are reported giving effect to this three-for-one stock split.

During 2013, the Company completed private placements of 750,000 shares of common stock. The shares were issued and sold at \$13.83 per share to 110 accredited investors and 14 non-accredited investors. This sale of stock resulted in net proceeds of \$10,337,000. This includes stock offering expenses of \$38,000.

NOTE 15. REGULATORY MATTERS

The Bank is subject to dividend restrictions set forth in the Alabama Banking Code and by the Alabama State Banking Department. Under such restrictions, the Bank may not, without the prior approval of the Alabama State Banking Department, declare dividends in excess of the sum of the current year's earnings plus the retained earnings from the prior two years. Based on these restrictions, the Bank would be limited to paying \$129.1 million in dividends as of December 31, 2014.

The Bank is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the state and federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possible additional discretionary actions by regulators that if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Bank and the financial statements. Under regulatory capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines involving quantitative measures of the Bank's assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Bank's capital amounts and classification under the prompt corrective guidelines are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of total risk-based capital and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets (as defined in the regulations), and Tier 1 capital to adjusted total assets (as defined). Management believes, as of December 31, 2014, that the Bank meets all capital adequacy requirements to which it is subject.

As of December 31, 2014, the most recent notification from the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation categorized ServisFirst Bank as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To remain categorized as well capitalized, the Bank will have to maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based, and Tier 1 leverage ratios as disclosed in the table below. Management believes that it is well capitalized under the prompt corrective action provisions as of December 31, 2014.

The Company's and Bank's actual capital amounts and ratios are presented in the following table:

	 Actual		For Capital Adequacy Purposes		To Be Well Capitalized Undo Prompt Corrective Action Provisions	
	 Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
As of December 31, 2014:						
Total Capital to Risk Weighted Assets:						
Consolidated	\$ 458,073	13.38% 5	\$ 273,943	8.00%	N/A	N/A
ServisFirst Bank	397,748	11.62%	273,939	8.00% \$	342,424	10.00%
Tier I Capital to Risk Weighted Assets:						
Consolidated	402,471	11.75%	136,972	4.00%	N/A	N/A
ServisFirst Bank	362,119	10.58%	136,970	4.00%	205,454	6.00%
Tier I Capital to Average Assets:						
Consolidated	402,471	9.91%	162,377	4.00%	N/A	N/A
ServisFirst Bank	362,119	8.92%	162,375	4.00%	202,969	5.00%
As of December 31, 2013:						
Total Capital to Risk Weighted Assets:						
Consolidated	\$ 343,904	11.73% 5	\$ 234,617	8.00%	N/A	N/A
ServisFirst Bank	341,256	11.64%	234,601	8.00% \$	293,252	10.00%
Tier I Capital to Risk Weighted Assets:						
Consolidated	293,301	10.00%	117,308	4.00%	N/A	N/A
ServisFirst Bank	310,593	10.59%	117,301	4.00%	175,951	6.00%
Tier I Capital to Average Assets:						
Consolidated	293,301	8.48%	138,373	4.00%	N/A	N/A
ServisFirst Bank	310,593	8.98%	138,331	4.00%	172,913	5.00%

NOTE 16. OTHER OPERATING INCOME AND EXPENSES

The major components of other operating income and expense included in noninterest income and noninterest expense are as follows:

	Years Ended December 31,			
	 2014	2013		2012
		(In Thousands)		
Other Operating Income				
(Loss) gain on sale of other real estate owned	\$ (413)	\$ (159)	\$	(105)
Credit card income	2,041	1,425		1,064
Other	1,006	878		744
	\$ 2,634	\$ 2,144	\$	1,703
Other Operating Expenses				
Postage	\$ 264	\$ 195	\$	159
Telephone	555	465		385
Data processing	3,126	2,535		2,202
Other loan expenses	1,296	1,882		2,836
Supplies	399	380		320
Customer and public relations	959	838		791
Marketing	477	532		454
Sales and use tax	259	309		198
Donations and contributions	466	370		482
Directors fees	364	341		286
Write-down investment in tax credit partnerships	2,552	356		330
Other operational losses	575	113		22
Other	 3,680	2,613		2,257
	\$ 14,972	\$ 10,929	\$	10,722

NOTE 17. INCOME TAXES

The components of income tax expense are as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,					
		2014	2013	2012		
			(In Thousands)	<u> </u>		
Current tax expense:						
Federal	\$	25,929	\$ 21,264	\$ 17,993		
State		693	899	1,308		
Total current tax expense		26,622	22,163	19,301		
Deferred tax expense (benefit):						
Federal		(3,778)	(1,616)	(1,999)		
State		(1,243)	(189)	(182)		
Total deferred tax expense		(5,021)	(1,805)	(2,181)		
Total income tax expense	\$	21,601	\$ 20,358	\$ 17,120		

The Company's total income tax expense differs from the amounts computed by applying the Federal income tax statutory rates to income before income taxes. A reconciliation of the differences is as follows:

	Year Ended I	December 31, 2014
		% of Pre-tax
	Amount	Earnings
	(In Thousands)	
Income tax at statutory federal rate	\$ 25,89	92 35.00%
Effect on rate of:		
State income tax, net of federal tax effect	(3.5	58) (0.49)%
Tax-exempt income, net of expenses	(1,3)	16) (1.78)%
Bank owned life insurance contracts	(79	98) (1.08)%
Incentive stock option expense		18) (0.02)%
Federal tax credits	(1,6)	59) (2.24)%
Other	(14	42) (0.19)%
Effective income tax and rate	\$ 21,60	01 29.20%
	-	
	Year Ended I	December 31, 2013 % of Pre-tax
	Amount	Earnings
	(In Thousands)	Lamings
Income tax at statutory federal rate	\$ 21.69	91 35.00%
Effect on rate of:	\$ 21,03	91 33.00%
State income tax, net of federal tax effect	44	62 0.75%
Tax-exempt income, net of expenses	(1,20	
Bank owned life insurance contracts		98) (1.13)%
Incentive stock option expense	· ·	66 0.11%
Other		37) (0.06)%
Effective income tax and rate		
Effective income tax and rate	\$ 20,28	84 32.85%
	Year Ended I	December 31, 2012
		% of Pre-tax
	Amount	Earnings
	(In Thousands)	
Income tax at statutory federal rate	\$ 18,04	47 35.00%
Effect on rate of:		
State income tax, net of federal tax effect	73	32 1.42%
Tax-exempt income, net of expenses	(1,00	07) (1.95)%
Bank owned life insurance contracts	(50	(1.10)%
Incentive stock option expense		21 0.23%
Other	(20	06) (0.40)%
Effective income tax and rate	\$ 17,1	19 33.20%

The components of net deferred tax asset are as follows:

		December 31,				
		2014	2013			
		(In Thous	ands)			
Deferred tax assets:						
Allowance for loan losses	\$	13,491 \$	11,844			
Other real estate owned		1,319	1,222			
Nonqualified equity awards		1,594	773			
Nonaccrual interest		444	374			
State tax credits		987	-			
Investments		667	-			
Deferred loan fees		87	-			
Other deferred tax assets		117	141			
Total deferred tax assets	·	18,706	14,354			
Deferred tax liabilities:						
Net unrealized gain on securities available for sale		2,418	2,102			
Depreciation		421	514			
Prepaid expenses		151	161			
Deferred loan fees		-	83			
Investments		-	229			
Other deferred tax liabilities		-	247			
Total deferred tax liabilities		2,990	3,336			
Net deferred income tax assets	\$	15,716 \$	11,018			

The Company believes its net deferred tax asset is recoverable as of December 31, 2014 based on the expectation of future taxable income and other relevant considerations.

The Company and its subsidiaries file a consolidated U.S. Federal income tax return and various consolidated and separate company state income tax returns. The Company is currently open to audit under the statute of limitations by the Internal Revenue Service for the years ended December 31, 2011 through 2014. The Company is also currently open to audit by several state departments of revenue for the years ended December 31, 2011 through 2014. The audit periods differ depending on the date the Company began business activities in each state. Currently, there are no years for which the Company filed a federal or state income tax return that are under examination by the IRS or any state department of revenue.

Accrued interest and penalties on unrecognized income tax benefits totaled \$6,000 and \$12,000 as of January 1, 2014 and December 31, 2014, respectively. Unrecognized income tax benefits as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2014, that, if recognized, would impact the effective income tax rate totaled \$437,000 and \$804,000 (net of the federal benefit on state income tax issues), respectively. The Company does not expect any of the uncertain tax positions to be settled or resolved during the next twelve months.

The following table presents a summary of the changes during 2014, 2013 and 2012 in the amount of unrecognized tax benefits that are included in the consolidated balance sheets.

	2014		 2013	 2012
			(In Thousands)	
Balance, beginning of year	\$	437	\$ 161	\$ -
Increases related to prior year tax positions		367	276	-
Decreases related to prior year tax positions		-	-	-
Increases related to current year tax positions		-	-	161
Settlements		-	-	-
Lapse of statute		-	-	-
Balance, end of year	\$	804	\$ 437	\$ 161

NOTE 18. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Loan Commitments

The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit, credit card arrangements, and standby letters of credit. Such commitments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the balance sheets. A summary of the Company's approximate commitments and contingent liabilities is as follows:

	2014		2013			2012
	·	(In Thousands)				-
Commitments to extend credit	\$	1,156,682	\$	1,052,902	\$	824,047
Credit card arrangements		45,155		38,122		25,699
Standby letters of credit and financial guarantees		33,280		40,371		36,374
Total	\$	1,235,117	\$	1,131,395	\$	886,120

Commitments to extend credit, credit card arrangements, commercial letters of credit and standby letters of credit all include exposure to some credit loss in the event of nonperformance of the customer. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance sheet financial instruments. Because these instruments have fixed maturity dates, and because many of them expire without being drawn upon, they do not generally present any significant liquidity risk to the Company.

NOTE 19. CONCENTRATIONS OF CREDIT

The Company originates primarily commercial, residential, and consumer loans to customers in the Company's market area. The ability of the majority of the Company's customers to honor their contractual loan obligations is dependent on the economy in the market area.

The Company's loan portfolio is concentrated primarily in loans secured by real estate, of which 54% is secured by real estate in the Company's primary market areas. In addition, a substantial portion of the other real estate owned is located in that same market. Accordingly, the ultimate collectability of the loan portfolio and the recovery of the carrying amount of other real estate owned are susceptible to changes in market conditions in the Company's primary market area.

NOTE 20. EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

Basic earnings per common share are computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per common share include the dilutive effect of additional potential common shares issuable under stock options and warrants.

	Years Ended December 31,							
	2014		2013			2012		
		(Dollar Am	ounts I	n Thousands Excep	ot Per S	hare		
	Amounts)							
Earnings Per Share								
Weighted average common shares outstanding		23,855,001		20,607,213		17,989,311		
Net income available to common stockholders	\$	51,946	\$	41,201	\$	34,045		
Basic earnings per common share	\$	2.18		2.00	\$	1.89		
Weighted average common shares outstanding		23,855,001		20,607,213		17,989,311		
Dilutive effects of assumed conversions and exercise of stock options and warrants		963,220		1,198,812		2,835,945		
Weighted average common and dilutive potential common shares outstanding		24,818,221		21,806,025		20,825,256		
Net income available to common stockholders	\$	51,946	\$	41,201	\$	34,045		
Effect of interest expense on convertible debt, net of tax and discretionary expenditures								
related to conversion	\$	_	\$	115	\$	569		
Net income available to common stockholders, adjusted for effect of debt conversion	\$	51,946	\$	41,316	\$	34,614		
Diluted earnings per common share	\$	2.09	\$	1.90	\$	1.66		

NOTE 21. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

As more fully described in Note 3, the Company had outstanding loan balances to related parties as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 in the amount of \$13.1 million and \$13.1 million, respectively. Related party deposits totaled approximately \$5.6 million and \$6.2 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

NOTE 22. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT

Measurement of fair value under U.S. GAAP establishes a hierarchy that prioritizes observable and unobservable inputs used to measure fair value, as of the measurement date, into three broad levels, which are described below:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for assets or liabilities. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to Level 1 inputs.

Level 2: Observable prices that are based on inputs not quoted on active markets, but corroborated by market data.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs are used when little or no market data is available. The fair value hierarchy gives the lowest priority to Level 3 inputs.

In determining fair value, the Company utilizes valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs to the extent possible and also considers counterparty credit risk in its assessment of fair value.

Debt Securities. Where quoted prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1 of the hierarchy. Level 1 securities include highly liquid government securities such as U.S. treasuries and exchange-traded equity securities. For securities traded in secondary markets for which quoted market prices are not available, the Company generally relies on prices obtained from independent vendors. Such independent pricing services are to advise the Company on the carrying value of the securities available for sale portfolio. As part of the Company's procedures, the price provided from the service is evaluated for reasonableness given market changes. When a questionable price exists, the Company investigates further to determine if the price is valid. If needed, other market participants may be utilized to determine the correct fair value. The Company has also reviewed and confirmed its determinations in discussions with the pricing service regarding their methods of price discovery. Securities measured with these techniques are classified within Level 2 of the hierarchy and often involve using quoted market prices for similar securities, pricing models or discounted cash flow calculations using inputs observable in the market where available. Examples include U.S. government agency securities, mortgage-backed securities, obligations of states and political subdivisions, and certain corporate, asset-backed and other securities. In cases where Level 1 or Level 2 inputs are not available, securities are classified in Level 3 of the hierarchy.

Interest Rate Swap Agreements. The fair value is estimated by a third party using inputs that are observable or that can be corroborated by observable market data and, therefore, are classified within Level 2 of the hierarchy. These fair value estimations include primarily market observable inputs such as yield curves and option volatilities, and include the value associated with counterparty credit risk.

Impaired Loans. Impaired loans are measured and reported at fair value when full payment under the loan terms is not probable. Specific allowances for impaired loans are based on comparisons of the recorded carrying values of the loans to the present value of the estimated cash flows of these loans at each loan's original effective interest rate, the fair value of the collateral or the observable market prices of the loans. Fair value is generally determined based on appraisals performed by certified and licensed appraisers using inputs such as absorption rates, capitalization rates and market comparables, adjusted for estimated costs to sell. Management modifies the appraised values, if needed, to take into account recent developments in the market or other factors, such as changes in absorption rates or market conditions from the time of valuation, and anticipated sales values considering management's plans for disposition. Such modifications to the appraised values could result in lower valuations of such collateral. Estimated costs to sell are based on current amounts of disposal costs for similar assets. These measurements are classified as Level 3 within the valuation hierarchy. Impaired loans are subject to nonrecurring fair value adjustment upon initial recognition or subsequent impairment. A portion of the allowance for loan losses is allocated to impaired loans if the value of such loans is deemed to be less than the unpaid balance. Impaired loans are reviewed and evaluated on at least a quarterly basis for additional impairment and adjusted accordingly based on the same factors identified above. The amount recognized as an impairment charge related to impaired loans that are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis was \$4,961,000 and \$9,589,000 during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Other Real Estate Owned. Other real estate owned ("OREO") acquired through, or in lieu of, foreclosure are held for sale and are initially recorded at the lower of cost or fair value, less selling costs. Any write-downs to fair value at the time of transfer to OREO are charged to the allowance for loan losses subsequent to foreclosure. Values are derived from appraisals of underlying collateral and discounted cash flow analysis. Net losses on the sale and write-downs of OREO of \$1,297,000 and \$868,000 was recognized during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. These charges were for write-downs in the value of OREO subsequent to foreclosure and losses on the disposal of OREO. OREO is classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy.

The following table presents the Company's financial assets and financial liabilities carried at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

			Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2014 Using					
	Quoted Prices in	n						
	Active Markets	3		nificant Other		Significant		
	for Identical		Obs	ervable Inputs		Unobservable		
	Assets (Level 1))		(Level 2)		Inputs (Level 3)		Total
				(In Tho	usands)			
Assets Measured on a Recurring Basis:								
Available-for-sale securities:								
U.S. Treasury and government sponsored agencies	\$	-	\$	51,138	\$		- \$	51,138
Mortgage-backed securities		-		95,523			-	95,523
State and municipal securities		-		135,663			-	135,663
Corporate debt				15,986				15,986
Total assets at fair value	\$	_	\$	298,310	\$		- \$	298,310
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	\$	Obs	nificant Other ervable Inputs (Level 2)		Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	,	Total
				(In Tho	usands)			
Assets Measured on a Recurring Basis: Available-for-sale securities								
	\$		¢.	22.274	¢		¢	22 274
U.S. Treasury and government sponsored agencies	\$	-	\$,	\$		- \$	32,274
Mortgage-backed securities State and municipal securities		-		87,748 129,831			-	87,748
		-		/			-	129,831
Corporate debt Total assets at fair value			Ф	15,875	Φ.			15,875
Total assets at fair value	\$		\$	265,728	\$		- \$	265,728

The carrying amount and estimated fair value of the Company's financial instruments were as follows::

		Fair Value Meas	, 2014 Using	
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical	Significant Other Observable	Significant Unobservable	
	Assets (Level 1)	Inputs (Level 2)	Inputs (Level 3)	Total
A AM 1 N ' D'		(In Thousar	ids)	
Assets Measured on a Nonrecurring Basis:	e.		e 21.621	e 21.621
Impaired loans	\$ -		- \$ 21,631	\$ 21,631
Other real estate owned and repossessed assets	_		- 6,840	6,840
Total assets at fair value	_		<u>-</u> \$ 28,471	\$ 28,471
		-		
		Fair Value Measu	rements at December 31,	2013 Using
	Ouoted Prices in			<u>U</u>
	Active Markets	Significant Other	Significant	
	for Identical	Observable	Unobservable	
	Assets (Level 1)	Inputs (Level 2)	Inputs (Level 3)	Total
	rissets (Ecver 1)	(In Thousand		10111
Assets Measured on a Nonrecurring Basis:		(III Thousan	ao,	
C	S - 9	\$	- \$ 25,696	\$ 25 696
Impaired loans	\$ - 5	\$	Ψ 22,0>0	
e	\$ - S	\$	- \$ 25,696 - 12,861 - \$ 38,557	\$ 25,696 12,861 \$ 38,557

The fair value of a financial instrument is the current amount that would be exchanged in a sale between willing parties, other than in a forced liquidation. Fair value is best determined based upon quoted market prices. However, in many instances, there are no quoted market prices for the Company's various financial instruments. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. Accordingly, the fair value estimates may not be realized in an immediate settlement of the instrument. Current U.S. GAAP excludes certain financial instruments and all nonfinancial instruments from its fair value disclosure requirements. Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts presented may not necessarily represent the underlying fair value of the Company.

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Company in estimating its fair value disclosures for financial instruments.

Cash and cash equivalents: The carrying amounts in the statements of condition approximate these assets' fair value.

Debt securities: Where quoted prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1 of the hierarchy. Level 1 securities include highly liquid government securities such as U.S. treasuries and exchange-traded equity securities. For securities traded in secondary markets for which quoted market prices are not available, the Company generally relies on prices obtained from independent vendors. Such independent pricing services are to advise the Company on the carrying value of the securities available for sale portfolio. As part of the Company's procedures, the price provided from the service is evaluated for reasonableness given market changes. When a questionable price exists, the Company investigates further to determine if the price is valid. If needed, other market participants may be utilized to determine the correct fair value. The Company has also reviewed and confirmed its determinations in discussions with the pricing service regarding their methods of price discovery. Securities measured with these techniques are classified within Level 2 of the hierarchy and often involve using quoted market prices for similar securities, pricing models or discounted cash flow calculations using inputs observable in the market where available. Examples include U.S. government agency securities, mortgage-backed securities, obligations of states and political subdivisions, and certain corporate, asset-backed and other securities. In cases where Level 1 or Level 2 inputs are not available, securities are classified in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Equity securities: Fair values for FHLB stock and FNBB stock are considered to be their cost as they are redeemed at par value. The carrying amounts of investments in CRA-qualified mutual funds approximate their fair value.

Loans, net: For variable-rate loans that re-price frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair value is based on carrying amounts. The fair value of other loans (for example, fixed-rate commercial real estate loans, mortgage loans, and industrial loans) is estimated using discounted cash flow analysis, based on interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality. Loan fair value estimates include judgments regarding future expected loss experience and risk characteristics. The method of estimating fair value does not incorporate the exit-price concept of fair value as prescribed by ASC 820 and generally produces a higher value than an exit-price approach. The measurement of the fair value of loans is classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Mortgage loans held for sale: Loans are committed to be delivered to investors on a "best efforts delivery" basis within 30 days of origination. Due to this short turn-around time, the carrying amounts of the Company's agreements approximate their fair values.

Bank owned life insurance contracts: The carrying amounts in the statements of condition approximate these assets' fair value.

Deposits: The fair values disclosed for demand deposits are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (that is, their carrying amounts). The carrying amounts of variable-rate, fixed-term money market accounts and certificates of deposit approximate their fair values. Fair values for fixed-rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation using interest rates currently offered for deposits with similar remaining maturities. The fair value of the Company's time deposits do not take into consideration the value of the Company's long-term relationships with depositors, which may have significant value. Measurements of the fair value of certificates of deposit are classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Other borrowings: The fair values of borrowings are estimated using discounted cash flow analysis, based on interest rates currently being offered by the Federal Home Loan Bank for borrowings of similar terms as those being valued. These measurements are classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

Federal funds purchased: The carrying amounts in the statements of condition approximate these liabilities' fair value.

Loan commitments: The fair values of the Company's off-balance-sheet financial instruments are based on fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements. Since the majority of the Company's other off-balance-sheet financial instruments consists of non-fee-producing, variable-rate commitments, the Company has determined they do not have a distinguishable fair value.

The carrying amount, estimated fair value and placement in the fair value hierarchy of the Company's financial instruments as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 are presented in the following table. This table includes those financial assets and liabilities that are not measured and reported at fair value on a recurring basis or nonrecurring basis

The Company's financial assets and financial liabilities which are carried at fair value were as follows:

	December 31,								
	2014					2013			
		nrying mount	-		Carrying Amount			Fair Value	
		1110 4111		(In Thou	ısand			1 411 7 4140	
Financial Assets:				(-11.1.		~)			
Level 1 Inputs:									
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	297,464	\$	297,464	\$	258,415	\$	258,415	
Level 2 Inputs:									
Debt securities available for sale	\$	298,310	\$	298,310	\$	265,728	\$	265,728	
Debt securities held to maturity		29,355		29,974		32,274		31,315	
Restricted equity securities		3,921		3,921		4,230		4,230	
Federal funds sold		891		891		8,634		8,634	
Mortgage loans held for sale		5,984		5,984		8,134		8,134	
Bank owned life insurance contracts		86,288		86,288		69,008		69,008	
Level 3 Inputs:									
Loans, net	\$	3,324,229	\$	3,327,371	\$	2,828,205	\$	2,825,924	
Financial Liabilities:									
Level 2 Inputs:									
T	\$	3,398,160	\$	3,399,261	\$	3,019,642	\$	3,024,390	
Federal funds purchased		264,315		264,315		174,380		174,380	
Other borrowings		19,973		19,973		19,940		19,940	

NOTE 23. PARENT COMPANY FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following information presents the condensed balance sheet of the Company as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 and the condensed statements of income and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS

(In Thousands)

		December 31, 2014		December 31, 2013
ASSETS				
Cash and due from banks	\$	61,611	\$	2,562
Investment in subsidiary		366,609		314,489
Other assets		51		194
Total assets	\$	428,271	\$	317,245
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY				
Liabilities:				
Other borrowings	\$	19,973	\$	19,940
Other liabilities		1,337		113
Total liabilities		21,310		20,053
Stockholders' equity:				
Preferred stock, Series A Senior Non-Cumulative Perpetual, par value \$.001 (liquidation preference \$1,000), net of discount;				
40,000 shares authorized, 40,000 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2014 and 2013		39,958		39,958
Common stock, par value \$.001 per share; 50,000,000 shares authorized; 24,801,518 shares issued and outstanding at				
December 31, 2014 and 22,050,036 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2013		25		7
Additional paid-in capital		185,397		123,325
Retained earnings		177,091		130,011
Accumulated other comprehensive income		4,490		3,891
Total stockholders' equity		406,961		297,192
Total liabilites and stockholders' equity	\$	428,271	\$	317,245
Total flabilities and stockholders equity	2	428,271	\$	317,24

CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF INCOME FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,

(In Thousands)

		2014	2013	2012
Income:				
Dividends received from subsidiary	\$	12,000	\$ 4,750	\$ -
Other income		-	1	41
Total income		12,000	4,751	41
Expense:				
Other expenses		1,183	1,147	1,594
Total expenses	'	1,183	1,147	1,594
Equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiary		41,529	37,997	35,998
Net income		52,346	41,601	34,445
Dividends on preferred stock		400	400	400
Net income available to common stockholders		51,946	41,201	34,045

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOW FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,

(In Thousands)

	2014	2013	2012
Operating activities	 		
Net income	\$ 52,346	\$ 41,601	\$ 34,445
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used in operating activities:			
Other	165	(224)	878
Equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiary	(41,529)	(37,997)	(35,998)
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	10,982	3,380	(675)
Investing activities	 		
Investment in subsidiary	-	(10,499)	-
Net cash used in investing activities	 -	(10,499)	-
Financing activities			
Proceeds from other borrowings	-	-	19,917
Repayment of subordinated debentures	-	-	(15,464)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net	52,076	10,499	112
Dividends paid on common stock	(3,609)	(3,682)	(3,134)
Dividends paid on preferred stock	 (400)	(400)	(400)
Net cash provided by financing activities	 48,067	6,417	1,031
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	 59,049	(702)	356
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	2,562	3,264	2,908
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year			
	\$ 61,611	\$ 2,562	\$ 3,264

NOTE 24. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On January 31, 2015, we completed the merger with Metro Bancshares, Inc. ("Metro"), which resulted in the acquisition of 100% of all the outstanding shares of Metro, including all outstanding options and warrants, for an aggregate of 636,720 shares of ServisFirst common stock and approximately \$20.9 million in cash, representing aggregate consideration value of approximately \$40.3 million (based on the closing price of ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc. on January 30, 2015). The acquisition of Metro represents our first strategic acquisition and our entry into the Atlanta metropolitan market. At December 31, 2014, Metro had total assets of approximately \$211 million, total loans of approximately \$154 million, total deposits of approximately \$182 million and total stockholders' equity of approximately \$28 million. The cash portion of the merger consideration was paid from the Company's cash on hand. Because the acquisition closed on January 31, 2015, after the end of the fiscal period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the Company's financial information does not include any of the results of operations from Metro or its subsidiary, Metro Bank.

QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

The following table sets forth certain unaudited quarterly financial data derived from our consolidated financial statements. Such data is only a summary and should be read in conjunction with our historical consolidated financial statements and related notes continued in this annual report on Form 10-K.

2014 Quarter Ended (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) September 30 March 31 June 30 December 31 Interest income 34,281 35,424 36,857 38,163 Interest expense 3,432 3,446 3,538 3,703 31,978 Net interest income 30,849 33,319 34,460 Provision for loan losses 2,314 2,438 2,748 2,759 Net income available to common stockholders 14,917 11,658 13.902 11,469 \$ Net income per common share, basic 0.53 0.49 \$ 0.56 0.60 Net income per common share, diluted 0.51 \$ 0.46 \$ 0.54 \$ 0.58

		2013 Quarter Ended					
		(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)					
	N	March 31		June 30	S	September 30	December 31
Interest income	\$	29,165	\$	30,692	\$	32,499	\$ 33,725
Interest expense		3,264		3,211		3,534	3,610
Net interest income		25,901		27,481		28,965	30,115
Provision for loan losses		4,284		3,334		3,034	2,356
Net income available to common stockholders		9,151		9,586		10,712	11,752
Net income per common share, basic	\$	0.48	\$	0.46	\$	0.51	\$ 0.55
Net income per common share, diluted	\$	0.43	\$	0.45	\$	0.49	\$ 0.53

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

There were no disagreements with accountants regarding accounting and financial disclosure matters during the year ended December 31, 2014. A discussion of the change in accountants may be found in the Company's definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with the 2015 Annual Meeting.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, under supervision and with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined under Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e). Based upon that evaluation of these disclosure controls and procedures, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2014.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting identified in the evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures that occurred during the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2014, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined under Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 14d-14(f). Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

As of December 31, 2014, management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting established in "Internal Control – Integrated Framework (2013)," issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on the assessment, management determined that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on those criteria.

The effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, has been audited by Dixon Hughes Goodman LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report herein — "Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm."

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION.

None

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE.

We respond to this Item by incorporating by reference the material responsive to this Item in our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with our 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Information regarding the Company's executive officers is provided in Part I, Item 1 of the Form 10-K

Code of Ethics

Our Board of Directors has adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to all of our employees, officers and directors. The Code of Ethics covers compliance with law; fair and honest dealings with us, with competitors and with others; fair and honest disclosure to the public; and procedures for compliance with the Code of Ethics. A copy of the Code of Ethics is included as Exhibit 14 to this Form 10-K.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

We respond to this Item by incorporating by reference the material responsive to this Item in our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with our 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

We respond to this Item by incorporating by reference the material responsive to this Item in our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with our 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The information called for by this item relating to "Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans" is provided in Part II, Item 5 of this Form 10-K.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE.

We respond to this Item by incorporating by reference the material responsive to this Item in our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with our 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

We respond to this Item by incorporating by reference the material responsive to this Item in our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with our 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

PART IV

ITEM 15. FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES AND EXHIBITS

(a) The following statements are filed as a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K

	Page
_	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Consolidated Financial Statements	67
Report of Management on Internal Control over Financial Reporting	68
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control over Financial Reporting	69
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2014 and 2013	70
Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012	71
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012	72
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012	73
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012	74
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	75

(b) The following exhibits are furnished with this Annual Report on Form 10-K

EXHIBIT NO.	NAME OF EXHIBIT
2.1	Plan of Reorganization and Agreement of Merger dated August 29, 2007 (1)
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation, as amended (Restated for SEC filing purposes only) (2)
3.2	Bylaws (Restated for SEC filing purposes only) (3)
4.1	Certificate of Designation of Senior Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A of ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc. (4)
4.2	Form of Common Stock Certificate (5)
4.3	Revised Form of Common Stock Certificate (6)
4.4	Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant dated September 2, 2008 (7)
4.5	Warrant to purchase share of Common Stock dated June 23, 2009 (8)
4.6	Small Business Fund - Securities Purchase Agreement dated June 21, 2011 between the Secretary of the Treasury and ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc. (9
10.1	2005 Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan (10)*
10.2	Amended and Restated Change in Control Agreement with William M. Foshee dated March 5, 2014 (11)*
10.3	Amended and Restated Change in Control Agreement with Clarence C. Pouncey III dated March 5, 2014 (12)*
10.4	Employment Agreement of Andrew N. Kattos dated April 27, 2006 (13)*
10.5	Employment Agreement of G. Carlton Barker dated February 1, 2007 (14)*
10.6	2009 Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan (15)*
11	Statement Regarding Computation of Earnings Per Share is included herein at Note 20 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.
14	Code of Ethics for Principal Financial Officers (16)
21	List of Subsidiaries
23	Consent of Dixon Hughes Goodman LLP
24	Power of Attorney
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)

31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Schema Documents
101.CAL	XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Definition Linkbase Document

- (1) Registrant hereby incorporates by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 10, filed on March 28, 2008.
- (2) Registrant hereby incorporates by reference to Exhibit 3.01 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed October 31, 2012.
- (3) Registrant hereby incorporates by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on April 4, 2014.
- (4) Registrant hereby incorporates by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on June 23, 2011.
- (5) Registrant hereby incorporates by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 10, filed on March 28, 2008.
- (6) Registrant hereby incorporates by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on September 15, 2008.
- (7) Registrant hereby incorporates by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on September 3, 2008.
- (8) Registrant hereby incorporates by reference to Exhibit 4.9 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed on March 8, 2010.
- (9) Registrant hereby incorporates by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on June 23, 2011.
- (10) Registrant hereby incorporates by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 10, filed on March 28, 2008.
- (11) Registrant hereby incorporates by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed on March 7, 2014.
- (12) Registrant hereby incorporates by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed on March 7, 2014.
- (13) Registrant hereby incorporates by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 10, filed on March 28, 2008.
- (14) Registrant hereby incorporates by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 10, filed on March 28, 2008.
- (15) Registrant hereby incorporates by reference to Appendix A to the Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, filed on March 18, 2014.
- (16) Registrant hereby incorporates by reference to Exhibit 14 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed on March 10, 2009.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

SERVISFIRST BANCSHARES, INC.

By: /s/Thomas A. Broughton, III

Thomas A. Broughton, III

President and Chief Executive Officer

Dated: March 3, 2015

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/Thomas A. Broughton, III Thomas A. Broughton, III	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	March 3, 2015
/s/ William M. Foshee William M. Foshee	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)	March 3, 2015
* Stanley M. Brock	Chairman of the Board	March 3, 2015
* Michael D. Fuller	Director	March 3, 2015
* James J. Filler	Director	March 3, 2015
* Joseph R. Cashio	Director	March 3, 2015
* Hatton C. V. Smith	Director	March 3, 2015

^{*}The undersigned, acting pursuant to a Power of Attorney, has signed this Annual Report on Form 10-K for and on behalf of the persons indicated above as such persons' true and lawful attorney-in-fact and in their names, places and stated, in the capacities indicated above and on the date indicated below.

/s/ William M. Foshee

William M. Foshee Attorney-in-Fact March 3, 2015

EXHIBIT INDEX

(b) The following exhibits are furnished with this Annual Report on Form $10\text{-}\mathrm{K}$

EXHIBIT NO.	NAME OF EXHIBIT		
21	List of Subsidiaries		
23	Consent of Dixon Hughes Goodman LLP		
24	Power of Attorney		
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)		
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)		
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350		
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350		
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document		
101.SCH	XBRL Schema Documents		
101.CAL	XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document		
101.LAB	XBRL Label Linkbase Document		
101.PRE	XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document		
101.DEF	XBRL Definition Linkbase Document		
	113		

<u>List of Subsidiaries</u>

Subsidiaries	Jurisdiction of State of Incorporation			
ServisFirst Bank (1) SF Holding 1, Inc. (2) SF Realty 1, Inc. (3) SF FLA Realty, Inc. (4) SF GA Realty, Inc. (5)	Alabama Alabama Alabama Alabama Alabama			
(1) ServisFirst Bank is organized under the laws of the State of Alabama and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of ServisFirst Bancshares				
(2) SF Holding 1, Inc. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of ServisFirst Bank				
(3) SF Realty 1 Inc. is a majority-owned subsidiary of SF Holding 1, Inc.				
(4) SF FLA Realty, Inc. is a majority-owned subsidiary of SF Holding 1, Inc.				
(5) SF GA Realty, Inc. is a majority-owned subsidiary of SF Holding 1, Inc.				

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc.

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the registration statements (Nos. 333-170507 and 333-196825) on Form S-8 of ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc. of our reports dated March 3, 2015, with respect to the consolidated financial statements of ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc. and subsidiaries and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, which reports appear in ServisFirst Bancshares Inc.'s 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

/s/ Dixon Hughes Goodman LLP

Atlanta, Georgia March 3, 2015

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes Thomas A. Broughton III and William M. Foshee, and each of them, his true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent, with full power of substitution, for him and in his name, place and stead, in any and all capacities to sign on his behalf the ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc. Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Hereby executed by the following persons in the capacities indicated on March 2, 2015, in Birmingham, Alabama.

Name	Title
/s/ Stanley M. Brock Stanley M. Brock	Chairman of the Board
/s/ Joseph R. Cashio Joseph R. Cashio	Director
/s/ James J. Filler James J. Filler	Director
/s/ Michael D. Fuller Michael D. Fuller	Director
/s/ Hatton C.V. Smith Hatton C.V. Smith	Director

Section 302 Certification of the CEO

I, Thomas A. Broughton III, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements and other financial information included in this report fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles:
 - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report, based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or person's performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal controls over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 3, 2015

/s/ Thomas A. Broughton III

Thomas A. Broughton III

President and Chief Executive Officer

A signed original of this written statement has been provided to the registrant and will be retained by the registrant and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

Section 302 Certification of the CFO

I, William M. Foshee, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements and other financial information included in this report fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles:
 - (c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report, based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal controls over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 3, 2015

/s/William M. Foshee

William M. Foshee

Chief Financial Officer

A signed original of this written statement has been provided to the registrant and will be retained by the registrant and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request

Section 906 Certification of the CEO

CERTIFICATION OF PERIODIC FINANCIAL REPORT PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned officer of ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc. (the "Company") certifies that, to his knowledge, the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2014, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operation of the Company.

Date: March 3, 2015 /s/Thomas A. Broughton III

Thomas A. Broughton III

President and Chief Executive Officer

A signed original of this written statement has been provided to the registrant and will be retained by the registrant and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

Section 906 Certification of the CFO

CERTIFICATION OF PERIODIC FINANCIAL REPORT PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned officer of ServisFirst Bancshares, Inc. (the "Company") certifies that, to his knowledge, the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2014, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operation of the Company.

Date: March 3, 2015

/s/William M. Foshee

William M. Foshee

Chief Financial Officer

A signed original of this written statement has been provided to the registrant and will be retained by the registrant and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.